

δ -Fuzzy Ideal Of A Smarandache Near Ring

مثالية سكما الضبابية في الحلقة السمرندش القريبة

Showq Mohammed .E

Dhuha Abdulameer Kadhim

Showqm.ibriheem@uokufa.edu.iq

Dhuhaa.ebada@uokufa.edu.iq

AL-Kufa university College Of Education For Girls

Department of Mathematics

Abstract

In this paper ,we introduce the notions of δ -Fuzzy ideal of a Smarandache near ring related to the near field M denoted by $(S-\delta FI)$ of a Smarandache near ring and discuss the problem concerning the intersection of a family of $(S-\delta FI)$ and we give some properties about it .

الخلاصة

قدمنا في هذا البحث مفهوم جديد وهو المثالية الضبابية δ في حلقة السمرندش القريبة بالنسبة للحقل القريب M والتي يرمز لها بالرمز $(S-\delta FI)$ وتم عرض بعض النتائج والبراهين الخاصة بها .

Key word

near ring, near field, Smarandache near ring, Smarandache ideal , fuzzy sub near field, Smarandache fuzzy ideal.

Introduction

Throughout this paper N will be a left Smarandache near ring . In 1977 the notion near ring denoted by G.Pilz [1], in 2002 The concept Smarandache near ring denoted by W.B.vasantha [2], In 2003 the notion Smarandache fuzzy ideal denoted by W.B.vasantha andasamy [3], in 2005 the concept $\bar{\lambda}^*$ introduced by S.Bharanri [4].

Definition (1.1) [1]

A left near ring is a set N together with two binary operations “+” and “.” such that

- (1) $(N,+)$ is a group (not necessarily abelian),
- (2) $(N, .)$ is a semigroup ,
- (3) $(n_1 + n_2) . n_3 = n_1 . n_3 + n_2 . n_3$, for all $n_1, n_2, n_3, \in N$;

Definition (1.2) [2]

Anon- empty set N is said to be a near field if on N is defined by two binary operations “+”, “.” such that

- (1) $(N,+)$ is a group ,
- (2) $(N \setminus \{0\}, .)$ is a group ,
- (3) $a.(b+c)=a.b+a.c$ for all a,b,c belong to N .

Definition (1. 3) [2]

The near ring $(N,+,.)$ is said to be a smarandache near ring denoted by $(S\text{-near ring})$ if it has a proper subset M such that $(M,+,.)$ is a near field .

Definition(1.4) [2]

Let N be S -near ring, a normal subgroup I of N is called a smarandache ideal (S -ideal) of N related to M if ,

- (1) $\forall y, z \in M$ and $\forall i \in I, y.(z+i) - y.z \in I$, where M is the near field contained in N .
- (2) $I.M \subseteq I$.

Definition (1.5)[1]

Let $(N_1, +, \cdot)$ and $(N_2, +', \cdot')$ be two S-near-rings, a function $f : N_1 \rightarrow N_2$ is called a Smarandache near-ring homomorphism (S-near-ring homomorphism) if for all $m, n \in M_1$ (M_1 is a proper subset of N_1 which is a near-field) we have

$$(1) \quad f(m + n) = f(m) +' f(n) .$$

$$(2) \quad f(m \cdot n) = f(m) \cdot' f(n) .$$

where $f(m)$ and $f(n) \in M_2$ (M_2 is a proper subset of N_2 which is a near-field)

Definition(1.6) [4]

Let μ be a fuzzy ideal of a near ring N and

μ^* be a fuzzy set in N defined by $\mu^*(y) = \mu(y) + 1 - \mu(0)$, $y \in N$.

Definition (1.7) [3]

Let μ is a fuzzy sub near ring of N and μ is a fuzzy ideal of N such that

$$(1) \quad \mu(y-z) \geq \min\{\mu(y), \mu(z)\}$$

$$(2) \quad \mu(y \cdot z^{-1}) \geq \min\{\mu(y), \mu(z)\}, \forall y, z \in N. \text{ Then } \mu \text{ is a fuzzy sub near field of } N.$$

Definition (1.8) [2]

Let N be a S-near ring. A non empty fuzzy subset μ of N is called a Smarandache fuzzy ideal (S-fuzzy ideal) related to the near field M of N if the following conditions are true

(i) N has a proper subset X such that

$$(1) \quad \mu(z - y) \geq \min\{\mu(z), \mu(y)\}$$

$$(2) \quad \mu(z \cdot y) \geq \min\{\mu(z), \mu(y)\} \text{ for all } z, y \in X. \text{ i.e. } \mu \text{ on } X \text{ is a fuzzy ideal.}$$

$$(3) \quad \mu(z + y - z) \geq \mu(y)$$

$$(4) \quad \mu(z \cdot y) \geq \mu(y)$$

(ii) X contains a proper subset M such that M is a near field under the operations of N and $\mu|_M : M \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a fuzzy sub near field of M .

Definition (1.9) [3]

Let μ is a fuzzy subring of N such that μ^* is a field contains the identity of N and $\mu(y) = \mu(y^{-1})$ for all units y in N , then μ is called the fuzzy subfield of N .

Definition (1.10) [3]

Let $f : (N_1, +, \cdot) \rightarrow (N_2, +', \cdot')$ be a function. For a fuzzy set μ in N_2 we define

$(f^{-1}(\mu))(x) = \mu(f(x))$ for every $x \in N_1$. For a fuzzy set λ in X , $f(\lambda)$ is defined by

$$(f(\lambda))(y) = \begin{cases} \sup \lambda(x) & \text{if } f(x) = y, y \in N_2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

2. The main Results

In this section we study δ -fuzzy ideal of a Smarandache near ring.

Definition (2.1)

Let $\bar{\lambda}$ be a S-fuzzy ideal of S-near ring N related to the near filed M is called δ -Fuzzy ideal of N denoted by (S- δ FI) if

$$(1) \bar{\lambda}(x - y) \leq \bar{\lambda}(x)$$

$$(2) \bar{\lambda}(xy) \geq \max\{\bar{\lambda}(x), \bar{\lambda}(y)\}, \forall x, y \in M.$$

Example (2.2)

Consider the S- near ring $N = Z_6$ with addition and multiplication as defined by the following tables .

+6	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	1	2	3	4	5
1	1	2	3	4	5	0
2	2	3	4	5	0	1
3	3	4	5	0	1	2
4	4	5	0	1	2	3
5	5	0	1	2	3	4

.6	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	2	3	4	5
2	0	2	4	0	2	4
3	0	3	0	3	0	3
4	0	4	2	0	4	2
5	0	5	4	3	2	1

Let $\bar{\lambda}$ be a S-fuzzy ideal of S-near ring N related to the near filed $M=\{0,2,4\}$ defined by

$$\bar{\lambda}(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y \in \{0,2,4\} \\ 0 & \text{if } y \in \{1,3,5\} \end{cases}$$

Then $\bar{\lambda}$ is (S- δ FI) related to the near filed $M=\{0,2,4\}$ of N.

Theorem (2.3)

Let $\bar{\lambda}$ be a fuzzy subset of an S-near ring N , then $\bar{\lambda}^*$ is a (S- δ FI) related to the near filed M of N if $\bar{\lambda}$ is a (S- δ FI) related to the near filed M of N

Proof

Let $\bar{\lambda}$ be (S- δ FI) related to the near filed M of N then $\bar{\lambda}$ is S-fuzzy ideal of N there exist X is a proper subset of N contain M as a near field and $\bar{\lambda}$ is fuzzy sub near filed of M , let $x, y \in N$,

$$(1) \bar{\lambda}^*(x - y) = \bar{\lambda}(x - y) - \bar{\lambda}(0) + 1$$

since $\bar{\lambda}$ is fuzzy ideal of N

$$\geq \min\{\bar{\lambda}(x), \bar{\lambda}(y)\} - \bar{\lambda}(0) + 1$$

$$\geq \min\{\bar{\lambda}(x) - \bar{\lambda}(0) + 1, \bar{\lambda}(y) - \bar{\lambda}(0) + 1\}$$

$$= \min\{\bar{\lambda}^*(x), \bar{\lambda}^*(y)\}.$$

$$(2) \bar{\lambda}^*(xy^{-1}) = \bar{\lambda}(xy^{-1}) - \bar{\lambda}(0) + 1$$

$$\geq \min\{\bar{\lambda}(x), \bar{\lambda}(y^{-1})\} - \bar{\lambda}(0) + 1$$

$$= \min\{\bar{\lambda}(x), \bar{\lambda}(y)\} - \bar{\lambda}(0) + 1$$

$$= \min\{\bar{\lambda}(x) - \bar{\lambda}(0) + 1, \bar{\lambda}(y) - \bar{\lambda}(0) + 1\}$$

$$\geq \min\{\bar{\lambda}^*(x), \bar{\lambda}^*(y)\}$$

From (1) and (2) $\Rightarrow \bar{\lambda}^*$ is a fuzzy sub near field of M that mean $\bar{\lambda}^*$ is S-fuzzy ideal related to the near filed M of N. to prove that $\bar{\lambda}^*$ is (S- δ FI) related to the near filed M of N, let $x, y \in M$,

$$(1) \bar{\lambda}^*(x - y) = \bar{\lambda}(x - y) - \bar{\lambda}(0) + 1$$

$$\leq \bar{\lambda}(x) - \bar{\lambda}(0) + 1 = \bar{\lambda}^*(x)$$

$$(2) \bar{\lambda}^*(xy) = \bar{\lambda}(xy) - \bar{\lambda}(0) + 1$$

$$\geq \max\{\bar{\lambda}(x), \bar{\lambda}(y)\} - \bar{\lambda}(0) + 1$$

$$= \max\{\bar{\lambda}(x) - \bar{\lambda}(0) + 1, \bar{\lambda}(y) - \bar{\lambda}(0) + 1\}$$

$$= \max\{\bar{\lambda}^*(x), \bar{\lambda}^*(y)\}.$$

From (1) and (2) $\Rightarrow \bar{\lambda}^*$ Is (S- δ FI) related to the near filed M of N.

Theorem (2.4)

Let $\{\bar{\lambda}_j\}_{j \in J}$ be a family of (S- δ FI) related to the near filed M of S-near ring N , then $\bigcap_{j \in J} \bar{\lambda}_j$ is (S- δ FI) related to the near filed M of N.

Proof

Since each $\bar{\lambda}_j$ is (S- δ FI) related to the near filed M of N when $j \in J$, then there exists a proper subset X_j of N such that $\bar{\lambda}_j$ is a fuzzy ideal on X_j , M is a proper subset of X_j and $\bar{\lambda}_j$ is a fuzzy sub near field on M for all $j \in J$. $X = \bigcap_{j \in J} X_j$ is a proper subset of N contain M .

To prove that $\bigcap_{j \in J} \bar{\lambda}_j$ is S-fuzzy ideal

, let $x, y \in N$,

$$(1) \bigcap_{j \in J} \bar{\lambda}_j(x - y) = \inf_{j \in J} \bar{\lambda}_j(x - y)$$

since $\bar{\lambda}_j$ is fuzzy ideal of N

$$\geq \inf_{j \in J} \{ \min \{ \bar{\lambda}_j(x), \bar{\lambda}_j(y) \} \}$$

$$\geq \min \{ \inf_{j \in J} \bar{\lambda}_j(x), \inf_{j \in J} \bar{\lambda}_j(y) \}.$$

$$\geq \min \{ \bigcap_{j \in J} \bar{\lambda}_j(x), \bigcap_{j \in J} \bar{\lambda}_j(y) \}.$$

$$(2) \bigcap_{j \in J} \bar{\lambda}_j(xy^{-1}) = \inf_{j \in J} \bar{\lambda}_j(xy^{-1})$$

$$\geq \inf_{j \in J} \{ \min \{ \bar{\lambda}_j(x), \bar{\lambda}_j(y^{-1}) \} \}$$

$$\geq \inf_{j \in J} \{ \min \{ \bar{\lambda}_j(x), \bar{\lambda}_j(y) \} \}$$

$$\geq \min \{ \inf_{j \in J} \bar{\lambda}_j(x), \inf_{j \in J} \bar{\lambda}_j(y) \}$$

$$\geq \min \{ \bigcap_{j \in J} \bar{\lambda}_j(x), \bigcap_{j \in J} \bar{\lambda}_j(y) \}$$

From (1) and (2) $\Rightarrow \bigcap_{j \in J} \bar{\lambda}_j$ is a fuzzy sub near field of M that mean $\bigcap_{j \in J} \bar{\lambda}_j$ is S-fuzzy ideal related

to the near filed M of N. To prove that $\bigcap_{j \in J} \bar{\lambda}_j$ is (S- δ FI) related to the near filed M of N let

$x, y \in M$,

$$(1) \bigcap_{j \in J} \bar{\lambda}_j(x - y) = \inf_{j \in J} \bar{\lambda}_j(x - y)$$

$$\leq \inf_{j \in J} \bar{\lambda}_j(x) = \bigcap_{j \in J} \bar{\lambda}_j(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2) \bigcap_{j \in J} \bar{\lambda}_i(xy) &= \inf_{j \in J} \bar{\lambda}_i(xy) \\
 &\geq \inf_{j \in J} \{ \max\{ \bar{\lambda}_i(x), \bar{\lambda}_i(y) \} \} \\
 &= \max\{ \inf_{j \in J} \bar{\lambda}_i(x), \inf_{j \in J} \bar{\lambda}_i(y) \} \\
 &= \max\{ \bigcap_{j \in J} \bar{\lambda}_i(x), \bigcap_{j \in J} \bar{\lambda}_i(y) \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (1) and (2) $\Rightarrow \bigcap_{j \in J} \bar{\lambda}_i$ is (S- δ FI) related to the near filed M of N.

Theorem (2.5)

let $f : (N_1, +, \cdot) \rightarrow (N_2, +', \cdot')$ be epimorphism and let $\bar{\lambda}$ is (S- δ FI) related to the near filed M_2 of a S-near ring N_2 if and only if $f^{-1}(\bar{\lambda})$ is (S- δ FI) related to the near filed M_1 of a S-near ring N_1 , when $f^{-1}(M_2) = M_1$.

Proof

Let $\bar{\lambda}$ is (S- δ FI) related to the near filed M_2 of N_2 , let $x, y \in N$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) f^{-1}(\bar{\lambda})(x - y) &= \bar{\lambda}(f(x - y)) \\
 \bar{\lambda}(f(x) - f(y)) &= \min\{ \bar{\lambda}(f(x)), \bar{\lambda}(f(y)) \} \\
 &= \min\{ f^{-1}(\bar{\lambda})(x), f^{-1}(\bar{\lambda})(y) \}. \\
 (2) f^{-1}(\bar{\lambda})(xy^{-1}) &= \bar{\lambda}(f(xy^{-1})) \\
 \bar{\lambda}(f(x).f(y^{-1})) &\geq \min\{ \bar{\lambda}(f(x)), \bar{\lambda}(f(y)) \} \\
 &= \min\{ f^{-1}(\bar{\lambda})(x), f^{-1}(\bar{\lambda})(y) \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (1),(2) we have $f^{-1}(\bar{\lambda})$ is S-near field related to the near filed M_1 of a S-near ring N_1 , $f^{-1}(\bar{\lambda})$ is S-fuzzy ideal of N_1 .

To proof that $f^{-1}(\bar{\lambda})$ is (S- δ FI) related to the near field M_1 of N_1 $x, y \in M_2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) f^{-1}(\bar{\lambda})(x - y) &= \bar{\lambda}(f(x - y)) \\
 &\leq \bar{\lambda}(f(x)) = f^{-1}(\bar{\lambda})(x) \\
 (2) f^{-1}(\bar{\lambda})(xy) &= \bar{\lambda}(f(xy)) \\
 \bar{\lambda}(f(x).f(y)) &\geq \max\{ \bar{\lambda}(f(x)), \bar{\lambda}(f(y)) \} \\
 &\geq \max\{ f^{-1}(\bar{\lambda})(x), f^{-1}(\bar{\lambda})(y) \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (1) and (2) $f^{-1}(\bar{\lambda})$ is (S- δ FI) related to the near field M_1 of N_1

\leftarrow suppose $f^{-1}(\bar{\lambda})$ is (S- δ FI) related to the near field M_1 of N_1 , let $f(x), f(y) \in N_2$,

$$(1) \bar{\lambda}(f(x) - f(y)) = \bar{\lambda}(f(x - y)) = f^{-1}(\bar{\lambda})(x - y)$$

Since $f^{-1}(\bar{\lambda})$ is (S- δ FI) related to the near field M_1 of N_1

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq f^{-1}\{\min\{\bar{\lambda}(x), \bar{\lambda}(y)\}\} \\ &= \min\{f^{-1}(\bar{\lambda})(x), f^{-1}(\bar{\lambda})(y)\} = \min\{\bar{\lambda}(f^{-1}(x)), \bar{\lambda}(f^{-1}(y))\}. \\ (2) \bar{\lambda}(f(xy^{-1})) &= f^{-1}(\bar{\lambda})(xy^{-1}) = f^{-1}\{\min\{\bar{\lambda}(x), \bar{\lambda}(y)\}\} \\ &= \min\{f^{-1}(\bar{\lambda})(x), f^{-1}(\bar{\lambda})(y)\} = \min\{\bar{\lambda}(f^{-1}(x)), \bar{\lambda}(f^{-1}(y))\}. \end{aligned}$$

From (1),(2) we have $f(\bar{\lambda})$ is S-near field related to the near field M_2 of a S-near ring N_2 , $f(\bar{\lambda})$ is S-fuzzy ideal of N_2 .

To proof that $f(\bar{\lambda})$ is (S- δ FI) related to the near field M_2 of N_2 , $x, y \in M_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} (1) f(\bar{\lambda}(x - y)) &\leq f(\bar{\lambda}(x)) \\ (2) f(\bar{\lambda}(xy)) &\geq f\{\max\{\bar{\lambda}(x), \bar{\lambda}(y)\}\} \\ &= \max\{f(\bar{\lambda}(x)), f(\bar{\lambda}(y))\} \end{aligned}$$

From (1) and (2) $f(\bar{\lambda})$ is (S- δ FI) related to the near field M_2 of N_2 .

Proposition (2.6)

If $\bar{\lambda}$ is a (S- δ FI) related to the near field M of N then $\bar{\lambda}^c$ is a (S- δ FI) related to the near field M of N .

Proof

To prove that $\bar{\lambda}^c$ is S-fuzzy ideal, let $x, y \in N$,

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \bar{\lambda}^c(x - y) &= 1 - \bar{\lambda}(x - y) \\ &\geq 1 - \min\{\bar{\lambda}(x), \bar{\lambda}(y)\} \\ &\geq \min\{1 - \bar{\lambda}(x), 1 - \bar{\lambda}(y)\} \\ &\geq \min\{\bar{\lambda}^c(x), \bar{\lambda}^c(y)\} \\ (2) \bar{\lambda}^c(xy^{-1}) &= 1 - \bar{\lambda}(xy^{-1}) \\ &\geq 1 - \min\{\bar{\lambda}(x), \bar{\lambda}(y^{-1})\} \\ &\geq 1 - \min\{\bar{\lambda}(x), \bar{\lambda}(y)\} \\ &\geq \min\{1 - \bar{\lambda}(x), 1 - \bar{\lambda}(y)\} \\ &\geq \min\{\bar{\lambda}^c(x), \bar{\lambda}^c(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

From (1) and (2) $\Rightarrow \bar{\lambda}^c$ is a fuzzy sub near field of M that mean $\bar{\lambda}^c$ is S-fuzzy ideal related to the near field M of N . to prove that $\bar{\lambda}^c$ is (S- δ FI) related to the near field M of N , $x, y \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \bar{\lambda}^c(x - y) &= 1 - \bar{\lambda}(x - y) \\ &\leq 1 - \bar{\lambda}(x) = \bar{\lambda}^c(x). \\ (2) \bar{\lambda}^c(xy) &= 1 - \bar{\lambda}(xy) \\ &\geq 1 - \max\{\bar{\lambda}(x), \bar{\lambda}(y)\} \\ &\geq \max\{1 - \bar{\lambda}(x), 1 - \bar{\lambda}(y)\} \\ &\geq \max\{\bar{\lambda}^c(x), \bar{\lambda}^c(y)\}. \end{aligned}$$

From (1) and (2) $\bar{\lambda}^c$ is a (S- δ FI) related to the near filed M of N.

Proposition (2.7)

Let A, B be any two (S- δ FI) related to the near filed M of N, if $A \subset B \vee B \subset A$ then $A \cup B$ is (S- δ FI) related to the near filed M of N is a (S- δ FI) related to the near filed M of N.

Proof

Let A, B be any two (S- δ FI) related to the near filed M of N, then A^c, B^c are two (S- δ FI) related to the near filed M of N, by using (2.6) $A^c \cap B^c$ is (S- δ FI) related to the near filed M of N.

, $A^c \cap B^c = (A \cup B)^c$ by using (2.4) $((A \cup B)^c)^c = (A \cup B)$ is (S- δ FI) related to the near filed M of N

Reference

- [1] G.Pilz, "Near ring", North Hollanda Publ. and co., 1977.
- [2] W.B.vasantha andasamy, "Samarandache near ring "United States of America" , pp.20-30, pp.69-71, 158, 2002.
- [3] W.B.vasantha andasamy, "Samarandache fuzzy algebra "United States of America" , pp.9-10, pp.47-58, 292, 2003.
- [4] S.Bharanri, "On Fuzzy Costs Of Gamma Near ring ", Turk.J.math , pp.11-22, 2005.