### Chromosomal aberrations in the peripheral blood lymphocytes of Dental Radiographers who exposed to diagnostic X-ray in Erbil city / Iraqi Kurdistan region

Kazhal M. Sulaiman

Biology Department, College of Education, Salahaddin University, Erbil, Iraq Email- Kazhalbio@ yahoo.com

#### Abstract

Radiographic examination is one of the principal diagnostic methods used in all fields of medical and dental services. The incidence of chromosomal aberrations was evaluated in the peripheral blood lymphocytes of 50 individuals who worked in different dental colleges and clinics in Erbil City. This research was donning between March to September 2016. Blood samples were collected also from 20 individuals as control group, that were not exposed to any diagnostic radiations. An attempt was done to find the relationship between the frequency of chromosomal aberrations and smoking habit. The radiographers showed a significant increase of chromosomal aberrations as compared to control group at both probability level (P < 0.01, P < 0.05), but significant decreases in mitotic index were shown. The highest value of chromosomal aberrations was chromatid gap and lowest value of mitotic index were found both observed in dental radiographers who were smoker with duration of exposure for more than 10 yrs.

Keywords: Chromosome aberration, Mitotic index, dental radiographers, Occupational exposure, X-rays, Smokers.

#### 1. Introduction

The X- ray used in diagnostic of many diseases and have the ability to cause mutations and inducing chromosomal aberrations, so they act on DNA molecule [1].Radiation induces mutation in genetic material in experimental animals and human [2]. The effects of X- ray on female fertility was observed by [3] in adult rats. Leukemia and chromosomal aberrations also were founded by [4] in the mice exposed to X-rays.

Cytotoxic effects of X-rays in workers who were occupationally exposed were recorded in several earlier studies [5], who observed high frequency of chromosomal aberrations such as acentric and dicentric chromosome in peripheral blood lymphocytes of workers who handled diagnostic Xray machines. Cytogenetic analysis can play an integral role in retrospective dose reconstruction of chronic exposure in epidemiological studies of exposed populations [6].

Chromosome changes play a major role in carcinogenesis [7] and there is increasing evidence that their presence in peripheral blood lymphocytes provides a marker of cancer risk [8].

Tobacco smoke is contain many of potentially hazardous chemicals including radioactive agents[9], the formation of free radicals from radioactive and nonradioactive chemicals is one of the major pathways by which tobacco smoke causes genetic damage, chromosomal aberrations and cancer[10].

The ionizing radiation classified as direct or indirect radiation .X-ray are indirectly ionizing radiation, they not produce biological or chemical changes themselves, but when they are absorbed by those subjects which they pass through . so they give up their energy to produce very fast – moving charged molecules [11]. The present study was conducted to determine chromosomal aberrations and mitotic index in dental radiographers in Erbil City/ Iraqi Kurdistan Region.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

In Erbil city a large groups of dental radiographers who not specialists in radiology . This fact lead us to select this group for cytogenetic study .The subjects were consist of 50 dental radiographers and the study of frequency of chromosomal aberrations based on smoking habit and duration of work (less than ten years and more than ten years), their age groups range from (25-55) years, and 20 controls (non- workers/ non – smokers).

A special questionnaire form were used in order to collect all the important information's. The questions included age, smoking and alcohol habits and total working hours/ day, were filled in through direct interviews with them.

#### 2.1 Blood sampling:-

Five ml of blood were collected from 50 dental radiographers, using sterile disposable syringes. Then, the blood was put in a special tube for chromosomal study (Lithium Heparin tube). Heparinized blood were collect from each dentist and processed at Research Center /Salahaddin University. Cytogenetic analysis was performed by using the protocol of Iraqi center for cancer and medical genetic research (ICCMGR).

#### 2.2 Blood culture and harvesting

About one ml or 6-7 drops of heparinized blood was cultured in 5 ml RPMI – 1640 culture medium, then supplement 0.3 ml of PHA .Culture tubes were incubated at 37 C° for 72 hours, after 71 hours of incubation 0.2 ml of colchicines was added to the culture tube with mild shaking and then incubated at 37 C° for next 1 hour. Then after many steps of centrifugation and adding of fixatives, 3 to 4 drops of cell suspension were dropped evenly from appropriate distance (typically 30 cm) on to a wet chilled and grease free slide, then the slide was dried at room temperature. The slide was stained with freshly prepared giemsa stain (Giemsa stain 1:4

#### Tikrit Journal of Pure Science 22 (9) 2017

Sorensone buffer solution) for 2-3 minutes. Then the slide was washed by Sorensone's buffer and left to dry at room temperature. Excess buffer was removed by slanting the slide on filter paper. After processing the cultures and preparation of slides, the slides were stained by Giemsa stain [12].

#### 2.3 Microscopic analysis

The slides were examined at a magnification of 1000 X. A total of 100 cells for each individual in each group and all different type of chromosomal aberrations were classified.

#### 2.4 Mitotic index assay

The mitotic index calculated MI= number of mitosis (metaphase cells) / total number of cells  $\times$  100.

#### 2.5 Statistical Analysis

Performed, using SPSS version 18 software application to study the chromosomal aberrations and mitotic index in different groups.

#### 3. Results and Discussion

#### *ISSN: 1813 – 1662 (Print) E-ISSN: 2415 – 1726 (On Line)*

Figure (1) shows the total number of dental radiographers were categorized into two groups based on smoking habit represent (20 control –non workers-non smokers), (20 dental radiographer – smoker) and (30 dental radiographer –non smoker),by random sampling, while figure (2) shows the characteristic of population represent years of exposure and smoking habit for dental radiographers in which whom non-smoker and exposed to x- ray for less than 10 years represent (20%), non-smoker (40%) and whom smoker exposed to x- ray for less than 10 years represent (20%) exposed to x- ray for more than 10 years represent (20%) with their age groups range from (25-55) years.

The results of the present study was supported by [13] who suggest that people who work in dental care specially who work with x-ray or other imaging test may exposed to radiation at work . The Exposure have limited to an effective dose of 100 msv over five years .



Fig. (1) Characteristics of population represent number of individuals in each group obtained by random sampling



Fig. (2) Characteristic of population represent years of exposure of dental Radiographers (smoker and non-smoker)

From study the frequency of chromosomal radiographers, the results shows different types of chromosomal aberrations (CAs) and mitotic index in dental chromosomal aberrations in blood sample of

#### Tikrit Journal of Pure Science 22 (9) 2017

radiographers., including (centromeric break, centromeric gap,dicentric chromosome and chromatid gap) .In table (1) E1 was control while E2 represent workers (radiographers), and study of smoking habit which included non- smokers and smokers represent (S1 and S2), while study of duration of exposure which included work for less than 10 years and more than 10 years represent (D1< 10 yrs and D2> 10 yrs), respectively.

Table (1) shows highly significant effect at level (P<0.01). in both control and exposed individuals on different types of chromosomal aberrations like (Centromeric break, centromeric gap ,dicentric chromosome and chromatid gap), and also mitotic index as shown in figure (4,5 and 6). The different between mean values were clear as shown in table (2). Smoking habit, have highly significant effect on all types of chromosomal aberrations but not significant on mitotic index. Also duration of work have highly effect on all types of aberrations but only significant on mitotic index.

In table (2) we observed that in both the highest value of CAs was (dicentric chromosomes) (5.750 ±0.144) which occurred in exposed radiographers in which mitotic index were decreased compare to control  $(8.583 \pm 0.144)$ . In case of study smoking habit the high value of chromosomal aberrations was (chromatid gap)  $(4.500 \pm 0.156)$  which found in smoker person, while mitotic index showed high value in non -smokers (10.750±0.144) . In case of duration of exposure the highest value of CAs was (dicentric chromosome)  $(4.000 \pm 0.144)$ which occurred in radiographers who work for more than 10 years while mitotic index show high in radiographers who work for less than 10 years. The effects of interaction between control, radiographers, smoking habit, and duration of exposure on chromosomal aberrations and mitotic index, finally the highest value of chromosomal aberration was (chromatid gap) ( $6.333\pm0.312$ ), and lowest value of mitotic index (6.667±0.289) were found in dental radiographers who were smoker with duration of exposure for more than 10 yrs.

Numerous studies have been conducted on the cytogenetic effects of radiation on the occupationally exposed workers in medical field. But studies conducted on exposed workers in a dental set up are few. [14] concluded that chromosomal aberrations, specially dicentric chromosomes can be used as good indicator of exposure to radiation.

[15] Observed in his study on effect of dental X ray radiation in averted cheek pouch of Chinese hamsters which were exposed to 0.25R, 2.9R and 5.4R radiation dose found significant amounts of chromosomal damage for all doses of radiation. [16] concluded in their studies in radiation exposed groups working in various medical fields compared to controls, there were increases in the rate of chromosomal aberrations.[17] concluded from cytogenetic study on Brazilian dentists who occupationally exposed to low dose of X radiation that there were no significant difference between the dentists and the unexposed controls.

The evaluation of the potential of both physical and chemical agents in producing many dangerous effects on all cells can de doing by determination the proportion of (metaphase cells) and calculating of mitotic index. Decreases of mitotic index is a result of reducing in the rate of cell division [18]. [19] concluded from a study of chromosomal aberrations in the peripheral lymphocytes of workers exposed to diagnostic X-ray, that there were significant increase in chromosomal aberrations when compared to control group also they funded that chromosomal aberrations increased with duration of exposure, those aberrations included chromatid gap, fragments, dicentric and break.[20] founded high frequency of centromere positive and centromer negative in blood sample of radiographers .

High frequency of ring type of chromosomal aberrations, dicentric and acentric chromosome were observed in the peripheral blood lymphocytes of medical staff who were exposed to x- ray [21] .[22] concluded that chromosome damage is associated with low levels of radiation exposure from diagnostic X-ray examinations, which including dose scores of nearly about 50 and lower, suggesting the possibility of long-term adverse health effects. [5] concluded that an increased frequency of acentric fragments as a function of years of employment in exposed groups, more in medical radiographers than in radiologists.

Ionizing radiation is a potent mutagenic agent capable of inducing both mutation and chromosomal aberrations. Non-lethal doses of ionizing radiation may induce genomic instability favoring carcinogenesis [23].Strong mitotic delays could be observed, which depended on both the irradiated volume and the applied dose[24]. Long term occupational exposure to low doses IR contributes to the development and increased frequency of specific CA like dicentrics [25].

A smoker is exposed to a variety of carcinogenic constituents present in cigarettes, making it necessary to analyze the cells at metaphase as these can be a health hazard to the future generations, an increased frequency of chromosome breaks has been demonstrated to be an initial event in carcinogenesis [26]. [27] showed that the frequency of CA was significantly higher in smokers than in non-smokers showing the highest number of Chromosomal Aberrations (CA) among heavy smokers (>20 packyears. [28] concluded from a study of cytogenetic biomonitoring of workers in hospital who exposed to low level of ionizing radiation, that there were a significant differences in the incidence of cells with chromosomal aberrations between smoker and non smoker people.

		en pobea to t	and growthe in the	, <b>m m m m</b>				
		Mean square( MS)						
Source of variation	dd.f	Centromeric	Centromeric	Dicentric	Chromatid	Mitotic		
		break	gap	chromosome	gap	Index		
Case:	1	60.167 **	84.375 **	170.667 **	150.000 **	104.167 **		
Control(E1)								
Exposures( E2)								
Smoking:	1	10.667 **	35.042 **	24.000 **	60.167 **	0.167		
Non-Smokers(S1)								
Smoker(S2)								
Durationof exposure:	1	6.000 **	9.375 **	20.167 **	13.500 **	1.500 *		
(D1. < 10yrs)								
(D2.>10yrs)								
Case/ Smoking(E/S)	1	13.500 **	30.375 **	20.167 **	42.667 **	10.667 **		
Smoking/ Durationof	1	2.667 *	3.375 **	0.020	1.500 *	0.667		
exposure (S/D)								
Case/Duration of	1	8.167 **	18.375 **	16.667 **	10.667 **	6.000 **		
exposure (E/D)								
Case/Smoking/Duration	1	1.500 *	2.042 *	0.167 **	2.667 **	8.667 **		
of exposure ( E/S/D).								
Error	4	0.333	0.250	0.250	0.292	0.250		
Total	6	24						

## Table (1):Analysis of variance to study the chromosomal aberrations in dental radiographers who exposed to diagnostic x- ray in Erbil City

## Table (2): Mean±S.E to study chromosomal aberrations in Dental radiographers who Exposed to diagnostic x- ray in Erbil city

	Chromosomal aberrations									
Factors	Centromeric break	Centromeric gap	Dicentric chromosome	Chromatid gap	Mitotic Index					
Control(E1)	$0.417 \pm 0.167$	$0.417 \pm 0.144$	$0.417 \pm 0.144$	0.417±0.156	12.720±0.144					
Exposure(E2)	3.583±0.167	4.167±0.144	5.750±0.144	5.417±0.156	$8.583 \pm 0.144$					
L.S.D	0.411	0.356	0.356	0.385	0.356					
(0.05)-(0.01)	0.607	0.527	0.527	0.568	0.527					
Non-Smoker(S1)	1.333±0.167	$1.083 \pm 0.144$	2.083±0.144	1.333±0.156	10.750±0.144					
Smoker(S2)	2.667±0.167	3.500±0.144	4.083±0.144	4.500±0.156	10.583±0.144					
L.S.D	0.411	0.356	0.356	0.385	-					
	0.607	0.527	0.527	0.568						
Durationof exposure	$1.500 \pm 0.167$	$1.667 \pm 0.144$	$2.167 \pm 0.144$	2.167±0.156	10.917±0.144					
< 5 yrs.(D1)										
> 5yrs (D2)	2.500±0.167	2.917±0.144	4.000±0.144	3.667±0.156	$10.417 \pm 0.144$					
L.S.D	0.411	0.356	0.356	0.385	0.356					
	0.607	0.527	0.527	0.568	0.527					
E1S1	0.500±0.236	0.333±0.204	0.333±0.204	0.167±0.220	12.167±0.204					
E1S2	0.333±0.236	0.500±0.204	0.500±0.204	0.667±0.220	12.333±0.204					
E2S1	2.167±0.236	1.833±0.204	3.833±0.204	2.500±0.220	9.333±0.204					
E2S2	5.000±0.236	6.500±0.204	7.667±0.204	8.333±0.220	7.833±0.204					
L.S.D	0.581	0.504	0.504	0.544	0.504					
	0.860	0.743	0.743	0.803	0.743					
SIDI	1.16/±0.236	0.833±0.204	1.16/±0.204	0.833±0.220	11.16/±0.204					
SID2	1.833±0.236	2.500±0.204	3.16/±0.204	3.500±0.220	10.667±0.204					
S2D1	1.500±0.236	1.333±0.204	3.000±0.204	1.833±0.220	10.333±0.204					
S2D2	3.500±0.236	4.5000±0.204	5.0000.204	5.500±0.220	10.500±0.204					
L.S.D	0.581	0.504	-	0.544	-					
E1D1	0.500+0.226	0.743	0.222+0.204	0.222+0.220	12 500 + 0 204					
EIDI EID2	0.500±0.250	$0.007 \pm 0.204$	4.000+0.204	0.333±0.220 4.000±0.220	$12.300\pm0.204$ 0.222±0.204					
EID2 E2D1	2.300±0.230	2.007±0.204	4.000±0.204	4.000±0.220	9.555±0.204					
E2D1 E2D2	0.333±0.230	0.107±0.204 5.667±0.204	7 500±0.204	6.922±0.220	$13.000\pm0.204$ 7.822±0.204					
	4.007±0.230	0.007±0.204	0.504	0.833±0.220	7.833±0.204					
L.S.D.	0.860	0.743	0.743	0.803	0.743					
E1S1D1	0.667±0.333	0.667±0.289	0.333±0.289	0.000±0.312	12.667±0.289					
E1S1D2	0.333±0.333	0.667±0.289	0.333±0.289	0.667±0.312	12.333±0.289					
E1S2D1	1.667±0.333	1.000±0.289	2.000±0.289	1.667±0.312	9.667±0.289					
E1S2D2	3.333±0.333	4.333±0.289	6.000±0.289	6.333±0.312	9.000±0.289					
E2S1D1	0.333±0.333	1.000±0.289	0.333±0.289	0.333±0.312	11.667±0.289					
E2S1D2	0.333±0.333	0.333±0.289	0.667±0.289	0.667±0.312	14.333±0.289					
E2S2D1	2.667±0.333	2.667±0.289	5.667±0.289	3.333±0.312	9.000±0.289					
E2S2D2	6.667±0.333	8.667±0.289	9.333±0.289	10.333±0.312	6.667±0.289					
L.S.D	0.822	0.712	0.712	0.770	0.712					
	1.216	1.053	1.053	1.139	1.053					



Figure (3): Normal distribution of human chromosome (1000 X, Giemsa stain







Figure (5): Chromosome aberrations in lymphocytes of dental radiographers who exposed to diagnostic x- ray in Erbil City.(1000 X, Giemsa stain)



Figure (6) : Chromosome aberrations in lymphocytes of dental radiographers who exposed to diagnostic x- ray in Erbil City.(1000 X, Giemsa stain).

#### Conclusions

From the results of this study we concluded that chromosome aberrations was occurred in dental radiographers who exposed to diagnostic x- ray, included (centromeric break, centromeric gap, dicentric chromosome and chromatid gap). The highest value of chromosomal aberrations was **References** 

**1.**Ameerunnisa, C. M.; David G.; Savitha, B.; Ramnarayan, K. and Sanjay, J. (2011) Analysis of Cytogenetic Effects of Radiation in Dental Personnel Exposed to Diagnostic X-rays. Int J Hum Genet, 11(4): 271-276.

**2.** Xiao, Y. and Natarajan, AT. (1999.) Non - proportional involvement of Chinese hamster chromosomes 3,4,8 and 9 in X - ray - induced chromosomal aberration. Int J Radiat Biol, 75(8): 943-95.

**3.** Martinez, F.; Egozwe, J.and Garcia M .( 1998) Effects on female fertility and germinal cells in prepubertal and adult rats after X-ray irradiation. Adv Exp Med Biol, 444(1): 215-219.

**4.** Mac Donald, D.; Boulton, E.; Pocock, D.; Kadhim, M. and PlumbM .(2001). Evidence of genetic instability in 3 Gy Xray induced mouse leukemias and 3 Gy X- irradiated

haemopoietic stem cells. Int J Radiat Biol,77(10): 1023-1031

**5.** Jha, AN. and Sharma, T. (1991). Enhanced frequency of chromosome aberrations in workers occupationally exposed to diagnostic X-rays. Mutat Res, 260(4): 343-348

**6.** Janet, T.; Gillian, B.; Curwen, A.; Patricia, J.; Michael, G.; Leanne, H. and Kevin K.(2015) Chromosome Aberrations Determined by FISH in Radiation Workers from the Sellafield Nuclear Facility. Radiation research. 184, 296–303 (2015)..

**7.** Mitelman, F.; Johansson, B. and Mertens F.(2007) The impact of translocations and gene fusions on cancer causation. Nature Rev Cancer ;7:233–45.

**8.** Bonassi, S.; Norppa, H.; Ceppi, M.; Stromberg ,U.; Vermeulen, R. and Znaor A(2008) chromosomal

chromatid gap was found in dental radiographers who were smoker with duration of exposure for more than 10 yrs. In case of mitotic index the results shows that lowest value of mitotic index were found in dental radiographers who were smoker with duration of exposure for more than 10 yrs.

aberration frequency in lymphocytes predicts the risk of cancer: results from a pooled cohort study of 22358 subjects in 11 countries. Carcinogenesis; 29:1178–83.

**9.** Cohen, B.S.; Eisenburd, M. and Harly, N.H.(1980). Alpha radioactivity in cigarette smoke. Radiat. Res., 83: 190 196.

**10.** Pryor, W.A.(1987). Cigarette smoke and the involvement of free radical reactions in

chemical carcinogenesis. Br. J. Cancer., 55: 19 23.

**11.** Hall, EJ. (1994) The physics and chemistry of radiation absorption. In: Radiobiology for the radiologist. 4rd ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott;. p. 1-13.

**12.** Yaseen, N.Y.; Humadi, A.A.; Tawfiq, M.S. and Estivan, A.G. (1998) Cytogenetic studies on patients with chronic Myelocytic leukemia, Med. J. Tikrit Univ.,4 :5-9.

**13.** Linet ,MS.; Slovis, TL.and Miller, DL.,(2012). Cancer risks associated with external radiation from diagnostic imaging procedures. CA Cancer J Clin.;62: 75–100.

**14. Weber,** J.; Scheid, W. and Traut H.(1995) Biological dosimetry after extensive diagnostic X-ray exposure. Healthy Physics ;68(2):266-9.

**15.** Stuart, C. (1967) Effects of Low Level Radiation on Chromosomes. J Dent Res, 46: 1177-1181.

**16.**Abolfazl, M.; Maleki, F.; Fadaie, S. and Azargashb, E.(2007). Persistent unstable chromosomal aberrations in lymphocytes of radiotherapy workers after 1st mitotic division in Tehran, Iran. Pak J Med Sci, 23(2): 254-258.

**17.** Cintia, K. and Ilc, M. (2002). Cytogenetic biomonitoring of Brazillian dentists occupationally

exposed to low doses of X radiation. Pesqui Odontol Bras, 16(3): 196-201

**18.** Galloway, SM. ;Aardema, MJ.; Ishidate, J.; Ivett, JL.; Kirkland, DJ.; Morita, T.; Mosesso, P. and Sofuni T(1994) Report from working group *in vitro* tests for chromosomal aberrations. Mutat Res; (312):241-61.

**19.** Maddleti, U.; Padmaja, T.; Hemaprasad, M. and Reddy, P.(2002). Analysis of chromosomal aberrations in the peripheral lymphocytes of workers exposed to diagnostic X-ray. Int J Hum Genet, 2(4): 265-268.

**20**. Thierens, H.; Vral ,A.; Morthier, R.; Aousalah ,B. and De Ridder, L.(2000). Cytogenetic monitoring of hospital workers occupationally exposed to ionizing radiation using the micronucleus centromere assay.Mutagenesis, 15(3): 245-249.

**21.** Kasuba, V.; Rozgaj, R. and Sentija, K .(1998). Chromosomal aberrations in medical staff occupationally exposed to X-rays: a follow-up study. Arh Hig Rada Toksikol,49(1): 1-8.

**22.** Lee, C.; Yong,A.; Michele ,M.; Doody, D.; Preston, M.; Kampa, M.; Ramsey, E. and Ward M.(2010) Diagnostic X-ray examinations and increased chromosome translocations: evidence from three studies Parveen Bhatti,. Radiat Environ Biophys.; 49(4): 685–692

**23**. Poonam, A.; Dhundanalli, V.; Shashidevi, H.; Chandrashekar, K.; Thippanna, N. and Ganapathi M.

(2015) Genotoxic and cytotoxic effects of X-ray on buccal epithelial cells following panoramic radiography: A pediatric study.J Cytol. 2015 Apr-Jun; 32(2): 102–106.

**24.** Heimers, A.; Brede, HJ.; Giesen, U .and Hoffmann, W.,(2006) Chromosome aberration analysis and the influence of mitotic delay after simulated partial-body exposure with high doses of sparsely and densely ionising radiation. Radiat Environ Biophys.;45(1):45-54.

**25.** Milacic, S. (2009) Chromosomal aberrations after exposure to low doses of ionizing radiation.

J BUON.;14(4):641-6

**26**. Uma1, A.; Dhananjay, S.; Kotasthane, and Tirou ,T. (2014) Chromosomal aberrations: A tool for early diagnosis of cancer in smokers in a rural Pondicherry population, India Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci () 3(10) 587-593587.

**27**. Sierra, T.; Arboleda, M. and Hoyos, L.S., (2004). Chomosome aberrations among the cigarette smokers in Colombia, Mutation research / genetic toxicology and environmental Mutagenesis. Mutat. Res., 562(1-2): 67 75.

**28.** Paola, B.; Laura, L.; Giuseppe, A. and Flavio, A. (1988) cytogenetic monitoring of hospital workers exposed to low – level ionizing radiation.Genetic toxicology 204-2-343-347.

# التشوهات الكروموسومية في الخلايا اللمفاوية في الدم المحيطي لفنيون اشعة الاسنان المعرضين الى المتوهات الى التشعة اكس التشخيصية في مدينة اربيل / اقليم كردستان العراق

كةذال محمد سليمان

قسم علو م الحياة ، كلية التربية ، جامعة صلاح الدين ، اربيل ،العراق

#### الملخص

ان الفحص الاشعائي هي واحدة من الطرق الاساسية التي تستخدم في كل المجالات الطبية وخدمات الاسنان. ان حدوث التشوهات الكروموسومية قد قدرت في خلايا الدم المحيطي ل 50 اشخاص يعملون في عيادات و كليات طب الاسنان في مدينة اربيل. ان هذا البحث قد اجريت بين شهر اذار الى شهر ايلول 2016. ولاجراء المقارنة تم جمع 20 عينة دم من اشخاص كمجموعة سيطرة (غير معرضين الى اية اشعة تشخيصية). وتم محاولة لاجراء علاقة بين التشوهات الكروموسومية دم من اشخاص كمجموعة سيطرة (غير معرضين الى اية اشعة تشخيصية). وتم محاولة لاجراء علاقة بين التشوهات الكروموسومية دم من اشخاص كمجموعة سيطرة (غير معرضين الى اية اشعة تشخيصية). وتم محاولة لاجراء علاقة بين التشوهات الكروموسومية والتدخين ان النتائج تبين بانه توجد زيادة معنوية في التشوهات الكروموسومية لدى فنيون اشعة الاسنان مقارنة بمجموعة السيطرة عند مستوى الاحتماليه (200 , P< 0.01, P< 0.05 ) ولكن توجد انخفاض معنوي في قابلية انقسام الخلايا، ان اعلى الاسنان مقارنة بمجموعة السيطرة عند مستوى الاحتماليه (2015, P</p>