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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { الخلاصة }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \subset \operatorname{Im} \gamma_{1}^{2} \subset \operatorname{Im} \beta_{1}^{3} \subset \operatorname{Ker} \gamma_{2}^{3} \subset \mathrm{~S}_{2}^{3}(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{n}) \\
& 0 \subset \operatorname{Im} \gamma_{1}^{2} \subset \operatorname{Im} \alpha_{2}^{2} \subset \operatorname{Ker} \gamma_{2}^{3} \subset \mathrm{~S}_{2}^{3}(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{n})
\end{aligned}
$$


#### Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to study the Weyl groups and structure of the specht modules $S_{2}^{3}(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{n})$. Also, in this study, we were able to prove the following composition series of the modules $S_{2}^{3}(m, n)$ : $$
\begin{aligned} & 0 \subset \operatorname{Im} \gamma_{1}^{2} \subset \operatorname{Im} \beta_{1}^{3} \subset \operatorname{Ker} \gamma_{2}^{3} \subset \mathrm{~S}_{2}^{3}(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{n}) \\ & 0 \subset \operatorname{Im} \gamma_{1}^{2} \subset \operatorname{Im} \alpha_{2}^{2} \subset \operatorname{Ker} \gamma_{2}^{3} \subset \mathrm{~S}_{2}^{3}(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{n}) \end{aligned}
$$


## 1. INTRODUCTION

Many outstanding problems in representation theory can be solved with a proper understanding of the specht modules for the Weyl groups $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}$ of type $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}}[1,2]$.

Peel [3] gives the analysis of the specht modules corresponding to the partitions of the form ( $n-r, 1^{r}$ ), $0 \leq r \leq n-1$. The diagram of such a partition is a hook and accordingly, these specht modules are referred to as hook representation modules. Halicioglu [4] show how to construct submodules of Specht modules for Weyl groups. Geck and Jacon [5] present the irreducible representations of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and consider the canonical basic sets for certain values of the parameters in type $B_{n}$. Brandt [6] present the analogues of more results in the symmetric groups for the Weyl groups of type $B_{n}$. The intention of this research is to study the structure of the modules $S_{2}^{3}(m, n)$.

Now we give an indication of the layout of this paper. In section 2 , we present definition and some properties of the Weyl group. In section 3, we introduce a classification of the group algebra $\mathrm{KW}_{\mathrm{n}}$. In section 4 , we introduce the fundamental definitions and examples of
compositions, partitions and diagram. In section 5, we introduce $\lambda$ tableaux and $(\lambda, \mu)$ - tableaux and related definitions. In section 6 , we give basic definitions and analysis of specht modules $S_{2}^{3}(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{n})$. Finally in section 7, we review the background theory that we shall need; we also combine our results to complete the proof of the main theorem.

## 2. THE WEYL GROUP $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}$

We begin by defining a family of integral representation modules of the Weyl groups $W_{n}$. Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be independent indeterminate over the field K characteristic P , which may be zero or a prime number and P not equal to 2 , let $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}$ be the set of all one to one mapping w from the set $\left\{ \pm \mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \pm \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ onto $\left\{ \pm \mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \pm \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ such that $\mathrm{w}(-\mathrm{xi})=$ $-w(x i), i=1, \ldots, n$.

The pair ( $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}$, composition) forms a group known as the Weyl groups [1].

## Properties of $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{n}}$ :

1) Each element $w$ belongs to $W_{n}$ is called a permutation.
2) w can be represented as

$$
\mathrm{W}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
\mathrm{x}_{1} & \ldots & \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}} & -\mathrm{x}_{1} & \ldots & -\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}} \\
\mathrm{w}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right) & \ldots & \mathrm{w}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) & -\mathrm{w}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right) & \ldots & -\mathrm{w}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

3) The order of the Weyl groups $W_{n}$ is denoted by $\left|W_{n}\right|$ which is equal to 2 n! .

## Example(2.1):-


Notice that $\left|W_{2}\right|=2^{2} .2!=8$.

## 3. THE GROUP ALGEBRA KW $\mathbf{n}$

## Definition(3.1) :

Let $\mathrm{K}\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]$ be the set of all polynomials in $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}$ with coefficients in the field $K$.

Then any permutation $\mathrm{w} \in \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}$ can be regarded as a one mapping from $\mathrm{K}\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]$ onto $\mathrm{K}\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]$ by defining $\mathrm{wf}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=$ $f\left(\mathrm{wx}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{wx} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ for each Polynomial $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \in \mathrm{K}\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]$.

That is w changes each variable $x_{i}$ by the variable $w\left(x_{i}\right)$ in each polynomial $f\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \in \mathrm{K}\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]$.

Now since $\operatorname{kf}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \in \mathrm{K}\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]$ for each polynomial $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ and for each $k \in K$, Then the multiplication of a permutation $w \in W_{n}$ by a scalar $k \in K$ is a function $\operatorname{kwf}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\mathrm{kf}\left(\mathrm{wx}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{wx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ for each $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \in \mathrm{K}\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ].

Let $\mathrm{KW}_{\mathrm{n}}$ be the set of all k -linear combination of the permutations $W_{i} \in W_{n}$, i.e. $\operatorname{KW}_{n}=\left\{\Sigma \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}} \in \mathrm{K}\right\}$

## 4. COMPOSITIONS, PARTITIONS AND DIAGRAM

In this section we introduce the fundamental definitions of compositions, partitions and diagram. Thereby we follow [1, 2 and 6].

## Definition (4.1):

1) $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots\right)$ is a composition of $n$, if $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots$ are nonnegative integers with $|\lambda|=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{i}=n$. The non-zero $\lambda_{i}$ are called the parts of $\lambda$.
2) A partition of $n$ is a composition $\lambda$ of $n$ for which

$$
\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \lambda_{3} \geq \ldots
$$

## Definition (4.2):

A pair of partitions $(\lambda, \mu)$ of a positive integer n , is a pair of sequences of positive integers $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{s}\right)$ and $\mu=\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{t}\right)$ such that

1) $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{\mathrm{s}}>0, \mu_{1} \geq \mu_{2} \geq \ldots \geq \mu_{\mathrm{t}}>0$.
2) $\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\ldots+\lambda_{\mathrm{s}}+\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}+\ldots+\mu_{\mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{n}$.

## Definition (4.3):

If $\lambda$ is a composition of $n$, then the diagram $[\lambda]$ is the set
$\left\{(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}) \mid \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j} \in \mathrm{Z}, 1 \leq \mathrm{i}, 1 \leq \mathrm{j} \leq \lambda_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}$. If $(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}) \in[\lambda]$, then $(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})$ is called a node of $[\lambda]$. The $k^{\text {th }}$ row ( respectively, column ) of a diagram consists of those nodes whose first ( respectively, second ) coordinate is $k$.

We shall draw diagrams as in the following example.

## Example (4.1):

For $\lambda=(4,2,3,1)$ we have $[\lambda]=$


## Definition (4.4):

$\left.\mu_{t}\right)$ ) be a pair of partitions of a positive integer n . Then the set
$D_{(\lambda, \mu)}=\left\{(i, j, k) \mid 1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq \lambda_{i}\right.$ if $k=1$ and $1 \leq i \leq t, 1 \leq j \leq \mu_{i}$ if $\mathrm{k}=2\}$ is called the $(\lambda, \mu)$ - diagram.

## Example (4.2):

Let $(\lambda, \mu)=((3,2,2),(3,3))$ which is a pair of partitions of 13 , then the $(\lambda, \mu)$ - diagram is the set
$\mathrm{D}_{(\lambda, \mu)}=\{(1,1,1),(1,2,1),(1,3,1),(2,1,1),(2,2,1),(3,1,1),(3,2,1)$, $(1,1,2),(1,2,2),(1,3,2),(2,1,2),(2,2,2),(2,3,2)\}$.
The following pair of nodes is also a $(\lambda, \mu)$ - diagram.


## 5. $\lambda$ - TABLEAUX AND $(\lambda, \mu)$ - TABLEAUX

We continue with the introduction of $\lambda$ - tableaux and $(\lambda, \mu)-$ tableaux and related definitions. Our main references are [1, 6].

## Definition (5.1):

Let $\lambda$ be a composition of $n$. A $\lambda$ - tableau is one of the $n$ ! Arrays of integers obtained by replacing each node in $[\lambda]$ by one of the integers $1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$, allowing no repeats.

## Example (5.1):

Let $\lambda=(1,2,4,1)$. Then are $\lambda$ - tableaux.



## Definition (5.2):

Let $(\lambda, \mu)=\left(\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{s}\right),\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{t}\right)\right)$ be a pair partitions of a positive integer $n$. Then any one to one mapping $Z$ from $D_{(\lambda, \mu)}$ into the set $\left\{ \pm x_{1}, \ldots, \pm x_{n}\right\}$ such that $Z\left(i_{1}, j_{1}, k_{1}\right) \neq \pm Z\left(i_{2}, j_{2}, k_{2}\right)$ if ( $\left.i_{1}, j_{1}, k_{1}\right) \neq\left(i_{2}, j_{2}, k_{2}\right)$ is called a $(\lambda, \mu)-$ tableau.

## Example (5.2):

Let $(\lambda, \mu)=((3,2),(1,1))$ be a pair of partitions of 7 , then a $((3,2),(1,1))-$ tableaux is the mapping
$\mathrm{Z}: \mathrm{D}_{((3,2),(1,1)} \longrightarrow\left\{ \pm \mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \pm \mathrm{x}_{7}\right\}$ defined by $\mathrm{Z}(1,1,1)=\mathrm{x}_{1}$, $Z(1,2,1)=x_{3}, Z(1,3,1)=-x_{5}, Z(2,1,1)=x_{2}, Z(2,2,1)=-x_{4}, Z(1,1,2)$ $=x_{6}, Z(2,1,2)=x_{7}$.

Z can also be considered as the following pair of arrays

| $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{3}$ | $-\mathrm{x}_{5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | $-\mathrm{x}_{4}$ |  |,$\quad, \quad$| $\mathrm{x}_{6}$ |
| :--- |
| $\mathrm{x}_{7}$ |

## 6. SPECHT MODULE $S_{2}^{\mathbf{3}}(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n})$

## Definition (6.1):

Let $(\lambda, \mu)=\left(\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\mathrm{s}}\right),\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{\mathrm{t}}\right)\right)$ be a pair of partitions of a positive integer $n$, and let $Z$ be any $(\lambda, \mu)$ - tableau, then the cyclic $\mathrm{KW}_{\mathrm{n}}$ - module $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}}(\lambda, \mu)$ generated over $\mathrm{KW}_{\mathrm{n}}$ by $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{Z})$ (i.e. $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}}(\lambda, \mu)=$ $\left.\mathrm{KW}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{Z})\right)$ is called the Specht module over K corresponding to the pair of partitions $(\lambda, \mu)$ of $n$.

The Specht modules $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}}\left(\left(\mathrm{m}-2,1^{\mathrm{t}-1}\right)\right.$, $\left.\left(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{m}-1,1^{\mathrm{r}-1}\right)\right)$ will be denoted by $S_{r}^{t}(m, n)$, where $t, r, m$ and $n$ are positive integers such that $t \leq$ $\mathrm{m} \leq \mathrm{n}$ and $\mathrm{r} \leq \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{m}$.

## Definition (6.2):

Let $\alpha_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{t}}: \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{t}}(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{t}+1}(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{n}), \beta_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{t}}: \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{t}}(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{r}+1}^{\mathrm{t}}$ $(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n})$ and $\quad \gamma_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{t}}: \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{t}}(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{r}+1}^{\mathrm{t}+1}(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{n})$ are the linear transformation. Then $\alpha_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{t}}, \beta_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{t}}, \gamma_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{t}}$ are $\mathrm{KW}_{\mathrm{n}}$ - homomorphism if P divides $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{m}$ and both m and
$\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{m}$ respectively .

## ANALYSIS OF $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}^{\mathbf{3}(m, n)}$

Let $K$ be a field of characteristic $P$ not equal to 2 and $P$ divides both m and $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{m}$. Depending on the results founds by $\mathrm{AL}-$ Aamily [2], the analysis of the module $S_{2}^{3}(m, n)$ will be done.


## 7. THE MAIN RESULT

In this section, we give our main theorem. First we review the background concerning of the irreducible $\mathrm{KW}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{-}$module required to enable us to state our results.

### 7.1 USEFUL BACKGROUND RESULTS

Here, we summarize some theorems without prove (see proof in [7]) which we shall need in order to prove our Theorem 7.1.
Theorem 7.1.1: $\operatorname{Im} \gamma_{1}^{2}$ is an irreducible $\mathrm{KW}_{\mathrm{n}}$ - module.
Theorem 7.1.2: $\frac{\operatorname{Im} \beta_{1}^{3}}{\operatorname{Im} \gamma_{1}^{2}}$ is an irreducible $\mathrm{KW}_{\mathrm{n}}-$ module.
Theorem 7.1.3: $\frac{\operatorname{Im} \alpha_{2}^{2}}{\operatorname{Im} \gamma_{1}^{2}}$ is an irreducible $\mathrm{KW}_{\mathrm{n}}-$ module.
Theorem 7.1.4: $\frac{\operatorname{ker} \gamma_{2}^{3}}{\operatorname{Im} \alpha_{2}^{2}}$ is an irreducible $\mathrm{KW}_{\mathrm{n}}$ - module.
Theorem 7.1.5: $\frac{\operatorname{ker} \gamma_{2}^{3}}{\operatorname{Im} \beta_{1}^{3}}$ is an irreducible $\mathrm{KW}_{\mathrm{n}}-$ module.
To conclude, we can formulate and prove the main theorem motivating of this paper.
Theorem 7.1:
If K is a field of characteristic P not equal to 2 and P divides both $m$ and $n-m$ then $S_{2}^{3}(m, n)$ has the following composition series:

1. $0 \subset \operatorname{Im} \gamma_{1}^{2} \subset \operatorname{Im} \beta_{1}^{3} \subset \operatorname{Ker} \gamma_{2}^{3} \subset \mathrm{~S}_{2}^{3}(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{n})$
2. $0 \subset \operatorname{Im} \gamma_{1}^{2} \subset \operatorname{Im} \alpha_{2}^{2} \subset \operatorname{Ker} \gamma_{2}^{3} \subset \mathrm{~S}_{2}^{3}(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{n})$

## Proof:

From the above theorems, we have $\operatorname{Im} \gamma_{1}^{2}, \frac{\operatorname{Im} \beta_{1}^{3}}{\operatorname{Im} \gamma_{1}^{2}}, \frac{\operatorname{Im} \alpha_{2}^{2}}{\operatorname{Im} \gamma_{1}^{2}}$, $\frac{\operatorname{ker} \gamma_{2}^{3}}{\operatorname{Im} \alpha_{2}^{2}}, \frac{\operatorname{ker} \gamma_{2}^{3}}{\operatorname{Im} \beta_{1}^{3}}$ are irreducible, and from [2] we have $\operatorname{Im} \gamma_{2}^{3}$ is irreducible.
Hence $\frac{\mathrm{S}_{2}^{3}(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{n})}{\operatorname{ker} \gamma_{2}^{3}}$ is irreducible. Therefore, $\mathrm{S}_{2}^{3}(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{n})$ has (1) and (2) as composition series.

To prove that $\mathrm{S}_{2}^{3}(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{n})$ has no non-zero proper submodule other than $\operatorname{Im} \gamma_{1}^{2}, \operatorname{Im} \beta_{1}^{3}, \operatorname{Im} \alpha_{2}^{2}$ and $\operatorname{Ker} \gamma_{2}^{3}$, let $M$ be any non-zero proper $\mathrm{KW}_{\mathrm{n}}-$ submodule, then either $M$ is not a submodule of $\operatorname{Ker} \gamma_{2}^{3}$ or $M$ is a submodule of $\operatorname{Ker} \gamma_{2}^{3}$.

If $M$ is not a submodule of $\operatorname{Ker} \gamma_{2}^{3}$, then $\mathrm{S}_{2}^{3}(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{n})=M+\operatorname{Ker} \gamma_{2}^{3}$, because $\operatorname{Ker} \gamma_{2}^{3}$ is maximal in $\mathrm{S}_{2}^{3}(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{n})$.

But $\mathrm{S}_{2}^{3}(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{n})$ is indecomposable. Therefore, $M$ is a submodule of $\operatorname{Ker} \gamma_{2}^{3}$ and hence $M=\operatorname{Ker} \gamma_{2}^{3}$ or $M$ is a proper submodule of $\operatorname{Ker} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{2}^{3}$.

If $M$ is a proper submodule of $\operatorname{Ker} \gamma_{2}^{3}$ then $M=\operatorname{Im} \beta_{1}^{3}$ or $M \cap$ $\operatorname{Im} \beta_{1}^{3}=0$

1) If $M \cap \operatorname{Im} \beta_{1}^{3}=\operatorname{Im} \gamma_{1}^{2}$, then either $M \subseteq \operatorname{Im} \alpha_{2}^{2}$ or $M+\operatorname{Im} \alpha_{2}^{2}=$ $\operatorname{Ker} \gamma_{2}^{3}$
If $M+\operatorname{Im} \alpha_{2}^{2}=\operatorname{Ker} \gamma_{2}^{3}$, then
$\operatorname{Im} \beta_{1}^{3} \cap \operatorname{Ker} \gamma_{2}^{3}=\operatorname{Im} \beta_{1}^{3} \cap\left(M+\operatorname{Im} \alpha_{2}^{1}\right)=\operatorname{Im} \gamma_{1}^{2}$
This is not true. Therefore, $M \leq \operatorname{Im} \alpha_{2}^{2}$ and hence either $M=$ $\operatorname{Im} \alpha_{2}^{2}$ or $M=\operatorname{Im} \gamma_{1}^{2}$.
2) If $M \cap \operatorname{Im} \beta_{1}^{3}=0$, let $M \cap \operatorname{Im} \alpha_{2}^{2} \neq 0$, then $\operatorname{Im} \gamma_{1}^{2} \leq M \cap \operatorname{Im} \alpha_{2}^{2}$, which contradicts the assumption since $\operatorname{Im} \gamma_{1}^{2} \leq \operatorname{Im} \beta_{1}^{3}$. Therefore,
$M \cap \operatorname{Im} \alpha_{2}^{2}=0$. But it is clear that $\operatorname{Ker} \gamma_{2}^{3}=\operatorname{Im} \alpha_{2}^{2}+\operatorname{Im} \beta_{1}^{3}$ therefore, $\quad M=0$.
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