Screening for Antibacterial Activity of Twenty Two Iraqi Wild plants

Abdulameer Abdullah Al-Mussawi NursingCollege / BasraUniversity

dr_ameer2006@yahoo.com

Abstract

The crude ethanolic extracts of twenty two wild plants growing in the Iraqi south regions was evaluated for antibacterial activities against five bacterial species:Gram positive (*Staphylococcus aureus*ATCC25923) and Gram negative (*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC27853, *Escherichia coli* ATCC25922)(*Klebsiella pneumonia* and *Proteus volgars*) bacteriaby agar well diffusion method .The results showed that the *Arnebiadecumbens* exhibit broad spectrum activity against all bacterial species. Of 22plants tested, 10 showed encouragingantibacterial results against one or more species of bacteria .On the other hand 11 plants species have no activity.

Keywords: Antibacterial activity, Agar well diffusion assay, Wild plants

Introduction

Since the beginning of civilization, survival of the human race was dependent on plants, not only as a source of food and oxygen, but also as a source of natural remedies (Muthu*et al.*, 2010).

According to world health organization (WHO), more than 80% of the world'spopulation relies on traditional medicines for their primary health care needs. The medicinal value of plants lies in

some chemical substances that produce a definite physiologic

action on the human body. The most important of these bioactive compounds of plants are alkaloids, flavonoids, tannins and phenolic compounds (Chhetri*et al.*, 2008).

The antimicrobial activity of plants had been received attentionmany years ago as one of the most effective mechanism for the control ofmicroorganisms. In Iraq, many

studies have been attempted to evaluate the antibacterial activity of some plant extracts (AL-Ani*et al* ., 1996; AL-Thahab,1998).

Al-Rawi and Chakravarty (1988) study considered the first pioneering study in Iraq classified many wild Iraqi plants.

We chose this plants in our study because its exist in south of Iraq and no any study investigated in biological activity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant materials

Fresh plant parts were collected randomly from various locations in Basra in March and April 2006, 2007,2008 and classified in Department of Biology, College of Education. University of Basra (Table:1).

Table 1: Plant Species

No.	Species	Family	Part used	
1	Anthemismelampodium	Asteraceae	Leaves+ Flowers	
2	Cistanchetubulosa	Orobanchaceae	Whole plant	
3	Rumaxvesicarius	Polygonaceae	Leaves	
4	SileneArabica	Chenopodiaceae	Whole plant	
5	Althaealudwigii	Malvaceae	Whole plant	
6	Astragalusspinosus	Fabaceae	Leaves+ Flowers	
7	Plantagolanceolata	Plantaginaceae	Whole plant	
8	Sacrophylariadeserti	Sacrophylaceae	Whole plant	
9	Bassiaeriophora	Ameranthaceae	Whole plant	
10	Fagoniaindica	Zygophyllaceae	Whole plant	
11	Reseda lutea	Resedaceae	Whole plant	
12	Aervajavanica	Amaranthaceae	Flowers	
13	Lyciumbarbarum	Solanaceae	Leaves	
14	Phyllanthusrotundifolius	Phyllanthaceae	Whole plant	
15	Erodiumpulverulentum	Geraniaceae	Whole plant	
16	Aizoonhispanicum	Aizoaceae	Whole plant	
17	Echinosciadium Arabicum	Umbelliferae	Whole plant	
18	Cynomoriumcoccineum	Balanphoraceae	Whole plant	
19	Mesembrycrystallinum	Aizoaceae	Whole plant	
20	Zygophyllum Mandavillei	Zygophyllaceae	Leaves	
21	Arnebiadecumbens	Boraginaceae	Whole plant	
22	Diplotaxixharra	Brassicaceae	Whole plant	

Microorganisms

The test organisms used in this study were as followed: Gram positive (Staphylococcus aureus ATCC25923) and Gram negative bacteria (Escherichia coliATCC25922) and (Pseudomonasaerugenosa ATCC27853) These reference bacteria were obtained from the Immunological lab. **Biology** department Science college, University of Basra, Iraq. And others clinical Gram negative bacteria *Klebsiella pneumonia*, *Proteus volgars* obtained from the Bacteriological lab, Biology department, Education college, University of Basra, Iraq, (Table:2) and identified according to (Holt, *et al.*, 1994) and (Collee, *et al.*, 1996).

Table 2: Bacterial culture

Bacterium Name	Туре	ATCC NO.
Staphylococcus aureus	Gram positive	ATCC25923
Escherichia coli	Gram negative	ATCC25922
Pseudomonas	Gram negative	ATCC27853
aerugenosa		
Klebsiella pneumonia	Gram negative	-
Proteus volgars	Gram negative	-

Preparation of plant extract

The plant parts of each samples (50g)were air-dried and then powdered. The powder was extracted by reflux with ethanol(250ml) for 15 min followed evaporation of combined by

extracts using rotary evaporator under vacuum. The residue of each extract was kept in refrigerator until use(Harborne, 1984).

Antibacterial Activity

Antibacterial activity tested against Gram positive bacteria and Gram

negative bacteria by the hole agar diffusion method(Cappuccino and Sherman, 1998). The bacteria were grown on Nutrient agar media. Muller-Hinton agar media were poured into the plates to uniform depth of 5 mm and allowed tosolidify. The bacteria suspensions at 1 x 10⁶cfu ml⁻¹ (0.1 light density on 540 nm wave length) the streaked over surface of Mueller-Hinton agar media using a sterile cotton swab to confluent growth of the organism. The holes made by cookporar, 6 mm in diameter. 100 µL aliquots of the sample 33.3% (v/v), which were then aseptically applied to the surface of agar plates at well-spaced intervals. The plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h and then the inhibition diameters zone measured.

RESULTS AND DESCUSSION

In the present investigation study, extracts of 22 plants belonging to different families were screened as

antibacterial against five bacterial species, eleven of which showed activity against at least three of the test bacteria. (Table:3)

The antibacterial assay results showed that the gram-positive bacteria species are more sensitive than the gram-negative which is in agreement with many previous studies (Cosentino*et al.*, 1999; Karman *et al.*, 2003).

Gram negative microorganisms are less susceptible to active compounds than Gram positive ones because they posses outer membrane surrounding the cell membrane (Ratledge& Wikinson,1988) which restricts diffusion of hydrophobic compounds through lopoplysaccharide covering (Vaara, 1992). This explains the resistance of Gram-negative strains to the lytic action of most extracts exhibiting negative activity. The results obtained against Gram negative bacteria were not unexpected since this class of bacteria is usually more resistant than Gram positive bacteria(Turnbull *et al.*,1991).

The active compounds in plant extracts have many mechanisms to inhibit bacterial growth. It plays many roles in attacking target sits found in bacteria like cell wall, cell membrane, cellular synthetic processes (DNA,RNA) and protein or enzymes.

The results showed that the *Arnebiadecumbens*exhibit high activity against all bacterial species.

The activity of *Arnebiadeumbens* extract against all bacteria may come from to active compounds like shikoninand this agreement with previous study (Singh *et al.*, 2003).

The extracts of Cistanchetubulosa, Astragalusspinosus, Fagoniacretica, Erodiumpulverulentum, Cynomoriumcoccineum, Sacrophylariadeserti, Bassiaeriophora, Reseda lutea, Diplotaxixharra and Rumexnervosusshowed moderate inhibited the growth of one or more bacteria.

Table 3:Inhibition zone of of 11 ethanol extracts exhibit activity against bacterial species

	Species	Inhibition Zone (mm)				
No.		E.coli	S.aureus	P.aerugino sa	K. pneumonia	P. volgars
1	Arnebiadecumb ens	40	43	46	28	18
2	Cistanchetubulo sa	22	26	14	12	11
3	Rumaxvesicariu s	24	30	-	18	-
4	Erodiumpulver ulentum	13	23	11	-	16
5	Cynomoriumco ccineum	22	32	14	8	3
6	Astragalusspino sus	8	17	7	-	6
7	Sacrophylariade serti	7	14	8	-	8
8	Bassiaeriophora	7	12	8	7	9
9	Fagoniaindica	8	13	6	-	-
10	Reseda lutea	6	11	8	10	10
11	Diplotaxixharra	9	11	13	11	10
12	CONTROL	-	-	-	-	-

Bacteria: S. aureus = Staphylococcus aureus, E. coli = Escherichia coli, P. aeruginosa = Pseudomonas aeruginosa, K. pneumonia= Klebsiella pneumonia, P. volgars= Proteus volgars, control= Ethanol

On the other hand, 11 plant extracts showed no effect (Table :4).

Table 4: ethanol extracts of some Iraqi wild plants with no antibacterial activity

	Species	Inhibition Zone (mm)				
No.		E.coli	S.aureus	P.aerugino sa	K. pneumonia	P. volgars
1	Anthemismelampo dium	-	-	-	-	-
2	Silenearabica	-	-	-	-	-
3	Althaealudwigii	-	-	-	-	-
4	Plantagolanceolat a	-	-	-	-	-
5	Aervajavanica	-	-	-	-	-
6	Lyciumbarbarum	-	-	-	-	-
7	Phyllanthusrotund ifolius	-	-	-	-	-
8	Aizoonhispanicum	-	-	-	-	-
9	Echinosciadium arabicum	-	-	-	-	-
10	Mesembrycrystalli num	-	-	-	-	-
11	Zygophyllum mandavillei	-	-	-	-	-
12	CONTROL	-	-	-	-	-

Bacteria: S. aureus = Staphylococcus aureus, E. coli = Escherichia coli, P. aeruginosa = Pseudomonas aeruginosa, K. pneumonia= Klebsiella pneumonia, P. volgars= Proteus volgars, control= Ethanol

In conclusion, the present investigationstudy confirmed that many wild Iraqi plants contains the potential antibacterial components that may be of great use to the development of new drugs, as a therapy against various diseases.

It is recommended that further studies should be carried out on Iraqi wild plants to further purify the actual bioactive compounds that have the antibacterial activity and to ascertain their toxicity level before recommending for consumption.

REFERANCES

Al-Thahab, A.O.L (1998). The antimicrobial activity of some Iraqi plants on some pathogenic bacteria. M.Sc. thesis college of science .Babylon University .Iraq.

Al-Ani, A.B., Nadir, M. T. and Al-Khazraji, N. (1996). The antimicrobial activity of volatile oils isolated

from some Iraqi plants .J. of Al-Anbar Univ. 1(1): pp82-86.

AL –Rawi, A. and Chakravarty, H.L. (1988). Medicinal plants of Iraq. Ministry of Agriculture – and Irrigation. 2 and. Ed. Baghdad.

Cappuccino, G. and Sherman, N. (1998). Microbiology: A Laboratory Manual, Benjamin Coming Science Publishing, Calfornia, p.254.

Chhetri, H. P., Yogol, N. S., Sherchan, J., Anupa K.C., Mansoor, S., and Thapa, P. (2008): Phytochemical and Antimicrobial Evaluations of Some Medical Plants of Nepal. Kathmandu. J. of Science, Engineering and Technology.VOL. I, No. V, September, pp 49-54.

Collee, J., Fraser, A., Marmion, B. and Simmons, A. (1996). Mackie & Macartney practical medical microbiology. 14th(edn.), Churchill Livingston, New York.

Cosentino, S., Tuberoso, C.I.G., Pisano, B., Satta, M., Mascia, V., Arzedi, E. and Palmas, F. (1999). *Invitro* antimicrobial activity and chemical composition of Sardinian *Thymus* essential oils. Letters in Applied Microbiology. 29: pp130-135.

Harborne, J. (1984) . Phyto chemistry methods : a guide of modern teaching use of plant analysis .2th (edn.) , Chapman and Hill , New York , USA .

Holt, J., Kriej, H., Sneath, P., Staley, J. and William, S. (1994). Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology. 9th (edn.), Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore.

Karman, I., Sahin, F., Gulluce, M., Ogutcu, H., Sengul, M. andAdiguzel, A.(2003). Antimicrobial activity of aqueous and methanol extracts of *Juniperusoxycedrus* L. J. of Ethnopharmacology. 85: pp231-235.

Muthu, A.K., Sravanthi, P., Kumar, D.S., Smith, A.A. and

Manavalan, R.(2010). Evaluation of antibacterial activity of various extracts of whole plant of *Borreriahispida* (linn). Int. J. Pharm. Sci. Res.1:pp127-130.

Ratledage, C. and Wilkinson, S.G.(1988). An overview of microbiallipids. Microbial lipids. 1:pp3-22.

Singh, B., Sharmaa, M.K., P.R., P.M., Meghwal, Sahua, andSingh, **S**.(2003). Anti-inflammatory activity of shikonin derivatives from Arnebiahispidissima. Phytomedicine. 10(5):pp 375-380.

Turnbull, P.C.B., Kramer, J.M. Bacillus. In: **Barlows** Α, HauslerJrWJ, Herrmann HD, Isenberg H and Shadomy HJ (eds.) (1991). Manuals of Clinical Microbiology. 5th Ed. American Society for Microbiology, Washington DC. Vaara, **M**.(1992). Agents that increase the permeability of the outermembrane. Microbial. Rev. 56(3):pp395-411.

دراسة الفعالية ضد الجرثومية لاثنين وعشرين نباتا بريا عراقيا

عبدالامير عبدالله الموسوي كلية التمريض ، جامعة البصرة

الخلاصة

تم في هذه الدراسة اختبار تأثير المستخلص الايثانولي لاثنين وعشرين نباتا بريا تنمو في جنوب العراق ضد بعض الانواع البكتيرية الموجبة والسالبة لصبغة كرام وباستخدام طريقة انتشار الاكار .

ATCC25923Staphylococcus استخدات خمسة انواع بكتيرية احدها موجب لصبغة كرام (aureus elujinosa ATCC27853, Escherichia coli) واربعة سالبة ATCC25922, Klebsiella pneumonia and Proteus volgars

بينت النتائج ان نبات Arnebiadecumbens كان له تأثير واسع الطيف ضد جميع الانواع البكتيرية ، ومن ناحية اخرى اظهرت عشرة مستخلصات نباتية تأثيرا متوسطا ضد واحد او اكثر من الانواع البكتيرية . وقد لوحظ أيضا ان احد عشر نباتا لم تظهر أية فعالية ضد بكتيرية .