# The Essential Order of $(L_p, p < 1)$ Approximation Using Regular Neural Networks

Eman S. BhayaOmar A. Al-sammakUniversity of Babylon, College of Education for Pure Sciences, Department of<br/>Mathematicsemanbhaya@itnet.uobabylon.edu.iqomar.alhelly@itnet.uobabylon.edu.iq

# Abstract

This paper is concerning with essential degree of approximation using regular neural networks and how a multivariate function in  $L_p(K)$  spaces for p < 1 can be approximated using a forward regular neural network. So, we can have the essential approximation ability of a multivariate function in  $L_p(K)$  spaces for p < 1 using regular FFN.

**Keywords.** Neural network approximation, Modulus of smoothness,  $L_p(K)$  Spaces, best approximation.

#### الخلاصة

درسنا في هذا البحث درجة التقريب الاساسي بأستخدام الشبكة العصبية المنتظمة ، وكيف يمكن تقريب الدوال المتعددة المتغيرات في فضاء  $L_p(K)$  عندما p < 1 بأستخدام الشبكة العصبية الامامية المنتظمة ، وكذلك بامكاننا الحصول على مبرهنات مباشرة وعكسية ونظرية تكافؤ للتقريب المتعددة المتغيرات في فضاء  $L_p(K)$  عندما p < 1 بأستخدام الشبكة العصبية الامامية المنتظمة .

الكلمات المفتاحية : تقريب الشبكة العصبية ،مقياس النعومة ، فضاءات (L<sub>v</sub>(K) ، التقريب الافضل .

# 1. Introduction

Various papers on feasibility of approximation by forward neural networks have been made in past years (see [Cardaliaguet & Euvrard,1992; Chen, 1995; Chen, 1994; Chui, 1992; Cybenko, 1989; Gallant, ,1992; Hornik, 1989; Hornik, & Stinchombe, 1990; Leshno *et al.*, 1993; Mhaskr & Michelli,1992].

The most important result among these papers is that :

If we have a continuous function with multivariable and compact domain subset of  $R^d$  there exist a feed forward neural networks (FNNs) as an approximation for it. By a sigmoidal we can be approximated arbitrarily well.

A three-layer of the FNNs with d input units and one hidden and one output units can be mathematically expressed as

 $N_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i \sigma(\langle \omega_{ij} x_j \rangle + \theta_i), \ x \in \mathbb{R}^d , d \ge 1 ,$  (1.1)

where  $1 \le i \le m$ ,  $\theta_i \in R$  is the threshold,  $\omega_i = (\omega_{i1}, \omega_{i2}, ..., \omega_{id})^T \in R^d$  are connection weights of neuron i in the hidden layer with input neurons,  $c_i \in R$  are the connection strength of neuron i with the output neuron, and  $\sigma$  is the sigmoidal activation function used in the network.

In this paper we prove direct and inverse estimation and saturation problem for the approximation of multivariate function in  $L_p(K)$  spaces for p < 1 using a forward regular neural network.

# 2. Notations and Definitions

Let R be the set of reals ,  $\mathbb{R}^d$  be the d-dimensional Euclidean space  $(d\geq 1)$  , and let K be any subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ 

#### **Definition 2.1**

Let K be a multiple cell in d-dimension Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^d$  ( $d \ge 1$ ), the  $L_p$  *space* for p < 1 defined by :

L<sub>p</sub>(K) = {f: K → R; 
$$||f||_p = (\int_k |f|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} < ∞$$
 .

For any  $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_d)$  and  $y = (y_1, y_2, ..., y_d)$ Let d(x, y) be the Euclidean distance of x and y, that is,  $d(x, y) = \sqrt{(x_1 - y_1)^2 + (x_2 - y_2)^2 + \dots + (x_d - y_d)^2}$ **Definition 2.2** 

the r - th order difference of function  $f \in L_p(K)$ 

 $\Delta^r_h f(x) = (I-T^h)^r f(x) \quad , x=x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_d \in K \quad , r \in N \text{ and } h>0$  we use I for the unit operator.

For any positive integer r we define the generalized module of smoothness of the

#### rth order by the formula

 $\omega_{r}(f, \delta)_{p} = \sup_{0 < h < \delta} \|\Delta_{h}^{r}f\|_{p}, \quad \delta > 0, f \in L_{p}(K).$ Definition 2.3

we denoted by the Lipschitzian class  $Lip(\alpha)_r$  defined by the space of all functions f in  $L_p(K)$  spaces satisfies  $\omega_r(f,t)_p = O(t^\alpha)$ , where  $0 < \alpha \leq r$ .

#### Remarks 2.4

1) In this paper we deal with the approximation by neural network with special type of neural activation functions  $J_k$  of which each function  $\sigma: R \to [0,1]$  has up to K + 1 order continuous derivatives  $\sigma^k$ , k = 1, 2, ..., K + 1.

2) The regular neural activation functions are the normal sigmoidal activation functions  $\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-\alpha x}}$  for positive .

3) Any neural network whose neural activation functions are regular (satisfies the conditions of part 1 of this remark) will be called a regular neural network.

#### **Definition 2.5**

Let r be an integer number and

$$P_r(x) = a_r x^r, x \in [a, b] \subset (-\infty, \infty)$$
(2.6)

be a homogeneous univariate polynomial of degree r.

XU Zongben & CAO Feilong (2004) prove the lemma "Let [a, b] be a compact interval,  $\sigma \in J_r$  be a regular neural activation function and  $P_r(x)$  a homogeneous univariate polynomial of the form (2.6). Then for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a neural network of the form (1.1) the number of whose hidden units is not less than (r + 1) such that  $|N_n(x) - P_r(x)| < \epsilon$  "

As a direct consequence of above lemma we introduce the following theorem  $\!\!\!$ 

#### Theorem 2.7

For any regular neural activation function  $\sigma \in J_r$  and a homogeneous univariate polynomial  $P_r(x)$  and a given  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a neural network of the form (1.1) with not less than r + 1 hidden layers such that  $||N_n(x) - P_r(x)||_p < \epsilon$ Proof: Since  $\sigma \in J_r$  be a regular neural activation function and  $P_r(x)$  a homogeneous univariate polynomial then by above lemma we have for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a neural network of the from (1.1) the number of whose hidden units is not less than (r + 1) such that :

$$\begin{split} |\mathbf{N}_{n}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{P}_{r}(\mathbf{x})| &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{\left(\mu(\mathbf{k})\right)^{1/P}} \\ \Rightarrow |\mathbf{N}_{n}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{P}_{r}(\mathbf{x})|^{P} &\leq \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\left(\mu(\mathbf{k})\right)^{1/p}}\right)^{p} \\ \Rightarrow \int_{K} |\mathbf{N}_{n}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{P}_{r}(\mathbf{x})|^{P} &\leq \int_{k} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\left(\mu(\mathbf{k})\right)^{1/p}}\right)^{p} \\ \Rightarrow \left(\int_{K} |\mathbf{N}_{n}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{P}_{r}(\mathbf{x})|^{P}\right)^{1/P} &\leq \left(\int_{k} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\left(\mu(\mathbf{k})\right)^{1/p}}\right)^{p}\right)^{1/p} \\ \Rightarrow \|\mathbf{N}_{n}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{P}_{r}(\mathbf{x})\|_{p} &\leq \frac{\epsilon\left(\mu(\mathbf{k})\right)^{1/p}}{\left(\mu(\mathbf{k})\right)^{1/p}} \\ \Rightarrow \|\mathbf{N}_{n}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{P}_{r}(\mathbf{x})\|_{p} &\leq \epsilon \end{split}$$

In this section we construct an FNN to realize universal approximation to any integral multivariate functions in  $L_p(K)$ , P < 1 we will use the Bernstein \_ Durrmeger operation as base tools.

# Definition 2.8 [X. Zongben and C. Feilong, (2004)]

Let K be any subset of  $R^d$  the Bernstein \_Durremger operater  $B_n\,$  in  $L_1(T)$  defined by :

$$(B_n f)(\alpha) = \sum_{|K| \le n} P_{n,k}(x) \ \phi_{n,k}(f)$$
(2.9)

Where  $x \in K$ ,  $f \in L_1(K)$  and

 $\varphi_{n,k}(f) = (n+d)!/n! \int_k P_{n,k}(u) f(u)du$ 

#### Lemma 2.10

If  $f \in Lp(k)$  for p < 1 then  $||B_n f - f||_p \le c(p) \omega_r(f, 1/n)_p$ Proof:  $||B_n f - f||_p \le c(p) K_r(f, (1/n)^r)_p$  $\le c(p) \omega_r(f, \frac{1}{n})_p$  [E. S. Belkina and S. S. Plato)ov (2008)]

#### Lemma 2.11

If f ∈ Lp (k)for p < 1 then  $\omega_r(f, 1/n) \le c(p) \sum_{i=1}^n ||B_i f - f||_p$ Proof//  $\omega_r(f, \delta)_p = \omega_r(f - B_n f + B_n f, \delta)_p \le c(p) \omega_r(f - B_n f, \delta) + \omega_r(B_n f, \delta) \le c(p) ||f - B_n f|| + J$   $B_n(f) - B_0(f) = B_n(f) - B_{2^l}(f) + (B_{2^l}(f) - B_{2^{l}-1}(f)) + \dots + (B_1(f) - B_0(f))$   $2^l = n, l = \max i, 2^i < n$   $J = \omega_r(B_n f, \delta) = \omega_r(\sum_{i=1}^l B_{2^i} - B_{2^{i-1}}, 2^{-l})_p$   $= \omega_r(\sum_{i=1}^l B_{2^i} - f + f - B_{2^{i-1}}, 2^{-l})_p$   $\le c(p) \sum_{i=1}^l ||f - B_{2^i}f||_p$   $\le c(p) \sum_{i=1}^n ||f - B_if||_p$  $\therefore \omega_r(f, \delta) \le c(p) \sum_{i=1}^n ||B_i f - f||_p$ .

To explain Lemma 2.13 we need the flowing notations: [X. Zongben and C. Feilong , (2004)]

1. Let  $z_{\pm}^{d}$  be the set of all non-negative multi-integers in  $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ 

2. For any  $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $k = (k_1, k_2, ..., k_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+$ , Let  $|x| = \sum_{i=1}^d x_i, |k| = \sum_{i=1}^d k_i, x^k = x_1^k \dots x_d^{kd}$  and  $k! = k_1! k_2! \dots k_d!$ 3. We say that  $x \leq y$ , for any,  $y \in \mathbb{R}^s$ , iff  $x_i \leq y_i$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq s$ . 4. For any fixed point p Let  $N_p = \binom{p+d-1}{d-1}$ Be the number of multi-integers  $i = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_d)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^d_+$  that satisfy  $i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_d = p$ 5. Let  $I_p = \binom{p+d-2}{d-2}$  be the number of multi-integers  $j = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_d)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^{d-1}_+$  that satisfy  $j_1 + j_2 + \dots + j_{d-1} = p$ 6. Denote by  $j_{Np-1} + l, 1 \leq l \leq I_p$  a generic multi-integers j in  $\mathbb{Z}^{d-1}_+$  satisfing  $j_1 + j_2 + \dots + j_{d-1} = p$ 

7.  $j_1$ ,  $1 \le l \le N_p$  a generic multi-integers j in  $Z_+^{s-1}$  satisfing  $j_1 + j_2 + \dots + j_{d-1} = p$ 

8. For any  $1 \le l \le N_p$  Let  $i_l^{(p)} = (p - |j_l|, j_l)$  then each  $i_l^{(p)} = p$  is multi-integer in  $Z^d_+$  that satisfies  $|i_l^{(p)}| = p$ 

9. Define 
$$p_1 = (1, j_l), 1 \le l$$
 and  $p_l^{(p)} = \frac{1}{2(1+p)} p_1, 1 \le l \le N_p$   
we then have  $\left| p_l^{(p)} \right| \le \frac{1}{2}$  for any  $1 \le l \le N_p$  "

The flowing lemma provides an equivalent expression of Bernstein-durrmeyer operator  $\boldsymbol{B}_n$  .  $\blacksquare$ 

Lemma 2.12 [X. Zongben and C. Feilong , (2004)]

For any  $\in L_p(T)$ , the Berustin –Durrmeyer operator  $B_nf$  in (2.9) can be expressed as :

$$B_{n}f(x) = \sum_{p=0}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{N_{p}} d_{l}^{(p)} \langle x, p_{l}^{(n)} \rangle^{p}$$
(2.13)

where  $\langle x, p_l^{(n)} \rangle$  is inner product x and  $p_l^{(n)}, d_l^{(p)}$  are uniquely determined

$$\begin{split} & \text{by} \begin{pmatrix} (j_1)^{j_1} & (j_2)^{j_1} & \dots & (j_{Np})^{j_1} \\ (j_1)^{j_2} & (j_2)^{j_2} & \dots & (j_{Np})^{j_2} \\ (j_1)^{j_{Np}} & (j_2)^{j_{Np}} & \dots & (j_{Np})^{Np} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_1^{(p)} \\ d_2^{(p)} \\ \vdots \\ d_{Np}^{(p)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{2(1+n)^p} \\ p! \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} i_1^{(p)}! & c_1^{(p)}(f) \\ i_2^{(p)}! & c_2^{(p)}(f) \\ \vdots \\ i_{Np}^{(p)}! & c_{Np}^{(p)}(f) \end{pmatrix} \\ & \text{With } c_1^{(p)}(f) = \frac{n!}{(n-p)!} \sum_{q \le i_1^{(p)}} \Phi_{n,q}(f) \frac{1}{q!(i_1^{(p)}-q)!} (-1)^{\left|i_1^{(p)}-q\right|}, \\ & 1 \le l \le N_p \,. \end{split}$$

# Remark 2.14

We observe that in expression (2.14) each term  $\langle x, p_l^{(n)} \rangle$  can be viewed as homogeneous uniriate polynomial of  $\langle x, p_l^{(n)} \rangle$  with order p, and so by Theorem 2.8, it can be approximated arbitrarily well by a network of the form  $N_{p+1}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{Np} c_{i,p} \sigma(\omega_{i,p} \langle x, p_l^{(n)} \rangle + \theta)$ ,  $K_p \ge p + 1$ 

#### Remark 2.15

1) As  $B_n f(x)$  can be approximate f, the following neural networks

$$N_{n}(x) = \sum_{p=0}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{N_{p}} d_{l}^{(p)} \sum_{i=1}^{K_{p}} c_{i,p} \sigma \left( \omega_{i,p} \langle x, p_{l}^{(n)} \rangle + \theta \right) \quad (2.16)$$

Then can approximate f to any accuracy. 2) the network (2.17) will be the FNN models we propose use in this paper. 3) the network (2.17) are clearly of from (1.1) and contain hidden units where  $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{N}_0 + \mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{N}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{k}_n \mathbf{N}_n$  $\geq N_0 + 2N_1 + \dots + (n+1)N_n$  $= \sum_{k=0}^n (k+1) \binom{k+d-1}{d-1} = m_0(n) .$ 

# Theorem 2.17

For any  $f \in L_p(K)$ , p < 1 there is a regular, one hidden layer FNN,  $N_n(x)$ , of the form (1.1) with  $\sigma \in J_n$  and the hidden unit number  $m \ge \sum_{k=0}^{n} (k+1) {\binom{k+d-1}{d-1}} = m_0(n)$  such that  $\|\mathbf{N}_{n} - \mathbf{f}\|_{p} \le c(p) \,\omega_{r}(\mathbf{f}, \frac{1}{n})_{p}$ Proof : we assume  $f \in L_p(K)$ Then, by Lemma 2.12, the Bernstein –durrmeyer operator B<sub>n</sub>f can be defined and expressed as  $B_n f(x) = \sum_{p=0}^n \sum_{l=1}^{N_p} d_l^{(p)} \langle x, p_l^{(n)} \rangle^p$ and, furthermore, it approximates f in the following sense  $||B_n f - f||_p \le c(p) \omega_r(f, \frac{1}{n})_p$  [lemma 2.10] And by remark (2.15) part 1, we have  $\|\mathbf{N}_{n} - \mathbf{f}\|_{p} \le c(p) \|\mathbf{B}_{n}\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{f}\|_{p} \le c(p) \,\omega_{r}(\mathbf{f}, \frac{1}{n})_{p} \,. \blacksquare$ Theorem 2.18 For any  $f \in L_p(K)$ , p < 1 there is a regular, one hidden layer FNN,  $N_n(x)$ , of the form (1.1) with  $\sigma \in J_n$  and the hidden unit number  $m \ge \sum_{k=0}^{n} (k+1) {\binom{k+d-1}{d-1}} = m_0(n)$  such that  $c(p)\omega_r(f,\frac{1}{n})_p \le \sum_{i=1}^n \|N_i - f\|_p$ Proof: we assume  $f \in L_p(K)$ Then, by Lemma 2.12, the Bernstein –durrmeyer operator  $B_n f$  can be defined and expressed as  $B_n f(x) = \sum_{p=0}^n \sum_{l=1}^{N_p} d_l^{(p)} \langle x, p_l^{(n)} \rangle^p$  (2.13) and since  $\left| p_l^{(n)} \right| \le \frac{1}{2}$ , we

 $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}_{1}^{(n)} \rangle \leq 1$ have i. e  $(-1 \le \langle x, p_l^{(n)} \rangle \le 1)$ 

Each term  $\langle x, p_1^{(n)} \rangle^p$  in (2.13) is univariate homogeneous polynomial of  $\langle x, p_1^{(n)} \rangle$ with order p define on [-1,1]

Now by Theorem 2.7 we have  $\langle x, p_1^{(n)} \rangle^p$  can be approximated by neural network

$$N_{K_{p}} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{p,i} \sigma \left( \omega_{p,i} \langle x, p_{l}^{(n)} \rangle + \theta \right) , c_{p,i}, \omega_{p,i} \in \mathbb{R} , K_{p} \ge p + 1$$
(2.19)

With accuracy

$$\left\| \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{p}}} - \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{l}}^{(n)} \rangle^{\mathbf{p}} \right\|_{\mathbf{p}} \le \epsilon$$
(2.20)

Then for constructed FNN  $N_n(x) = \sum_{p=0}^n \sum_{l=1}^{N_p} d_l^{(p)} \sum_{i=1}^{kp} c_{i,p} \sigma \Big( \omega_{i,p} \langle x, p_l^{(n)} \rangle + \theta \Big) \text{ , } c_{p,i}, \omega_{p,i} \in R \text{ , } K_p \geq p+1$ and we have  $||N_n - f||_p = ||N_n f - B_n f + B_n f - f||_p$ 

$$\leq \|N_{n}f - B_{n}f\|_{p} + \|B_{n}f - f\|_{p}$$
  
 
$$\leq c(p) \omega_{r}(f, \frac{1}{n})_{p} + \|N_{n}f - B_{n}f\|_{p}$$
 (2.21)

The term  $||N_n f - B_n f||_p$  in above can be arbitrarily small, because (2.19) and (2.20) imply

$$\begin{split} \|N_{n}f - B_{n}f\|_{p} &= \left\|\sum_{p=0}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{N_{p}} d_{l}^{(p)} \{\langle x, p_{l}^{(n)} \rangle^{p} - \sum_{i=1}^{k_{p}} c_{i,p}\sigma(\omega_{i,p}\langle x, p_{l}^{(n)} \rangle + \theta)\}\right\|_{p} \\ &= \sum_{p=0}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{N_{p}} \left|d_{l}^{(p)}\right| \max\left|\langle x, p_{l}^{(n)} \rangle^{p} - N_{K_{p}}\right| \leq \epsilon \sum_{p=0}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{N_{p}} \left|d_{l}^{(p)}\right| \\ \text{Then inequality (2.21) imply } \|B_{n}f - f\|_{p} \leq c(p) \omega_{r}(f, \frac{1}{n})_{p} \\ \text{and by (Lemma 2.11) } c(p) \omega_{r}(f, \frac{1}{n})_{p} \leq c(p) \sum_{i=1}^{n} ||B_{i}f - f||_{p} \\ \text{Then } c(p) \omega_{r}(f, \frac{1}{n})_{p} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} ||N_{n}f - f||_{p}. \blacksquare \\ \text{Theorem 2.23} \end{split}$$

For any  $f \in L_p(K)$ , p < 1 there is a regular, one hidden layer FNN,  $N_n(x)$ , of the form (1.1) with  $\sigma \in J_n$  and the hidden unit number  $m \ge \sum_{k=0}^n (k+1)\binom{k+d-1}{d-1} = m_0(n)$  such that  $\|N_n f - B_n f\|_p = O(n^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}})$  if and only if  $f \in \text{Lip}(\alpha)_r$ Proof: let  $f \in \text{Lip}(\alpha)_r$ 

From  $\|N_n - f\|_p \le c(p) \omega_r(f, \frac{1}{n})_p \Longrightarrow \|N_n - f\|_p = O(\frac{1}{n})^{\alpha}$ And by  $c(p) \omega_r(f, \frac{1}{n})_p \le \sum_{i=1}^n ||N_i f - f||_p$ 

We obtain 
$$\omega_r(f, \frac{1}{n})_p = O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\alpha}}\right)$$
.

## References

- Belkina E.S. and S. S. Platonov , (2008) , Equivalence of K-Functionals and Modulus of Smoothness Constructed by Generalized Dunkl Translations , ISSN 1066-369X, Russian Mathematics (Iz. VUZ), Vol. 52, No. 8, pp. 1–11.
- Cardaliaguet, P., Euvrard, G., (1992) · Approximation of a function and its derivatives with a neural network, Neural Networks , 5: 207–220.
- Chen, T. P., (1994), Approximation problems in system identification with neural networks, Science in China, Series A, , 24(1): 1–7.
- Chen, T. P., Chen, H., (1995), Universal approximation to nonlinear operators by neural networks with arbitrary activation functions and its application to dynamical system, IEEE Transaction on Neural Networks, No. 6, pp. 911– 917.
- Chui, C. K., Li, X., (1992), Approximation by ridge functions and neural networks with one hidden layer, J. Approx. Theory, , 70: 131–141.
- Chui, C. K., Li, X., (1993), Neural networks with one hidden layer, In: Multivariate Approximation: From CAGD to Wavelets (K. Jetter, F. I. Utreras, eds), pp.77— 89, Singapore: World Scientific Press.
- Cybenko, G.,(1989), Approximation by superpositions of sigmoidal function, Math.of Control Signals, and System, , 2: 303–314.
- Funahashi, K. I.,(1989), On the approximate realization of continuous mappings by neural networks, Neural Networks, , 2: 183–192.
- Gallant, A. R., White,(1992), H., On learning the derivatives of an unknown mapping with multilayer feedforward networks, Neural Networks, , 5: 129–138.

- Hornik, K., Stinchombe, M., White, H., (1990), Universal approximation of an unknown mapping and its derivatives using multilayer feedforward networks, Neural Networks, , 3: 551—560.
- Hornik, K., Stinchombe, (1989), M., White, H., Multilayer feedforward networks are universal approximation,
- Leshno, M., Lin, V. Y., Pinks, A., (1993), Multilayer feedforward networks with a nonpolynomial activation function can approximate any function, Neural Networks, , 6: 861—867.
- Mhaskar, H. N., Micchelli, C. A., (1992), Approximation by superposition of a sigmoidal function, Advances in Applied Mathematics, , 13: 350–373.

Neural Networks, , 2: 359—366.

.

Zongben X. and C. Feilong , (2004) , The essential order of approximation for neural networks , Science in China Ser. F Information Sciences , Vol.47 No.1 97—112