

**SIMULTANEOUS APPROXIMATION BY A NEW SEQUENCE
OF
SZĀSZ–BETA TYPE OPERATORS**

Ali J. Mohammad¹ and Amal K. Hassan²

- ¹ University of Basrah, College of Education, Dept of Mathematics, Basrah, IRAQ.
² University of Basrah, College of Science, Dept of Mathematics, Basrah, IRAQ.

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study some direct results in simultaneous approximation for a new sequence of linear positive operators $M_n(f(t); x)$ of Szász-Beta type operators. First, we establish the basic pointwise convergence theorem and then proceed to discuss the Voronovskaja-type asymptotic formula. Finally, we obtain an error estimate in terms of modulus of continuity of the function being approximated.

SZĀSZ–BETA

.Szász-Beta $M_n(f(t); x)$
.Voronovskaja

1. INTRODUCTION

In [3] Gupta and others studied some direct results in simultaneous approximation for the sequence:

$$B_n(f(t); x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q_{n,k}(x) \int_0^{\infty} b_{n,k}(t) f(t) dt,$$

where $x, t \in [0, \infty)$, $q_{n,k}(x) = \frac{e^{-nx} (nx)^k}{k!}$ and $b_{n,k}(t) = \frac{\Gamma(n+k+1)}{\Gamma(n)\Gamma(k+1)} t^k (1+t)^{-(n+k+1)}$.

After that, Agrawal and Thamer [1] applied the technique of linear combination introduced by May [4] and Rathore [5] for the sequence $B_n(f(t); x)$. Recently, Gupta and Lupas [2] studied some direct results for a sequence of mixed Beta-Szász type operator defined as

$$L_n(f(t); x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{n,k}(x) \int_0^{\infty} q_{n,k-1}(t) f(t) dt + (1+x)^{-n-1} f(0).$$

In this paper, we introduce a new sequence of linear positive operators $M_n(f(t); x)$ of Szász - Beta type operators to approximate a function $f(x)$ belongs to the space $C_{\alpha}[0, \infty) = \{f \in C[0, \infty) : |f(t)| \leq C(1+t)^{\alpha} \text{ for some } C > 0, \alpha > 0\}$, as follows:

$$(1) \quad M_n(f(t); x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_{n,k}(x) \int_0^{\infty} b_{n,k-1}(t) f(t) dt + e^{-nx} f(0),$$

We may also write the operator (1) as $M_n(f(t); x) = \int_0^{\infty} W_n(t, x) f(t) dt$ where

$$W_n(t, x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_{n,k}(x) b_{n,k-1}(t) + e^{-nx} \delta(t), \delta(t) \text{ being the Dirac-delta function.}$$

The space $C_{\alpha}[0, \infty)$ is normed by $\|f\|_{C_{\alpha}} = \sup_{0 \leq t < \infty} |f(t)|(1+t)^{-\alpha}$.

Throughout this paper, we assume that C denotes a positive constant not necessarily the same at all occurrence and $[\beta]$ denotes the integer part of β .

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

For $f \in C[0, \infty)$ the Szász operators is defined as

$$S_n(f; x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_{n,k}(x) f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right), \quad x \in [0, \infty) \text{ and for } m \in N^0 \text{ (the set of nonnegative integers),}$$

the m -th order moment of the Szász operators is defined as

$$\mu_{n,m}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q_{n,k}(x) \left(\frac{k}{n} - x\right)^m.$$

LEMMA 2.1. [3] For $m \in N^0$, the function $\mu_{n,m}(x)$ defined above, has the following properties:

(i) $\mu_{n,0}(x) = 1, \mu_{n,1}(x) = 0$, and the recurrence relation is

$$n\mu_{n,m+1}(x) = x(\mu'_{n,m}(x) + m\mu_{n,m-1}(x)) \quad , m \geq 1;$$

(ii) $\mu_{n,m}(x)$ is a polynomial in x of degree at most $[m/2]$;

(iii) For every $x \in [0, \infty)$, $\mu_{n,m}(x) = O(n^{-[(m+1)/2]})$.

From above lemma, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_{n,k}(x)(k-nx)^{2j} &= n^{2j}(\mu_{n,2j}(x) - (-x)^{2j}e^{-nx}) \\ &= n^{2j}\{O(n^{-j}) + O(n^{-s})\} \quad (\text{for any } s > 0) \\ &= O(n^j) \quad (\text{if } s \geq j). \end{aligned}$$

For $m \in N^0$, the m - th order moment $T_{n,m}(x)$ for the operators (1) is defined as:

$$T_{n,m}(x) = M_n((t-x)^m; x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_{n,k}(x) \int_0^{\infty} b_{n,k-1}(t)(t-x)^m dt + (-x)^m e^{-nx} .$$

LEMMA 2.2. For the function $T_{n,m}(x)$, we have $T_{n,0}(x) = 1, T_{n,1}(x) = \frac{x}{n-1}$,

$$T_{n,2}(x) = \frac{nx^2 + 2nx + 2x^2}{(n-1)(n-2)}$$

and there holds the recurrence relation:

$$(3) \quad (n-m-1)T_{n,m+1}(x) = xT'_{n,m}(x) + ((2x+1)m+x)T_{n,m}(x) + mx(x+2)T_{n,m-1}(x) , \quad n > m+1 .$$

Further, we have the following consequences of $T_{n,m}(x)$:

(i) $T_{n,m}(x)$ is a polynomial in x of degree exactly m ;

(ii) For every $x \in [0, \infty)$, $T_{n,m}(x) = O(n^{-[(m+1)/2]})$.

Proof: By direct computation, we have $T_{n,0}(x) = 1, T_{n,1}(x) = \frac{x}{n-1}$ and

$$T_{n,2}(x) = \frac{nx^2 + 2nx + 2x^2}{(n-1)(n-2)} .$$

Next, we prove (3). For $x = 0$ it clearly holds. For

$x \in (0, \infty)$, we have

$$T'_{n,m}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q'_{n,k}(x) \int_0^{\infty} b_{n,k-1}(t)(t-x)^m dt - n(-x)^m e^{-nx} - mT_{n,m-1}(x) .$$

Using the relations $xq'_{n,k}(x) = (k-nx)q_{n,k}(x)$ and

$$t(1+t)b'_{n,k}(t) = (k-(n+1)t)b_{n,k}(t) ,$$

we get:

$$xT'_{n,m}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k-nx)q_{n,k}(x) \int_0^{\infty} b_{n,k-1}(t)(t-x)^m dt + n(-x)^{m+1} e^{-nx} - mxT_{n,m-1}(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_{n,k}(x) \int_0^{\infty} t(1+t)b'_{n,k-1}(t)(t-x)^m dt + (n+1)T_{n,m+1}(x) - (-x)^{m+1} e^{-nx} \\
 &\quad + (x+1)T_{n,m}(x) - (x+1)(-x)^m e^{-nx} - mxT_{n,m-1}(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

By using the identity $t(1+t) = (t-x)^2 + (1+2x)(t-x) + x(1+x)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 xT'_{n,m}(x) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_{n,k}(x) \int_0^{\infty} b'_{n,k-1}(t)(t-x)^{m+2} dt + (1+2x) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_{n,k}(x) \int_0^{\infty} b'_{n,k-1}(t)(t-x)^{m+1} dt \\
 &\quad + x(1+x) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_{n,k}(x) \int_0^{\infty} b'_{n,k-1}(t)(t-x)^m dt + (n+1)T_{n,m+1}(x) \\
 &\quad + (1+x)T_{n,m}(x) - mxT_{n,m-1}(x) - (-x)^m e^{-nx}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts, we get

$$xT'_{n,m}(x) = (n-m-1)T_{n,m+1}(x) - (m+x+2mx)T_{n,m}(x) - mx(x+2)T_{n,m-1}(x)$$

from which (3) is immediate.

From the values of $T_{n,0}(x)$ and $T_{n,1}(x)$, it is clear that the consequences (i) and (ii) hold for $m=0$ and $m=1$. By using (3) and the induction on m the proof of consequences (i) and (ii) follows, hence the details are omitted.

■

From the above lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_{n,k}(x) \int_0^{\infty} b_{n,k-1}(t)(t-x)^{2r} dt &= T_{n,2r} - (-x)^{2r} e^{-nx} \\
 &= O(n^{-r}) + O(n^{-s}) \quad (\text{for any } s > 0) \\
 &= O(n^{-r}) \quad (\text{if } s \geq r).
 \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2.3. Let δ and γ be any two positive real numbers and $[a,b] \subset (0,\infty)$. Then, for any $s > 0$, we have

$$\left\| \int_{|t-x| \geq \delta} W_n(t,x) t^\gamma dt \right\|_{C[a,b]} = O(n^{-s}).$$

Making use of Schwarz inequality for integration and then for summation and (4), the proof of the lemma easily follows.

LEMMA 2.4. [3] There exist polynomials $Q_{i,j,r}(x)$ independent of n and k such that

$$x^r D^r (q_{n,k}(x)) = \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} n^i (k-nx)^j Q_{i,j,r}(x) q_{n,k}(x), \text{ where } D = \frac{d}{dx}.$$

3. MAIN RESULTS

Firstly, we show that the derivative $M_n^{(r)}(f(t);x)$ is an approximation process for $f^{(r)}(x)$, $r = 1, 2, \dots$

Theorem 3.1. If $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in C_\alpha[0, \infty)$ for some $\alpha > 0$ and $f^{(r)}$ exists at a point $x \in (0, \infty)$, then

$$(5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_n^{(r)}(f(t);x) = f^{(r)}(x).$$

Further, if $f^{(r)}$ exists and is continuous on $(a - \eta, b + \eta) \subset (0, \infty)$, $\eta > 0$, then (5) holds uniformly in $[a, b]$.

Proof: By Taylor's expansion of f , we have

$$f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^r \frac{f^{(i)}(x)}{i!} (t-x)^i + \varepsilon(t,x)(t-x)^r,$$

where, $\varepsilon(t,x) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow x$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} M_n^{(r)}(f(t);x) &= \sum_{i=0}^r \frac{f^{(i)}(x)}{i!} \int_0^\infty W_n^{(r)}(t,x)(t-x)^i dt + \int_0^\infty W_n^{(r)}(t,x) \varepsilon(t,x)(t-x)^r dt \\ &:= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, using Lemma 2.2 we get that $M_n(t^m; x)$ is a polynomial in x of degree exactly m , for all $m \in \mathbb{N}^0$. Further, we can write it as:

$$(6) \quad M_n(t^m; x) = \frac{(n-m-1)! n^m}{(n-1)!} x^m + \frac{(n-m-1)! n^{m-1}}{(n-1)!} m(m-1) x^{m-1} + O(n^{-2}).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \sum_{i=0}^r \frac{f^{(i)}(x)}{i!} \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{i}{j} (-x)^{i-j} \int_0^\infty W_n^{(r)}(t,x) t^j dt \\ &= \frac{f^{(r)}(x)}{r!} \left(\frac{(n-r-1)! n^r r!}{(n-1)!} \right) = f^{(r)}(x) \left(\frac{(n-r-1)! n^r}{(n-1)!} \right) \rightarrow f^{(r)}(x) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Next, making use of Lemma 2.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| &\leq \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} n^i \frac{|Q_{i,j,r}(x)|}{x^r} \sum_{k=1}^\infty q_{n,k}(x) |k-nx|^j \int_0^\infty b_{n,k-1}(t) |\varepsilon(t,x)| |t-x|^r dt + (nx)^r e^{-nx} |\varepsilon(0,x)| \\ &:= I_3 + I_4. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon(t, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow x$, then for a given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $|\varepsilon(t, x)| < \varepsilon$, whenever $0 < |t - x| < \delta$. For $|t - x| \geq \delta$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $|\varepsilon(t, x)(t - x)^r| \leq C|t - x|^\gamma$.

Now, since $\sup_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i, j \geq 0}} \frac{|Q_{i,j,r}(x)|}{x^r} := M(x) = C \quad \forall x \in (0, \infty)$. Hence,

$$I_3 \leq C \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i, j \geq 0}} n^i \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_{n,k}(x) |k - nx|^j \left(\int_{|t-x| < \delta} b_{n,k-1}(t) \varepsilon |t-x|^r dt + \int_{|t-x| \geq \delta} b_{n,k-1}(t) |t-x|^\gamma dt \right)$$

$$:= I_5 + I_6.$$

Now, applying Schwartz inequality for integration and then for summation, (2) and (4) we led to

$$I_5 \leq \varepsilon C \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i, j \geq 0}} n^i \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_{n,k}(x) |k - nx|^j \left(\int_0^{\infty} b_{n,k-1}(t) dt \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^{\infty} b_{n,k-1}(t) (t-x)^{2r} dt \right)^{1/2}$$

(since $\int_0^{\infty} b_{n,k-1}(t) dt = 1$)

$$\leq \varepsilon C \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i, j \geq 0}} n^i \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_{n,k}(x) (k - nx)^{2j} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_{n,k}(x) \int_0^{\infty} b_{n,k-1}(t) (t-x)^{2r} dt \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq \varepsilon C O(n^{-r/2}) \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i, j \geq 0}} n^i O(n^{j/2}) = \varepsilon O(1).$$

Again using Schwarz inequality for integration and then for summation, in view of (2) and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$I_6 \leq C \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i, j \geq 0}} n^i \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_{n,k}(x) |k - nx|^j \int_{|t-x| \geq \delta} b_{n,k-1}(t) |t-x|^\gamma dt$$

$$\leq C \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i, j \geq 0}} n^i \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_{n,k}(x) |k - nx|^j \left(\int_0^{\infty} b_{n,k-1}(t) dt \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{|t-x| \geq \delta} b_{n,k-1}(t) (t-x)^{2\gamma} dt \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i, j \geq 0}} n^i \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_{n,k}(x) (k - nx)^{2j} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_{n,k}(x) \int_{|t-x| \geq \delta} b_{n,k-1}(t) (t-x)^{2\gamma} dt \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq O(n^{-s}) \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i, j \geq 0}} n^i O(n^{j/2}) \text{ (for any } s > 0 \text{)}$$

$$= O\left(n^{r/2-s}\right) = o(1) \text{ (for } s > r/2 \text{)}.$$

Now, since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, it follows that $I_3 = o(1)$. Also, $I_4 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and hence $I_2 = o(1)$, combining the estimates of I_1 and I_2 , we obtain (5).

To prove the uniformity assertion, it sufficient to remark that $\delta(\varepsilon)$ in above proof can be chosen to be independent of $x \in [a, b]$ and also that the other estimates holds uniformly in $[a, b]$.

■

Our next theorem is a Voronovaskaja-type asymptotic formula for the operators $M_n^{(r)}(f(t); x)$, $r = 1, 2, \dots$.

THEOREM 3.2. Let $f \in C_\alpha[0, \infty)$ for some $\alpha > 0$. If $f^{(r+2)}$ exists at a point $x \in (0, \infty)$, then

$$(7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \left(M_n^{(r)}(f(t); x) - f^{(r)}(x) \right) = \frac{r(r+1)}{2} f^{(r)}(x) + ((r+1)x + r) f^{(r+1)}(x) + \frac{1}{2} x(x+2) f^{(r+2)}(x).$$

Further, if $f^{(r+2)}$ exists and is continuous on the interval $(a - \eta, b + \eta) \subset (0, \infty)$, $\eta > 0$, then (7) holds uniformly on $[a, b]$.

Proof: By the Taylor's expansion of $f(t)$, we get

$$M_n^{(r)}(f(t); x) = \sum_{i=0}^{r+2} \frac{f^{(i)}(x)}{i!} M_n^{(r)}\left((t-x)^i; x\right) + M_n^{(r)}\left(\varepsilon(t, x)(t-x)^{r+2}; x\right) \\ := I_1 + I_2,$$

where $\varepsilon(t, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow x$.

By Lemma 2.2 and (6), we have

$$I_1 = \sum_{i=r}^{r+2} \frac{f^{(i)}(x)}{i!} \sum_{j=r}^i \binom{i}{j} (-x)^{i-j} M_n^{(r)}(t^j; x) \\ = \frac{f^{(r)}(x)}{r!} M_n^{(r)}(t^r; x) + \frac{f^{(r+1)}(x)}{(r+1)!} \left((r+1)(-x) M_n^{(r)}(t^r; x) + M_n^{(r)}(t^{r+1}; x) \right) \\ + \frac{f^{(r+2)}(x)}{(r+2)!} \left(\frac{(r+2)(r+1)}{2} x^2 M_n^{(r)}(t^r; x) + (r+2)(-x) M_n^{(r)}(t^{r+1}; x) + M_n^{(r)}(t^{r+2}; x) \right) \\ = f^{(r)}(x) \left(\frac{(n-r-1)! n^r}{(n-1)!} \right) \\ + \frac{f^{(r+1)}(x)}{(r+1)!} \left\{ (r+1)(-x) \left(\frac{(n-r-1)! n^r}{(n-1)!} r! \right) \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \left(\frac{(n-r-2)!n^{r+1}}{(n-1)!} (r+1)!x + \frac{(n-r-2)!n^r}{(n-1)!} (r+1)r r! \right) \Bigg\} \\
 & + \frac{f^{(r+2)}(x)}{(r+2)!} \left\{ \frac{(r+1)(r+2)}{2} x^2 \left(\frac{(n-r-1)!n^r}{(n-1)!} r! \right) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + (r+2)(-x) \left(\left(\frac{(n-r-2)!n^{r+1}}{(n-1)!} \right) (r+1)!x + \left(\frac{(n-r-2)!n^r}{(n-1)!} \right) (r+1)!r \right) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \left(\frac{(n-r-3)!n^{r+2}}{(n-1)!} \cdot \frac{(r+2)!}{2} x^2 + \frac{(n-r-3)!n^{r+1}}{(n-1)!} (r+2)(r+1)(r+1)!x \right) \right\} + O(n^{-2}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence in order to prove (7) it suffices to show that $nI_2 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which follows on proceeding along the lines of proof of $I_2 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in Theorem 3.1. The uniformity assertion follows as in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

■

Finally, we present a theorem which gives as an estimate of the degree of approximation by $M_n^{(r)}(.; x)$ for smooth functions.

THEOREM 3.3. Let $f \in C_\alpha[0, \infty)$ for some $\alpha > 0$ and $r \leq q \leq r + 2$. If $f^{(q)}$ exists and is continuous on $(a - \eta, b + \eta) \subset (0, \infty)$, $\eta > 0$, then for sufficiently large n ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| M_n^{(r)}(f(t); x) - f^{(r)}(x) \right\|_{C[a,b]} \leq C_1 n^{-1} \sum_{i=r}^q \left\| f^{(i)} \right\|_{C[a,b]} \\
 & + C_2 n^{-1/2} \omega_{f^{(q)}}(n^{-1/2}) + O(n^{-2})
 \end{aligned}$$

where C_1, C_2 are constants independent of f and n , $\omega_f(\delta)$ is the modulus of continuity of f on $(a - \eta, b + \eta)$, and $\|\cdot\|_{C[a,b]}$ denotes the sup-norm on $[a, b]$.

Proof. By Taylor's expansion of f , we have

$$f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^q \frac{f^{(i)}(x)}{i!} (t-x)^i + \frac{f^{(q)}(\xi) - f^{(q)}(x)}{q!} (t-x)^q \chi(t) + h(t, x)(1 - \chi(t)),$$

where ξ lies between t, x , and $\chi(t)$ is the characteristic function of the interval $(a - \eta, b + \eta)$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_n^{(r)}(f(t); x) - f^{(r)}(x) & = \left(\sum_{i=0}^q \frac{f^{(i)}(x)}{i!} \int_0^\infty W_n^{(r)}(t, x) (t-x)^i dt - f^{(r)}(x) \right) \\
 & + \int_0^\infty W_n^{(r)}(t, x) \left\{ \frac{f^{(q)}(\xi) - f^{(q)}(x)}{q!} (t-x)^q \chi(t) \right\} dt + \int_0^\infty W_n^{(r)}(t, x) h(t, x) (1 - \chi(t)) dt \\
 & := I_1 + I_2 + I_3.
 \end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma 2.2 and (6), we get

$$I_1 = \sum_{i=r}^q \frac{f^{(i)}(x)}{i!} \sum_{j=r}^i \binom{i}{j} (-x)^{i-j} \frac{d^r}{dx^r} \left(\frac{(n-j-1)! n^j}{(n-1)!} x^j + \frac{(n-j-1)! n^{j-1}}{(n-1)!} j(j-1)x^{j-1} + O(n^{-2}) \right) - f^{(r)}(x).$$

Consequently,

$$\|I_1\|_{C[a,b]} \leq C_1 n^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=r}^q \|f^{(i)}\|_{C[a,b]} \right) + O(n^{-2}), \text{ uniformly on } [a, b].$$

To estimate I_2 we proceed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| &\leq \int_0^\infty |W_n^{(r)}(t, x)| \left\{ \frac{|f^{(q)}(\xi) - f^{(q)}(x)|}{q!} |t-x|^q \chi(t) \right\} dt \\ &\leq \frac{\omega_{f^{(q)}}(\delta)}{q!} \int_0^\infty |W_n^{(r)}(t, x)| \left(1 + \frac{|t-x|}{\delta} \right) |t-x|^q dt \\ &\leq \frac{\omega_{f^{(q)}}(\delta)}{q!} \left[\sum_{k=1}^\infty |q_{n,k}^{(r)}(x)| \int_0^\infty b_{n,k-1}(t) (|t-x|^q + \delta^{-1}|t-x|^{q+1}) dt \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (-n)^r e^{-nx} (x^q + \delta^{-1}x^{q+1}) \right], \delta > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, for $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, using Schwartz inequality for integration and then for summation, (2) and (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (8) \quad \sum_{k=1}^\infty q_{n,k}(x) |k-nx|^j \int_0^\infty b_{n,k-1}(t) |t-x|^s dt &\leq \sum_{k=1}^\infty q_{n,k}(x) |k-nx|^j \left\{ \left(\int_0^\infty b_{n,k-1}(t) dt \right)^{1/2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left(\int_0^\infty q_{n,k-1}(t) (t-x)^{2s} dt \right)^{1/2} \right\} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty q_{n,k}(x) (k-nx)^{2j} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty q_{n,k}(x) \int_0^\infty b_{n,k-1}(t) (t-x)^{2s} dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &= O(n^{j/2}) O(n^{-s/2}) \\ &= O(n^{(j-s)/2}), \text{ uniformly on } [a, b]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.4 and (8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (9) \quad \sum_{k=1}^\infty |q_{n,k}^{(r)}(x)| \int_0^\infty b_{n,k-1}(t) |t-x|^s dt &\leq \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i, j \geq 0}} n^i |k-nx|^j \frac{|Q_{i,j,r}(x)|}{x^r} q_{n,k}(x) \\ &\quad \times \int_0^\infty b_{n,k-1}(t) |t-x|^s dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \left(\sup_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i, j \geq 0}} \sup_{x \in [a, b]} \frac{|Q_{i, j, r}(x)|}{x^r} \right) \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i, j \geq 0}} n^i \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_{n, k}(x) |k - nx|^j \int_0^{\infty} b_{n, k-1}(t) |t - x|^s dt \right)$$

$$= C \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i, j \geq 0}} n^i O(n^{(j-s)/2}) = O(n^{(r-s)/2}), \text{ uniformly on } [a, b].$$

(since $\sup_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i, j \geq 0}} \sup_{x \in [a, b]} \frac{|Q_{i, j, r}(x)|}{x^r} := M(x)$ but fixed)

Choosing $\delta = n^{-1/2}$ and applying (9), we are led to

$$\|I_2\|_{C[a, b]} \leq \frac{\omega_{f^{(q)}}(n^{-1/2})}{q!} \left[O(n^{(r-q)/2}) + n^{1/2} O(n^{(r-q-1)/2}) + O(n^{-m}) \right], \text{ (for any } m > 0 \text{)}$$

$$\leq C_2 n^{-(r-q)/2} \omega_{f^{(q)}}(n^{-1/2}).$$

Since $t \in [0, \infty) \setminus (a - \eta, b + \eta)$, we can choose $\delta > 0$ in such a way that $|t - x| \geq \delta$ for all $x \in [a, b]$. Thus, by Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, we obtain

$$|I_3| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i, j \geq 0}} n^i |k - nx|^j \frac{|Q_{i, j, r}(x)|}{x^r} q_{n, k}(x) \int_{|t-x| \geq \delta} b_{n, k-1}(t) |h(t, x)| dt + (-n)^r e^{-nx} |h(0, x)|.$$

For $|t - x| \geq \delta$, we can find a constant C such that $|h(t, x)| \leq C|t - x|^\alpha$. Hence, using Schwarz inequality for integration and then for summation (2), (4), it easily follows that $I_3 = O(n^{-s})$ for any $s > 0$, uniformly on $[a, b]$.

Combining the estimates of I_1, I_2, I_3 , the required result is immediate.

■

REFERENCES

1. P.N. Agrawal and Kareem J. Thamer, Linear combinations of Szász-Baskakov type operators, *Demonstratio Math.*, **32(3)** (1999), 575-580.
2. Vijay Gupta and Alexandru Lupas: Direct results for mixed Beta-Szász type operators, *General Mathematics* **13(2)**, (2005), 83-94.
3. Vijay Gupta, G.S. Servastava and A. Shahai: On simultaneous approximation by Szász-Beta operators, *Soochow J. Math.* **21**, (1995), 1-11.
4. C.P. May: Saturation and inverse theorems for combinations of a class of exponential-type operators, *Canad. J. Math.* **28** (1976), 1224-1250.

5. R.K.S. Rathore: Linear Combinations of Linear Positive Operators and Generating Relations in Special Functions, Ph.D. Thesis, I.I.T. Delhi (India) 1973.