Theoretical study on the effect of operating parameters on the performance of adsorption refrigerator
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Abstract:
This work include a theoretical analysis of an adsorption refrigerator, the adsorption refrigerator consist of unit generator in the form of shell and tube heat exchanger, the generator can be heated either by hot water from solar collector, or from waste heat. The adsorption pair used was active carbon-methanol. A complete thermodynamics analysis was achieved on the unit, while the thermo physical properties of methanol were calculated using Dubinin and Astakhov equation. Many key parameters were examined to find the effect off the unit starting, evaporator, condensing and peak temperatures on the cycle COP. The results show that for a certain ambient temperature there is an optimum generator temperature at which COP reaches a maximum. as the ambient temperature increases the condensing temperature increases also, thus leads to reduce cycle COP, and finally heavy materials used in building the adsorption cycle leads to increase the consumed heat that used to heat up the generator.
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خلاصة:

1- Introduction:
The early scientists who worked on principles for solar adsorption system was Michael Faraday [1] in 1824. Through experiment, he succeeded in liquefying ammonia, which scientists had believed to be a "fixed" gas. He exposed the ammonia vapor to silver chloride. When the silver chloride had taken all the vapor it could adsorb, he applied heat and got a liquid. But when the heat was removed, he discovered that the liquid soon began to "boil," vaporize, and draw heat from its surrounding. F. Lemmini and F. Meunier [2] presented a numerical simulation of an adsorptive solar refrigerator after one year of operation in Rabat, Morocco, 1993. The average solar COP is 0.114. In 2005 R. A Wang and R. G. Oliveira[3] have designed a prototype adsorption chiller use
waste heat or solar energy as the main heat sources. A daily ice production of between 4 and 7 kg/m² of solar collector with a solar COP between 0.1, and 0.15 was found. In the University of Technology in Iraq one of its students Ammar S.[4] presented a research in 2006 about solar adsorption icemaker using plate type adsorber/collector. After adsorption process the lowest temperature of evaporator was −0.5°C and the COP of system was 0.39. A hybrid adsorption refrigeration unit was designed, built and tested by Nema and Fadiel [5] in 2008. They concluded that there is an optimum generator temperature at which the cycle COP reach max. The cycle COP was varied from 0.07 to 0.3. Khalifa, Hussein and Hadi,[6] have built two generators nearly continuous adsorption-desorption cycle, by allowing the generators to work alternatively either adsorbing or desorbing the medium. The adsorption chiller was driven by hot water, with a temperature range of 70 to 100°C. It was found that using the mass recovery process increases the initial concentration of methanol in the desorption generator; hence, improving the COP and SCP of the cycle. The COP of this adsorption chiller was about 0.301, while the SCP was about 0.3532 kW/kgAC. Hassan and Mohamad [7] have study theoretically a thermodynamic chiller based on adsorption at constant temperature, The Dubinin–Astakhov adsorption equilibrium equation is used in the analysis. The chiller is found to produce a daily mass of 2.63 kg cold water at 0 °C from water at 25 °C per kg of adsorbent. Moreover, the proposed system attains a cooling coefficient of performance of 0.66.

2- System description:

The theoretical adsorption refrigerator consists of generator (Bed), which is the main part of the field unit that acts as a compressor in the vapour compression unit. The generator was built in the form of a shell and tube heat exchanger. The difference that, the tube contains both adsorbent and adsorbate, and the water was in the shell. The unit generator consists of two identical parts; each one was built from a hemispherical shell of 240.4 mm in diameter and (100 mm) height, as shown in Figure (1). The upper end of hemispherical shell contains a valve for charging purpose, while the lower end was closed by brass disk of 1.75 mm thick. The brass disk was drilled to have 22 holes. A 22 copper tubes of 22.22 mm in diameter and 440 mm long were fixed on the brass disk. The free ends of the tubes was punched and soldered, while the other one was equipped with a screen mesh to allow refrigerant to flow through tiny holes and at the same time to keep the active carbon within the tubes. Each hemispherical shell was charged by 1.25 kg of active carbon and 87.5 gram of methanol, table 1 shows the physical properties of generator. The two parts of generator were combined together by a cylindrical shell to form the generator as shown in Figure (1). The aim of the shell is to contain the hot water coming from either solar collector or from waste heat source. A wire condenser is used to condensate the methanol and a copper container of 1liter capacity was used as unit evaporator, as shown in Figure (3).

3- Theoretical analysis:

The vapour pressure $P_s$ of methanol in a limited temperature range is described by Exell et al. [8] as a function of temperature as:

$$\ln P_s = 12.6973 - \frac{4024.37}{T} - \frac{87582.885}{T^2}$$

In the range of 250K<T<337.5K error of 2.9% max. and 337.5K<T<420K error of 0.1% max.

While the density of saturation liquid methanol with maximum error of 0.25% is:

$$\rho_s = 937.911 - 0.058267 T - 0.001459 T^2$$
The isotherm adsorption equation D-A equation was developed by Dubinin and Astakhov [9] as below:

\[ x = x_0 e^{-D\left[T \ln\left(\frac{P_s}{P}\right)\right]^n} \]  

Where D is structural constant of the adsorbent and can be written as:

\[ D = \frac{k R^2}{\beta^2} \]  

Where:

n: is usually between 1 and 3, \( x_0 \): the maximum concentration of methanol, R is gas constant, \( \beta \) is the affinity coefficient which characterize the polarizability of the adsorbate and is a function of adsorbate only, \( k \): parameter depends upon the number and size distribution of micros, \( P_s \) is the saturation vapour pressure of adsorbate at temperature T, \( P \) is the equilibrium gas- phase partial pressure at the adsorbate, and x is the mass concentration percentage.

From the Isotherm adsorption equation, the saturation pressure \( P_s \) of methanol can be approximately expressed as a function of temperature as follows [10]:

\[ \ln P_s = A - \frac{B}{T} \]  

Where A and B are constants, and can be determined by following expressions:

\[ A = 12.6973 + \frac{87582.885}{T_L \cdot T_H} \]  
\[ B = 4024.37 + \frac{87582.885}{T_L - \frac{1}{T_H}} \]

Where \( T_L \) and \( T_H \) are the lower and the upper temperatures in the range of saturation methanol. Similarly, the pressure-temperature relationship of an adsorbate can also be simply expressed as:

\[ \ln P = b \left(\frac{1}{T}\right) + a \]

To determine a and b (the intercept and the slope for a constant mass concentration line on the \( \ln P \) vs \( -1/T \) diagram respectively), rewrite D-A equation as:

\[ \ln P = c \left(\frac{1}{T}\right) + \ln P_s \]

Where

\[ c = \left[ \ln \frac{x_0}{x} \right]^{\frac{1}{n}} \]

Substitute equation 4 into equation 7a yields.

\[ b = c + B = \left[ \ln \frac{W_0}{W} \right]^{\frac{1}{n}} + B \quad \text{and} \quad a = A \]
With a series of $a$ and $b$, a set of lines for a particular adsorbate/adsorbent pair can be drawn in the $(\ln P)$ vs. $(-1/T)$ diagram. The parameters $x_o$, $D$, $n$ and $b$ are determined by adsorption test. Figure (2) shows such a diagram and an ideal adsorption refrigeration cycle.

Under the assumption that the specific volume of the gas is much greater than that of the liquid, the specific volume of the liquid is negligible, and if the pressure is low enough so the gas can be treated as the ideal gas, the equilibrium vapour-liquid phase transformation for a pure fluid can be expressed by Clausius-Claperyon equation[10]:

$$h_{fg} = \frac{d \ln P}{d \left(-\frac{1}{T}\right)} R$$

and

$$h_{ad} = \left[\frac{\partial \ln P}{\partial \left(-\frac{1}{T}\right)}\right]_x R$$

The subscript $x$ signifies that the derivative is taken at constant concentration.

It is clear that the values of $h_{fg}$ and $h_{ad}$ is obtained from the slopes of the equilibrium lines for the pure refrigerant, and for a constant concentration $x$ on the $(\ln P_i)$ vs $(-1/T)$ diagram. The value of $h_{ad}$ is obtained by multiplying the slope (b) by gas constant (R) of methanol as follows.

$$h_{ad} = b.R$$

In Figure (2), the desorption and adsorption processes starts at temperatures $T_2$ and $T_4$ respectively, (called threshold temperatures), while the desorption process occur at condensing pressure $P_c$, and adsorption process occurs at evaporator pressure $P_e$. Since the threshold temperature cannot be measured simply, therefor it is determined using D-A equation.

Equation (6) can be rewritten as:

$$T_1 = \frac{b_{x_{max}}}{a_1 - \ln P_e} \quad \text{and}$$

$$T_2 = \frac{b_{x_{max}}}{a_1 - \ln P_c}$$

The relation between starting temperature $T_1$, and threshold temperature $T_2$ is:

$$T_2 = \frac{a_1 - \ln P_e}{a_1 - \ln P_c} T_1$$

Similarly, the relation between condensing temperature $T_3$ and threshold temperature $T_4$, can be written as:

$$T_4 = \frac{a_3 - \ln P_c}{a_3 - \ln P_e} T_3$$

Since $a_1 \approx a_3$ the relationship of the four temperatures can be written as:

$$\frac{T_2}{T_1} \approx \frac{T_3}{T_4}$$

3-1 Processes of adsorption cycle:

The adsorption cycle consist of four processes as follows:

**Heating Process 1—2 :**

In the heating process that shown in Figure (3a) the temperature of the adsorbent/adsorbate is increased from $T_1$ to $T_2$. However, there is no methanol vapour flow from the generator, so the concentration in this process is constant at $x_{max}$, also there is no work done. That is to say that the
heat supplied in this process is used to increase the internal energy of the active carbon, methanol and generator materials.

\[ dU_{\text{tube}} + dU_{\text{shell}} + dU_{\text{A.C.}} = (m_{\text{tube}} \cdot c_{\text{tube}} + m_{\text{shell}} \cdot c_{\text{shell}} + m_{\text{A.C.}} \cdot c_{\text{A.C.}}) \, dT \]  

All specific heats in the temperature range of equation (16) are shown table (1).

The change in internal energy of the methanol is:-

\[ \Delta U_{\text{meth.}}_{1-2} = m_{\text{A.C.}} \cdot x_{\text{max}} \int_{1}^{2} c_{\text{meth.}} \, dT \]

where \( x_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum concentration of methanol in the active carbon and determined by the following equation:

\[ x_{\text{max}} = x_{0} \, e^{-\frac{D}{k B } \, \left( A(T_2) - \ln P_{e} \right) T_2 - \frac{B(T_2)}{R} \, T_2} \]

Since the refrigerant methanol in all processes is adsorbed, thus it can be deal with it as in the liquid phase, therefor it can be assumed that all specific heats (namely at constant pressure, at constant volume and in the liquid phase) are the same, and are calculated by the following equation [11].

\[ c_f = 3.3625 - 0.01189375T + 0.000030593T^2 \]

So, the average heat capacity of liquid methanol is:

\[ c_{f1-2}(T_2 - T_1) = 3.3625(T_2 - T_1) - 5.946875 \times 10^{-3}(T_2^2 - T_1^2) + 1.01977 \times 10^{-5}(T_2^3 - T_1^3) \]

Hence the change of the internal energy of the methanol is:

\[ \Delta U_{\text{meth.1-2}} = m_{\text{A.C.}} \cdot x_{\text{max}} \cdot c_{f1-2}(T_2 - T_1) \]

The total heat used to heat the generator \( Q_{\text{C.M.1-2}} \) is equal to:

\[ Q_{\text{C.M.1-2}} = (m_{\text{tube}} \cdot c_{\text{tube}} + m_{\text{shell}} \cdot c_{\text{shell}} + m_{\text{A.C.}} \cdot c_{\text{A.C.}} + m_{\text{A.C.}} \cdot x_{\text{max}} \cdot c_{f1-2})(T_2 - T_1) \]

**Desorption Process 2—3:**

When the temperature of the adsorption pair reaches the desorption temperature \( T_2 \) desorption starts as shown in Figure (3b), and ending at maximum temperature \( T_3 \) (corresponding to the minimum concentration \( x_{\text{min}} \)). During this process the refrigerant is driven off from generator continuously and the partial condensing pressure \( P_c \) of the refrigerant vapour in the system remains constant.

Taking the generator as the control volume, the energy balance of the system that does not produced or absorbed any work is [12]:

\[ \delta Q = \sum m_{e} h_{e} - \sum m_{i} h_{i} + \delta Q + dU_{\text{CV}} \]

where \( \delta Q \) is the heat depleted during desorption process.

The term \( \sum m_{e} h_{e} - \sum m_{i} h_{i} \) in eq. (23) can be written as \( (h_{\text{meth.e}} \cdot dm_{e}) \) since there is no methanol inter the C.V. The desorbed methanol leaving the C.V. is saturated vapour and can be written as:

\[ h_{\text{meth.}} = h_{f} + h_{fg} \]
The enthalpy of a saturated liquid methanol at temperature $T$, is[12]:

$$h_f(T) = h_{f,0} + c_{f,T_0-T}(T - T_0) + P_v - P_0v_0$$

Where $h_{f,0}$ is the enthalpy of the methanol at the reference temperature, $c_{f,T_0-T}$ is the average specific heat of the liquid methanol from $T_0$ to $T$, $P$ and $v$ are the saturation pressure and specific volume of liquid methanol corresponding to saturation temperature $T$, respectively.

Similarly, the average specific heat of liquid methanol from 273.16 K to a temperature $T$ is:-

$$c_{f,273.15-T} = 3.3625 - 0.005946875(T + 273.15) + 0.0000101977(T^2 + 273.15T + 273.15^2)$$

The flow energy of methanol can be determined by combination of equations 1 and 2 as follows:

$$P_s v_f = \left[ \frac{10^3 e^{(12.6973 - \frac{4024.37}{T} - \frac{87582.885}{T^2})}}{[937.911 - 0.058267T - 0.001459T^2]} \right]$$

Hence, the enthalpy of liquid methanol can be written as:

$$h_f(T) = 200 + c_{f,273.15-T}(T - 273.15) + P_v - P_0v_0$$

Substituting the value of $R$ and the expression for $B$ from equation.(5b) in equation (11) yileds the latent heat of evaporation.

$$h_{fg} = 259.5 \times \left( 4024.37 + \frac{2 \times 87582.885}{T} \right)$$

It is also reasonable to assume that methanol is adsorbed in liquid phase and desorbed in vapour phase. That is to say that the mass of the liquid methanol leaving the C.V. is:

$$dm_L = m_{A.C.} \cdot dx$$

While the mass of desorbed vapour methanol is:

$$m_V = m_{A.C.}(x_{\text{max}} - x)$$

$$dm_{meth.e} = m_{A.C.} \cdot dx$$

Where subscripts $L$ and $V$ represent the adsorbed of liquid refrigerant and the desorbed of vapour refrigerant, while the subscribe $e$ represent the exit condition.

To simplify the calculation, taking an average value of enthalpy over the operating temperature, therefore the enthalpy of exit methanol can be written as:-

$$H_{meth.e} = m_{A.C.} \cdot \Delta x(\bar{h}_f + \bar{h}_{fg})$$

where $\Delta x = x_{\text{max}} - x_{\text{min}}$, $\bar{h}_f$ and $\bar{h}_{fg}$ are the average enthalpies of the liquid methanol and latent heat of evaporation of methanol from $T_2$ to $T_3$. 
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Therefore, the total enthalpy of vapour methanol that the leaving the C.V.is:
\[ H_{\text{meth.e}} = m_{A.C.} \Delta x \left[ 200 + \frac{1}{2} c_{f_0-2} (T_2 - 273.15) + c_{f_{3-4}} (T_3 - 273.15) + \left( \frac{P_2 v_2 + P_3 v_3}{2} - P_{v_0} v_0 \right) + \bar{h}_{fg} \right] \]  

The net heat depleted in a desorption process \( \delta \dot{Q} \), should be the difference between the heat of desorption and the latent heat evaporation, ie.:
\[ \delta \dot{Q} = m_{A.C.} \Delta x (\bar{h}_{dr} - \bar{h}_{fg}) \]

Using eq. (13) and eq. (14), the average heat of adsorption \( \bar{h}_{ad} \) can be determined by:
\[ \bar{h}_{ad} = R \left( \frac{A_{T_2} + T_3}{2} - \ln P_c \right) \times \frac{T_2 + T_3}{2} + \frac{R (A_{T_2} - \ln P_c) T_2}{2} + \frac{R (A_{T_3} - \ln P_c) T_3}{2} \]

The total heat supplied to the system during process 2-3 is equal to the summation of change in internal energy of the generator tubes and active carbon and change in internal energy of the refrigerant adsorbed in active carbon, i.e.:
\[ Q_{cv2-3} = \left( m_{\text{tube}} c_{\text{tube}} + m_{\text{shell}} c_{\text{shell}} + m_{A.C.} c_{A.C.} + m_{A.C.} \frac{x_{\text{max}} - x_{\text{min}}}{2} c_{f_{2-3}} \right) (T_3 - T_2) + m_{A.C.} \Delta x \bar{h}_{dr} \]

The total heat supplied during heating and desorption processes is the summation of \( Q_{C.M.1-2} \) and \( Q_{cv2-3} \).

**Cooling Process 3-4**

From state 3 to state 4 the generator is cooled to the temperature \( T_4 \).

**Refrigeration process 4-1 :**

After the generator is cooled to the temperature \( T_4 \), the valve is open and the adsorption/refrigeration process that shown in Figure (3 c) take place. Take the receiver and the evaporator as the control volume for which there is no work done. Thus, net cooling effect during this process \( Q_{\text{net},\text{r},r_1} \) is
\[ Q_{\text{net},\text{r},r_1} = Q_{r_0-r_1} - \left( \delta Q_{\text{rec},r_0-r_1} + \delta Q_{\text{ev},r_0-r_1} + \delta Q_{\text{leak},r_0-r_1} \right) \]

Where \( \delta Q_{\text{rec}} + \delta Q_{\text{ev}} + \delta Q_{\text{leak}} \) are the sensible heat consumed to cool the receiver, evaporator and heat lost to the surroundings, respectively.

The refrigeration process is occurring in two steps. Firstly, a portion of the liquid refrigerant in the receiver/evaporator cools the receiver, evaporator materials, and the rest of the liquid refrigerant from condensing temperature \( T_C \) to the designed evaporator temperature \( T_e \). Then the remaining liquid refrigerant evaporates at the evaporating pressure \( P_e \) (corresponding to the saturation temperature \( T_e \)) until evaporates completely and absorbs heating load.

In the first step, the temperature of the refrigerant temperature decreases from \( T_C \) to \( T_e \) (Denoting the process as \( r_0-r_1 \)), the flow of heat across the control volume is:
\[ Q_{r_0-r_1} = (m_{r_0} - m_{r_1}) (h_{g_e} - u_{r_1}) - m_{r_0} (u_{r_0} - u_{r_1}) \]
Since the first step happens very quickly, it is reasonable to assume that there is no heat exchange between the system and its surroundings, i.e., \( Q_{r_0-r_1} = 0 \), so that:

\[
m_{r_1} = m_{r_0} \frac{h_{ge} - u_{r_0}}{h_{fg} + P_{e}v_{e} - c_f(T_c - T_e)} = m_{r_0} \frac{h_{fg} + P_{e}v_{e} - c_f(T_c - T_e)}{h_{fg} + P_{e}v_{e}}
\]

In the second step, the evaporation process occurs at constant temperature (Denoting the process as \( r_1-r_2 \))

\[
Q_{r_1-r_2} = m_{r_1}(h_{ge} - m_{r_1})
\]

and:

\[
Q_{net,r_1-r_2} = m_{r_1}(h_{ge} - u_{r_1}) - \left( Q_{rec, r_1-r_2} + Q_{ev, r_1-r_2} + Q_{leak, r_1-r_2} \right)
\]

Thus, the net cooling of load of the cycle is:

\[
Q_{net,r_0-r_2} = m_{r_0}(h_{ge} - u_{r_0}) - \left( Q_{rec, r_0-r_2} + Q_{ev, r_0-r_2} + Q_{leak, r_0-r_2} \right)
\]

While the net change in internal energy is:

\[
Q_{rec, r_0-r_2} + Q_{ev, r_0-r_2} = (m_{rec}c_{rec} + m_{ev}c_{ev})(T_c - T_e)
\]

The mass of the refrigerant at the beginning of the evaporation is:

\[
m_{r_0} = m_{A.C.}(x_{max} - x_{min}) = m_{A.C.} \Delta x
\]

Assuming that the methanol in evaporator entrance as saturated liquid, therefore it can be say that:

\[
u_{r_0} = u_{fr_0} = h_{fr_0} - P_{ro}v_{fr_0}
\]

Where \( P_{ro}, V_{fr_0} \) and \( h_{fr_0} \) are the pressure, specific volume, and the specific enthalpy of the saturated liquid corresponds to the temperature \( T_c \)

So \( (h_{ge} - u_{r_0}) \) may be expressed as:

\[
h_{ge} - u_{r_0} = h_{fg} - c_f(T_c - T_e) + P_{e}v_{fe}
\]

The latent heat of evaporation at evaporator temperature \( h_{fg} \) can be determined as in equation (31). And the net cooling effect is:

\[
Q_{net} = m_{A.C.} \Delta x \left[ h_{fg} - c_f(T_c - T_e) + P_{e}v_{fe} \right] - (m_{rec}c_{rec} + m_{ev}c_{ev})(T_c - T_e) - Q_{leak}
\]

COP of the Ideal Refrigeration Cycle:

The coefficient of performance (COP) of a cycle is defined as the ratio of cooling effect to the energy transferred to the cycle to accomplish this effect. So the net COP of the cycle is:

\[
COP_{net} = \frac{Q_{net}}{Q_h}
\]

\[
= \frac{m_{A.C.} \Delta x \left[ h_{fg} - c_f(T_c - T_e) + P_{e}v_{fe} \right] - (m_{rec}c_{rec} + m_{ev}c_{ev})(T_c - T_e) - Q_{leak}}{(m_{tube}c_{tube} + m_{shell}c_{shell} + m_{A.C.}c_{A.C} + m_{A.C.}x_{max}c_{f_1-f_3})(T_3 - T_1) + m_{A.C.} \Delta x \bar{h}_{dr}}
\]
The Gross $COP$ of the cycle is:

$$COP_{gross} = \frac{Q_{gross_c}}{Q_h} = \frac{m_{A.C.} \Delta x (h_{f_{ge}} - c_f (T_c - T_e) + P_c v_{f_e})}{(m_{tube} c_{tube} + m_{shell} c_{shell} + m_{A.C.} x_{max} \overline{c_{f_{ge}}}) (T_3 - T_1) + m_{A.C.} \frac{\Delta x}{2} (P_{s_2} v_{f_2} + P_{s_3} v_{f_3}) + m_{A.C.} \Delta x \overline{h_{dr}}}$$

4- Results and discussions:

The effect of many key variables were examined to find the effect of operating temperatures on the cycle COP, and the software called Engineering Equation Solver software (EES) was used to solve the equation that mentioned in theoretical analysis. Referred to Figure (2) that show a complete adsorption cycle, the unit generator submitted to many temperatures, namely; evaporator temperature $T_e$, condensing temperature $T_c$, starting temperature $T_1$, peak temperature $T_3$. Figure (4) shows the effect of peak temperature on the cycle COP at different starting temperature, it can be seen from the figure that, starting temperature affecting COP directly, while peak temperature affecting COP inversely, this is due to as the peak temperature increases the amount of heat supplied to the generator increases. The higher condensing temperature reduced COP, as shown in Figure (5), since condensing temperature affect the condensation process and the degree of subcooling. Figure (6) shows the effect of starting temperature on the cycle COP, at different condensing temperatures. It can be seen from the figure that as the starting temperature increases the COP increases too. This is due to that less energy is consumed in rising generator temperature. Figure (7) shows the effect of starting temperature on the COP at different values of certain peak temperatures. It can be seen from the figure that maximum COP is at a lower generator temperature. Figure (8) shows the effect of evaporator temperature on the cycle COP, it can be seen from the figure that as the evaporator temperature increases the cycle COP increases also. Figures (9) and (10) show the effect of evaporator temperature on the cycle COP, for different values of starting temperature and generator temperature respectively. It can be seen from all figures that the COP is affected strongly by the condenser temperature, while the generator temperature has a little effect on the cycle COP.

5- Conclusions:

1- For a certain ambient temperature there is an optimum generator temperature at which the COP reaches a maximum. Therefore, there is no need to increase the generator temperature more than necessary.
2- The adsorption refrigeration system is suitable when the ambient temperature is low, as the ambient temperature increases the condensing temperature increases also, thus leads to reduce cycle COP.
3- Heavy materials used in building the adsorption cycle leads to increase the consumed heat that used to heat up the generator.
Table (1): Specifications and specific heat of adsorption system components.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>materials</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Length (mm)</th>
<th>Diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>C (kJ/kg K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>copper tubes</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>22.22</td>
<td>0.1189</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>brass plate</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>0.467</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>generator shell</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>240.4</td>
<td>2.634</td>
<td>0.5 at 100°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active carbon</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>2.47 at 25°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure (1) Unit generator of adsorption cycle

Figure (2): The $P-T-x$ diagram of the ideal basic adsorption cycle
Figure 3: Adsorption refrigeration cycle

Figure 4: Effect of peak temperature on COP at different starting temperature.
Figure (5) Effect of peak temperature on COP at different condensing temp.

Figure (6) Effect of starting temperature on COP at different peak temperature..

Figure (7) Effect of starting temperature on COP at different peak temperature.
Figure (8) Effect of evaporator temperature on COP at different condensing temperature.

Figure (9) Effect of evaporator temperature on COP at different starting temperature.

Figure (10): Effect of evaporator temperature on COP at different peak temp.
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