Effect of decomposition temperature on crystallite size and strain for alumina
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Abstract

The crystallite size and strain of Al₂O₃ ceramic powder have been determined from x-ray line-broadening analysis at different decomposition temperatures. The Al₂O₃ powder have been prepared from decomposition of aluminum hydroxide Al(OH)₃ at different temperature which have been characterized by X-Ray diffraction (XRD) and Differential, Thermal Analysis (DTA) methods. Deconvolution of the experimental profiles by Fourier cosine coefficients has resulted in corrected values of crystallite size. The crystallite size has been found to increase with increasing decomposition temperature from 38nm at 1673k to 73nm at 1873k. Strain on the other hand decrease from 3.04×10⁻³ at 1673k to 1.14×10⁻³ at 1873k. Estimation of micro hardness on compacts of different crystallite size has shown that crystallite size may influence the bearing load that compact can endure.
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1- Introduction :-

Aluminum minerals have been used extensively in the construction of open-hearth furnace for steel industry and in the production of rubber; plastics fine chemicals, paper pulp, protective surface on the metal, boards, and in water treatment.

Aluminum oxide is usually produced by calcining Al(OH)₃ above 673k. The reactivity of the produced Al₂O₃ depended on the calcinations temperature, and above 1673k it is in the unreactive from which is used as basic refractory bricks[1-6].

Determination of the crystallite size and morphology of Al₂O₃ prepared by calcinations of aluminum hydroxide, made by use of x-ray diffraction method and electron microscopy have shown that crystallite size extend over a range of values from 50 to 1000°A and the morphology of the decomposed product is similar to that of the parent compound[7]. The present investigation aimed to calculate crystallite size, strain and morphology from decomposed aluminum hydroxide and to show if these have any influence on the hardness properties.

2-Experimental:
To characterize the reactants and their decomposition, different techniques were used. They were the automated x-ray powder diffraction system for recording diffraction pattern with copper Kα radiation (λ = 1.5406 Å). In addition to the necessary treatment on the scanned peaks, the simultaneous TG-DTA system for recording TG and DTA curves under static air, heating rate of 10°C/min and alumina as reference material.

Assessment of mechanical properties of powder compacts by Vickers microhardness was made with applied load of 100 gram and square diamond pyramid with opposite faces at 136°.

3-Analysis of Line Breath

The extent of line breadth was taken as a measure due to small-crystallite of Al₂O₃ powders. Measurements were based on the FWHM (Full Width at Half Maximum) of three diffraction lines namely (104), (113) and (116). The measured breadths were corrected for instrumental effects using the breadth from normal crystallite size specimen by using the equation given by Azarof[8].

$$B_{WC} = [(B_{meas.} - B_{instr.})(B_{meas.}^2 - B_{instr.}^2)^{1/2}]^{1/2}$$

Where $B_{meas.}$ is the experimentally measured breadth and $B_{instr.}$ is the half width of the instrumental breadth.

The crystallite size and strain can be calculated from formula[9], when certain line shape is assumed such as Gaussian or Cauchy functions.

$$L_{WC} = \frac{K\lambda}{B_{WC}\cos\theta}$$

$$\epsilon = \frac{B_{WC}}{4\tan\theta}$$

Where $L_{WC}$ is the crystallite size, $\lambda$ is the wavelength of the radiation, $B_{WC}$ is the corrected breadth (in radians), $\theta$ is the Bragg angle, $K$ is the Scherer constant whose value $2(\ln2/\pi)^{1/2}=0.94$[10], and $\epsilon$ is the strain.

In practice the line shape is not truly Gaussian or Cauchy and in order to proceed with the correction for instrument function, deconvolution of the experimental profiles was performed by the method proposed by Stokes (1948)[11], and Warren (1969)[10] and the Fourier cosine coefficients $A_L$ were plotted against $L$ where $L$ is defined as[12].

$$L = \frac{n\lambda}{2(\sin\theta_2 - \sin\theta_1)}$$

Where $\theta_2$ and $\theta_1$ are the limits over which the line is recorded, and $n$ is the Fourier harmonic number. Calculation of $A_L$ was made with the aid of computer program written specifically for this purpose.
The corrected cosine coefficient $A_L$ can be written as:

$$A_L = A_L^S A_L^D$$

Where $A_L^S$ is the size coefficient and $A_L^D$ is the distortion. So the crystallite size $L_f$ in direction perpendicular to the diffracting planes considered can be obtained from the initial slope of the curve of $A_L^S$ versus $L$ or $A_L$ versus $L$, if we neglect the distortion coefficient.

$$\frac{1}{L_f} = \left| \frac{dA_L}{dL} \right|_{L=0}$$

Since the distribution is Gaussian, strain also contributes to the broadening of the profile. As multiple orders are not available, r.m.s. strains were calculated following Mitra and Misra (1967)\textsuperscript{[13]} where:

$$A_{L_i} = \exp(-2\pi^2 l_i^2 L_{f_i}^2) \quad \text{and}$$

$$Z_{L_i} = \frac{L \in}{d}$$

Where $\in$=r.m.s. strain, and $I=$order of reflection.

4-Results and Discussion:

Figure (1) shows the DTA, TG, and DDTA curves as recorded for Al(OH)$_3$. Figure (2) shows the X-Ray diffraction patterns of Al(OH)$_3$ at 1673K, 1773K and 1873K. Figure (3) shows the variation of the FWHM with decomposition temperature for Al$_2$O$_3$ from aluminum hydroxide. For the three reflections (104), (113) and (116) the half width decreases sharply up to 1800°C and slowly up to 1870°C. The variation of FWHM with decomposition time of $\alpha$–Al$_2$O$_3$ shows in Figures (4). Figure (5) shows the plot of the Fourier cosine coefficient $A_L$ with $L$ for different temperatures, for alumina from aluminum hydroxide. The (104) reflection has been taken as a representative example only. The crystallite sizes at different temperatures were determined from the initial slopes of the curves. The results are shown in table (1) with crystallite size for (104), (113), and (116) reflection as well. The different sizes with respect to different reflections indicate the anisotropic nature of the crystallites in these directions.

Temperature has significant effect on the crystallite sizes and strain of Al$_2$O$_3$ irrespective of the starting materials. As the temperature increases, the size of the crystallites perpendicular to (104), (113), and (116) reflections increases steadily. The inverse behavior is observed for strain. The crystallite sizes and strain values obtained in this work are in agreement with those for Al$_2$O$_3$, MgO, ZnO, MnO2 found in the literature\textsuperscript{[14-17]}.

In table (1) we have presented values of crystallite size and strain obtained from Fourier method for the purpose of comparison.
Table (2) observed that Vickers micro hardness number of Al$_2$O$_3$ powder compacts depend on the crystallite size determined at certain temperature with the lower crystallite size of 40Å having higher hardness number of 884 and higher size of 70 Å having hardness number of 731. This means that compacts made from finer powders endure better loads.

Figure (1) DTA, TG, and DDTA curves as recorded for Al(OH)$_3$, heating rate 10 K/min.
Figure (2) X-Ray diffraction patterns of Al (OH)_3 at 1673K, 1773K, and 1873K (a, b, and c respectively).

Figure (3) Variation of FWHM with decomposition temperature of α-Al_2O_3.
Figure (4) Variation of FWHM with decomposition time of $\alpha$–$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$.

![Figure (4) Variation of FWHM with decomposition time of $\alpha$–$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$.](image)

Figure (5) plot of $A_L$ against $L$ in $\alpha$-$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ obtained at 1673K, 1773K and 1873K for 104 reflection.

![Figure (5) plot of $A_L$ against $L$ in $\alpha$-$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$.](image)

Table (1) Crystallite size and r.m.s. strain as calculated by Fourier analysis for $\alpha$-$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ yielded from thermal decomposition of $\text{Al(OH)}_3$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reflection hkl</th>
<th>Temperature (K)</th>
<th>Crystallite size (nm)</th>
<th>r.m.s. strain x10$^{-3}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>1673</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1773</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1873</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>1673</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>2.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1773</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1873</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>2.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>1673</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1773</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1873</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (2) Vickers hardness number of $\alpha$-$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ derived from $\text{Al(OH)}_3$ at 1673K, 1773K and 1873K.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (K)</th>
<th>Y (µm)</th>
<th>VHN</th>
<th>Crystallite Size (nm)</th>
<th>Mean VHN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1673</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>946</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>824</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>882</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1773</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>742</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>824</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>771</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1873</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>771</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>742</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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تم تحديد الحجم الحبيبي والانفعال لمسحوق الألومنيا بواسطة تحليل الالتساع في خط حيوية الأشعة السينية عند

\[\text{Al(OH)}_3\]

درجات حرارة مختلفة. حضر مسحوق الألومنيا من التفكك الحراري لهيدروكسيد الألمنيوم عند

درجات حرارة مختلفة والذي شخص بواسطة جهاز حيوية الأشعة السينية وجهاز التحليل الحراري التضامي.

درست قيم المقاس البلوري بأخذ جذر متوسط مربع الانفعال بواسطة تحليل الالتساع في خط حيوية الأشعة

السينية باستخدام طريقة فوريير. وجد أن المقاس البلوري يزداد بزيادة التفكك الحراري من 38 نانومتر عند درجة

حرارة 1673 كلفن إلى 73 نانومتر عند 1873 كلفن. من جانب آخر الانفعال يقل من 3.04x10^{-3} عند 1673

كلف إلى 1.14x10^{-3} عند 1873 كلفن. يعطي المسحوق المكبس للألومنيا صلاادة مجهرية تزداد كلما قلق الحجم

الحبيبي.