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Abstract

In this paper, the function of the pond’s seepage problem is derived using versional approach as especial case of generalized dam problem. In this problem the two dimensional cross section will produce problem with two free surfers which are evaluated as a part of the problem using simulating computer program. [DOI: 10.22401/JNUS.21.3.17]
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1. Introduction

The topic that is closely related to differential equations is the calculus of variation, which deals with the problem of maximizing or minimizing functional that is variable values which depend on a variable running through a set of functions, or on a finite number of such variables, and which are. Completely determined by a definite choice of these variable functions problems that consist of finding maxima or minima of a functional are called variational problem, [7].

The phrase “variational formulation” had been used recently in connection with generalized formulation of boundary –or initial value problems. In boundary value problems, sometimes it happens that a part of the boundary is unknown and must be determined as a part of the solution. This unknown boundary occurs in two cases, the first of which is called the moving boundary, which occurs mostly in heat-flow problems with phase changes and in certain diffusion processes. The second type is called, a free boundary which does not move but its position has to be determined as a part of the solution of a steady-state problem, [3].

The main objective of this article is to deal in general with seepage through porous media, which is an important source of free boundary problems, for example the seepage through earth dams, seepage out of open channels such as rivers, canals, ponds and irrigation system, etc. [1].

Historically, as a literature survey the essential features of variational methods goes back approximately for more than two centuries when the first notions of the subject for variational of calculus began to be formulated. Actually, the most primitive ideas of variational theory had been presented first in Aristothes writings on virtual velocities in 300 B.C., then they were reviewed by Galileo in the sixteenth century. Later, they were formulated into a principle of virtual work by John Bernolli in 1717. The first step toward developing a general method for solving variational problems was given by Euler in 1732, through presenting “a general solution of the isoperimetric problem’. It was in this work and subsequent writing of Euler that variational concepts found a welcome and permanent home in mechanics, [4, 6].

A more solid mathematical basis for variational theory began to be developed in the eighteenth and early nineteenth century. Necessary conditions for the existence of "minimizing curves" of a certain functional were studied during this period and we found among contributors of that area the familiar names of Legendre, Jacobi and Weirstrass, [5].

Legendre gave criteria for distinguishing between maxima and minima in 1786 without considering criteria for existence. Jacobi gave sufficient conditions for existence of an extrema of a functional in 1837, [9]. The main problem in calculus of variation is to find the maximum or minimum values of a given functional J(y), this necessary condition is called the Euler-Lagrange equation. This problem is called, for simplicity, the direct problem of calculus of variation [4].
Functional are variable values which depend on a variable function running through a set of functions or on a finite number of such variables, and which are completely determined by a definite choice of these variable functions, [6].

At the end of the nineteenth century and in the early years of the twentieth century, we found prominent contributions to the subject of variational ideas, particularly, in the area of problems, were Ritz, Galerkin and Hellinger are the pioneers.

Variational concepts now play a fundamental role in applied mathematics. As an example, the solution of any ordinary problems, such as partial differential equations, ordinary differential equations, integral equations, etc. is equivalent to the minimization of the functional $J$ that corresponds to this ordinary equation, [10].

As it is well known, the initiation of the study of variational principles should be attributed to Euler and Lagrange and in a broader setting, to Poisson, Cauchy and Hamilton.

In recent time, the development of a unified theory for linear problems is given, where Hussain E. A. in 1987 studied the solution of the boundary value problems using variational approach, [8]. Also, Mahlol in 1993, studied the solution of the direct and inverse problems of eigenvalue problems, then studying its application for localizing the size of Brain tumors, [11]. In addition, among other studies concerning the direct and inverse problems with application, the study of Ali J. A. in 1994 for the mathematical inverse problem of acoustic wave scattering, [2]. Jabbar in 2001, consider the solution of the two-dimensional moving value problems of Hele–Show problem, [9]. Finally, Al-Ani in 2001 consider the study of the two-dimensional inverse problem of the seepage in a simple rectangular dam, [1].

In this paper we will solve the seepage through a pond which is considered as an application to the generalized dam problem, this had been dam by using variational approach, as well as, evaluate its numerical solution. Numerical simulation is carried using computer program written in MATLAB 2016a for this purpose.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, some necessary and basic most fundamental concepts related to this subject of calculus of variation which are useful for understudy this paper are presented for completeness purpose the corner stone of the variational approach is the formulation of the functional related to the problem under consideration using Magri’s approach, [12]. This approach needs some basic concepts, which are given in the next definitions.

Definition (1), [14]:

Let $U$ and $V$ be two normed linear spaces, a bilinear form defined on $U$ and $V$ is a functional $L: U \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which is linear in both $U$ and $V$, where $u$ and $v$ are elements of $U$ and $V$ respectively, and the following properties are fulfilled:

1. $L(\alpha u + \alpha w, v) = \alpha L(u, v) + \alpha L(w, v)$,
   \[ \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}, u, w \in U, v \in V. \]
2. $L(u, \beta v + \beta w) = \beta_1 L(u, v) + \beta_2 L(v, w)$,
   \[ \beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{R}, u \in U, v, w \in V. \]

This functional is usually denoted by the symbol $\langle u, v \rangle$.

Definition (2), [14]:

Let $\langle u, v \rangle$ be a bilinear form then:

1. $\langle u, v \rangle$ is said onto be symmetric if $\langle u, v \rangle = \langle v, u \rangle$ for all $u, v \in U \times V$.
2. The bilinear form $\langle u, v \rangle$ is non-degenerate on $U$ and $V$ if
   i. $\langle u, \overline{v} \rangle = 0 \rightarrow \overline{v} = 0, \forall u \in U$
   ii. $\langle \overline{u}, v \rangle = 0 \rightarrow \overline{u} = 0, \forall v \in V$

Among the following most usual examples of non-degenerate bilinear forms:

\[ \langle u, v \rangle = \int_0^\tau u(t) v(t) \, dt \]

where $u, v : C[0, \tau] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \tau > 0$.

\[ \langle u, v \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^\infty u_n(t) v_n(t) \, dt \]

where $u, v : C[0, \tau] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \tau > 0$.
\[
\langle u,v \rangle = \int_0^\tau u(t)v(\tau-t)\,dt
\]
where \( u, v : C[0, \tau] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \), \( \tau > 0 \).

\[
\langle u,v \rangle = \int_0^\tau \int_0^{\tau-t} K(t,s)v(s)\,ds\,dt
\]
where \( u, v : C[0, \tau] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \), \( \tau > 0 \).

For problem modeled using PDE's, the must usual used non-degenerate bilinear form is given by:

\[
\langle u,v \rangle = \iint_0^{\tau-t} u(x,t)v(x,t)\,dtdx
\]
Where \( u, v: C([0, t]\times[0, t]) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \), \( t > 0 \).

**Definition (3), [14]:**
A given linear operator \( L: D(L) \rightarrow R(L) \) is called symmetric with respect to the chosen bilinear form \( \langle u,v \rangle \) if it satisfies:

\[
\langle Lu,v \rangle = \langle Lv,u \rangle
\]

Finally, we end this section with the mathematical theorem which is called in some literation's Magri’s approach that is used to evaluate the functional related to the initial-boundary value problem under consideration and have to be so lead.

**Theorem (1), [14]:**
There is a variational problem

\[
J(u) = \frac{1}{2} \langle Lu,u \rangle - \langle f,u \rangle
\]
for initial-boundary value problems \( Lu = f \), if and only if the operator \( L \) is symmetric relative to the chosen bilinear form which is non-degenerate.

It is remarkable that, the elementary concepts of calculus of variation are not presented here and are considered to be known to the readers (for more details see [6, 13, 10].

3. Mathematical Formulation of the Problem
The mathematical formulation and modeling the pond seepage problem must pass through the physical derivation of the problem, which will not presented here using by Darcy’s law for deriving the continuity equation and velocity potential function of the problem, [15].

The mathematical modeling of the problem is formulated as a free boundary value problem and have the governing equation with initial and boundary condition of the pond seepage problem, which will has the form (see Fig.(1))

\[
\Phi_{xx} + \Phi_{yy} = 0, \quad (x,y) \in \Omega_R \text{ or } \Omega_L \quad \text{(1)}
\]

with initial and boundary condition for the right dam side

\[
\Phi_R(x,0) = 0, \quad 0 \leq x \leq LR
\]
\[
\Phi_L(x,EL(x)) = H_L(0), \quad 0 \leq x \leq LR
\]
\[
\Phi_L(x,ER(x)) = H_R(0), \quad xR_0 \leq x \leq LR
\]
\[
\Phi_L(x,HR(x)) = H_R(x), \quad xR_1 \leq x \leq xR_2
\]

(2)

Also, the initial and boundary conditions for the left dam side one given by

\[
\Phi_L(x,0) = 0, \quad 0 \leq x \leq LL
\]
\[
\Phi_L(x,EL_1(x)) = H_L(0), \quad 0 \leq x \leq xL_0
\]
\[
\Phi_L(x,EL_2(x)) = H_L_1(x), \quad xL_2 \leq x \leq LL
\]
\[
\Phi_L(x,HL(x)) = H_L(x), \quad xL_0 \leq x \leq xL_1
\]
\[
\Phi_L(x,EL_2(x)) = EL_2(x), \quad xL_1 \leq x \leq xL_2
\]

(3)

Fig.(I) The free surface is assumed to seepage.

4. Variational Formulation of the Problem
To solve pond seepage problem, we have to solve equation with its related boundary and
initial conditions, as well as the evaluation of the free surface as a part of solution of the problem. We turn in this section to the variational formulation of the problem. The related functional derived using Magri’s approach is given by:

\[
J(\Phi) = \int_{\Omega} \left[ \Phi_x^2 + \Phi_y^2 \right] \, dx \, dy \tag{4}
\]

Where \( \Omega = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 \), and \( \Omega_1 \) is the right sided seepage region which is decomposed for computation purpose into the following sub regions

\[
RR_1 = \{(x, y): 0 \leq x \leq R_0, 0 \leq y \leq ER_1(x)\}
\]

\[
RR_2 = \{(x, y): x_R0 \leq x \leq R_1, 0 \leq y \leq HR(x)\}
\]

\[
RR_3 = \{(x, y): x_R1 \leq x \leq R_2, 0 \leq y \leq ER_2(x)\}
\]

\[
RR_4 = \{(x, y): x_R2 \leq x \leq LR, 0 \leq y \leq ER_2(x)\}
\]

while \( \Omega_2 \) is the left sided seepage region and also for computation purpose is decomposed into the following sub regions

\[
RL_1 = \{(x, y): 0 \leq x \leq L_0, 0 \leq y \leq ML_1(x)\}
\]

\[
RL_2 = \{(x, y): s_{L0} \leq x \leq s_{L1}, 0 \leq y \leq GL(x)\}
\]

\[
RL_3 = \{(x, y): s_{L1} \leq x \leq s_{L2}, 0 \leq y \leq ML_2(x)\}
\]

\[
RL_4 = \{(x, y): s_{L2} \leq x \leq s_{KL}, 0 \leq y \leq ML_2(x)\}
\]

Hence, the functional J may be rewritten for \( \Omega_1 \) into form:

\[
J(\Phi) = \int_{s_{L0}}^{s_{L2}} \int_{ML_2(x)}^{ML_2(x)} \left[ \Phi_x^2 + \Phi_y^2 \right] \, dy \, dx
\]

\[
+ \int_{x_{R1}}^{x_{R2}} \int_{LR}^{ER_2(x)} \left[ \Phi_x^2 + \Phi_y^2 \right] \, dy \, dx
\]

\[
+ \int_{x_{R0}}^{x_{R1}} \int_{HR(x)}^{ER_1(x)} \left[ \Phi_x^2 + \Phi_y^2 \right] \, dy \, dx
\]

and \( \Omega_2 \) respectively in the following form:

\[
J(\Phi) = \int_{s_{L0}}^{s_{L2}} \int_{ML_1(x)}^{ML_1(x)} \left[ \Phi_x^2 + \Phi_y^2 \right] \, dy \, dx
\]

\[
+ \int_{x_{R0}}^{x_{R1}} \int_{GL(x)}^{ML_1(x)} \left[ \Phi_x^2 + \Phi_y^2 \right] \, dy \, dx
\]

\[
+ \int_{x_{R1}}^{x_{R2}} \int_{LR}^{ER_2(x)} \left[ \Phi_x^2 + \Phi_y^2 \right] \, dy \, dx
\]

5. Numerical Simulation of the Problem

In order to solve the problem of this paper, numerical simulation is carried using computer program written in MATLAB 2016a for this purpose, suppose for \( \Omega_1 \) the following parameter are considered \( x_0=0.5 \), \( x_1=1 \), \( x_2=1.5 \), \( L=2 \), \( H_0=1.0 \), \( H_1=0.5 \). Also, the free surface is assumed to be

\[
H(x)=b_0+b_1(x-x_0)+b_2(x-x_0)^2+b_3(x-x_0)^3
\]

\[
.............. \tag{7}
\]

applying the boundary conditions, the formula becomes as follows:

\[
H(x)=H_0-\frac{1}{M_0}(x-x_0)+B(x-x_0)^2+b_3(x-x_0)^3
\]

\[
.............. \tag{8}
\]

So, \( b_0=H_0 \), \( b_1=-\frac{1}{M_0} \), \( b_2=B \).

OD: the height of the dam which passes through the origin (0, 0). If the slope of the rectum is \( M_0 \), the \( M_0 \) is given with the following relationship:

(See Fig. (2))

\[
M_0 = \frac{DN}{ON}
\]

and if \( ON=x_0 \), then

\[
M_0 = \frac{H_0}{x_0}, \quad x_0 \neq 0
\]

AB: the dam reservoir side, which passes through the point (L, 0), where L is the length of the base of the dam. If the slope of this rectangle \( M_1 \), \( M_1 \) may be given as follows relationship: (see Fig. (2))

\[
A M = L-x_2
\]

\[
O M = x_2
\]

\[
M_1 = \frac{MB}{AM}, \quad AM \neq 0
\]
therefore:

\[ M_1 = \frac{H_1}{L-x_2}, \quad L \neq x_2 \]

**Fig.(2): Cross sectional through two-dimensional dam.**

The approximation of the function \( \Phi \) using Ritz method over the four sub reigns \( R_1, R_2, R_3 \) and \( R_4 \), respectively are:

\[ \Phi_1 = y^2(y-E_1(x))(A+CX+DY)+H_6, \quad 0 \leq x \leq x_0 \]
\[ \Phi_2 = y^2(y-H(x))(A+CX+DY)+H(x), \quad x_0 \leq x \leq x_1 \]
\[ \Phi_3 = y^2(y-E_2(x))(A+CX+DY)+E_1(x), \quad x_1 \leq x \leq x_2 \]
\[ \Phi_4 = y^2(y-E_2(x))(A+CX+DY)+H_1, \quad x_2 \leq x \leq L \]

In order to find the coefficients \( A, B, C \) and \( D \) which minimized the functional (5), we evaluate the partial derivations of \( J \) with respect to those constants and evertting to zero will leads to a linear system, i.e., if

\[ \Phi_1 = y^2(y-E_1(x))(A+CX+DY), \quad 0 \leq x \leq x_0 \]
\[ \Phi_2 = y^2(y-H(x))(A+CX+DY)+H'(x), \quad x_0 \leq x \leq x_1 \]
\[ \Phi_3 = y^2(y-E_2(x))(A+CX+DY)+C, \quad x_1 \leq x \leq x_2 \]
\[ \Phi_4 = y^2(y-E_2(x))(A+CX+DY), \quad x_2 \leq x \leq L \]

and

\[ \Phi_1 = 3y^2y^2E_1(x)(A+CX+DY)+y^2y^2E_1(x)(A+CX+DY), \quad 0 \leq x \leq x_0 \]
\[ \Phi_2 = 3y^2y^2H(x)(A+CX+DY)+y^2y^2H(x)(A+CX+DY), \quad x_0 \leq x \leq x_1 \]
\[ \Phi_3 = 3y^2y^2E_2(x)(A+CX+DY)+y^2y^2E_2(x)(A+CX+DY), \quad x_1 \leq x \leq x_2 \]
\[ \Phi_4 = 3y^2y^2E_2(x)(A+CX+DY), \quad x_2 \leq x \leq L \]

Then

\[
\frac{\partial J}{\partial A} = \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \left[ 2\Phi_{i_1}(y^2(-E_1'(x)))+2\Phi_{i_2}(3y^2-2yE_1(x)) \right] \, dydx
\]
\[ + \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \left[ 2\Phi_{i_3}(y^2(-H'(x)))+2\Phi_{i_2}(3y^2-2yH(x)) \right] \, dydx
\]
\[ + \int_{x_2}^{x_3} \left[ 2\Phi_{i_4}(y^2(-E_2'(x)))+2\Phi_{i_2}(3y^2-2yE_2(x)) \right] \, dydx
\]
\[ + \int_{x_3}^{x_4} \left[ 2\Phi_{i_5}(y^2(-E_2'(x)))+2\Phi_{i_2}(3y^2-2yE_2(x)) \right] \, dydx
\]

and

\[
\frac{\partial J}{\partial B} = \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \left[ (x-x_0)^2 + \frac{2(x-x_0)^2}{3(x_1-x_0)} \right] \left( \Phi_{i_2}^2 + \Phi_{i_3}^2 \right) \bigg|_{y=H(x)} \, dx
\]
\[ + \int_{x_1}^{x_2} 2\Phi_{i_2}(y^2(-(x-x_0)) + \frac{2(x-x_0)^3}{3(x_1-x_0)})C
\]
\[ + y^2(-2(x-x_0) - \frac{2(x-x_0)^3}{x_1-x_0})(A+Cx+Dy)
\]
\[ + 2\Phi_{i_2}(y^2(-(x-x_0)) + \frac{2(x-x_0)^3}{3(x_1-x_0)})(A+Cx+Dy)
\]
\[ - y^2D(x-x_0) + \frac{2(x-x_0)^3}{3(x_1-x_0)}dydx
\]

and
\[
\frac{\partial I}{\partial C} = \int \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \left[ 2\Phi_{1x} \left( (y^2 - E_1(x)) + y^2 (-E_1(x))x \right) + 2\Phi_{1y} \left( (3y^2 - 2yE_1(x))x \right) \right] dydx \\
+ \int \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \left[ 2\Phi_{2x} \left( (y^2 - H(x)) + y^2 (-H'(x)x) \right) + 2\Phi_{2y} \left( (3y^2 - 2yH(x))x \right) \right] dydx \\
+ \int \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \left[ 2\Phi_{3x} \left( (y^2 - E_2(x)) + y^2 (-E_2'(x)x) \right) + 2\Phi_{3y} \left( (3y^2 - 2yE_2(x))x \right) \right] dydx \\
+ \int \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \left[ 2\Phi_{4x} \left( (y^2 - E_2(x)) + y^2 (-E_2'(x)x) \right) + 2\Phi_{4y} \left( (3y^2 - 2yE_2(x))x \right) \right] dydx \\
\]

and

\[
\frac{\partial I}{\partial D} = \int \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \left[ 2\Phi_{1x} \left( y^3 - E_1'(x) \right) + 2\Phi_{1y} \left( (3y^2 - 2y^2E_1(x))y \right) + (y^3 - y^2E_1(x)) \right] dydx \\
+ \int \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \left[ 2\Phi_{2x} \left( y^3 (-H'(x)) \right) + 2\Phi_{2y} \left( (3y^3 - 2y^2H(x))y \right) + y^3 - y^2H(x) \right] dydx \\
+ \int \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \left[ 2\Phi_{3x} \left( y^3 - E_2'(x) \right) + 2\Phi_{3y} \left( (3y^3 - 2y^2E_2(x))y \right) + y^3 - y^2E_2(x) \right] dydx \\
+ \int \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \left[ 2\Phi_{4x} \left( y^3 - E_2'(x) \right) + 2\Phi_{4y} \left( (3y^3 - y^2E_2(x))y \right) + y^3 - y^2E_2(x) \right] dydx \\
\]  

... (15)

and upper carry the computer program we get the following results:

\(H_0=1.00, \ H_1=0.5, \ x_0=0.5, \ x_1=1, \ x_2=1.5, \ L=2\)

\(H(x)=b_0+b_1(x-x_0)+b_2(x-x_0)^2+b_3(x-x_0)^3\)

............... (17)

Then:

\(A=-0.0706, \ B=-0.0037, \ C=-0.0677, \ D=-0.0403\)

and

\(b_0=1.0000, \ b_1=0.5000, \ b_2=-1.0000, \ b_3=-0.6667\)

Fig. (3): Approximate free surface of the two-dimensional simple.

Similarly, we carry out the simulation for the left dam region \(\Omega_2\) with the free surface is assumed to be.

Using MATLAB R2016a, the following results have emerged when:

\(H_0=1.00, \ H_1=0.5, \ s_0=-0.5, \ s_1=-1, \ s_2=-1.5, \ K=-2:\)

\(G(x)=r_0+r_1(x-s_0)+r_2(x-s_0)^2+r_3(x-s_0)^3\)

............... (18)
then
\[ A = 0.1475, \quad B = 0.0000, \quad C = -0.2040, \quad D = 0.0527 \]
and
\[ r_0 = 0.5000, \quad r_1 = 1.0000, \quad r_2 = 0.5000, \quad r_3 = 2.0000 \]

Fig. (4): Approximate free surface of the two-dimensional simple.

5. Conclusions and Recommendations for Future Work:

From the present work, we may conclude that the variational approach that may be used to formulate and solve many real life problems especially those problems which have so many initial and/or boundary condition and/or those problems which consists boundary condition of free or moving boundaries which must be determined as a part of the solution.

Also, we may recommend some problems for future work concerning to topic of this thesis, such as:

1. Studying the three-dimensional pond seepage problems.
2. Study the physical and mathematical formulation of the invers problem of pond seepage problem.
3. Study and solve the underground water or oil or gas reservoirs.
4. Use other numerical methods to solve the pond seepage problem, such the methods of lines and finite difference methods.
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