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Abstract

Background
Human respiratory syncytial virus (hRSV) is a major cause of viral lower respiratory tract infection among infants and young children less than 2 years old. Multiple methods are used for the laboratory diagnosis of hRSV infections, including chromatographic immunoassay, enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) technique for detection hRSV-antigens, hRSV-antibodies and hRSV-RNA, respectively.

Objective
To compare the efficiency of three diagnostic methods in detection of hRSV in infants and young children with chest infection.

Methods
This study included 100 hospitalized infants and young children (39 females and 61 males) aged from (1) month to (24) months, their mean age (6.87 ± 6.03) months, who required hospital admission at the Pediatric Department in Al-Imamein AL-Kadhimein Medical City Hospital, Central Teaching Pediatric Hospital, and Al-Kadhimiya Pediatric Hospital in Baghdad-Iraq. Samples were collected over a three-month winter period from January 2017 to April 2017. Fresh nasal swab specimens were collected and tested for hRSV antigens by using chromatographic immunoassay as a rapid test, in addition, nasopharyngeal/throat swabs specimens were processed for detection of hRSV-RNA by RT-PCR, both for direct detection. Also, ELISA was done to measure anti-hRSV IgM antibodies in serum for indirect detection of RSV infection.

Results
hRSV was found to be positive in (27%), (56%) and (44%) of specimens by rapid chromatographic immunoassay, ELISA and RT-PCR technique, respectively. Comparing with RT-PCR, the sensitivity of rapid test was (59.09%) ranged from (44.41) to (72.31) and the specificity was (98.21%) ranged from (90.55) to (99.91) with likelihood ratio equal to (33.09), while the sensitivity of ELISA test was (75.61%) ranged from (60.66) to (86.17) and specificity was (59.62%) ranged from (46.07) to (71.84) with likelihood ratio equals to (1.87).

Conclusion
The RT-PCR technique was more sensitive than antigen or antibody detection methods for the diagnosis of hRSV
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Introduction
Human respiratory syncytial virus (hRSV) is one of the major causes of viral respiratory tract diseases in infants and young children, with high rates of morbidity and mortality in infants and in the elderly (1). Severe hRSV bronchiolitis and pneumonia requiring hospitalization typically occur in infant less than 6 months of age (2). hRSV infections are usually symptomatic varying from a mild common cold to a life threatening...
that are characterized by worsening cough, tachypnea, dyspnea, hyperinflation, atelectasis, hypoxemia and increasing respiratory rate. It accounts for approximately 50% of all pneumonia and up to 90% of the reported cases of bronchiolitis in infancy (3). Worldwide variation in the prevalence of respiratory viruses was reported to be between 2% and 78.6% (4). There are an estimated over 30 million cases per year, globally. However, in children younger than 5 years of age, the hRSV can cause very severe disease with more than 3.4 million hospital admissions and 66,000 to 199,000 deaths, most of whom occur in developed world (5-7).

The virus is a globally ubiquitous respiratory pathogen of the genus *Pneumovirus*, within the family *Paramyxoviridae* and order *Mononegavirales*. hRSV has a single stranded, negative sense RNA genome (8). The virus can spread by close contact with aerosols of infectious respiratory secretions and from medical staff who are often instrumental in its transmission (9,10). In temperate climates the infection occurs as yearly winter epidemics. The first infection is usually the most severe but milder re-infections are common throughout life (11).

The laboratory diagnosis of hRSV infections is mostly done by rapid test (12,13) and ELISA (14). The diagnosis can also be done by RT-PCR technique (15). There are no approved vaccines against RSV infection; therefore, the management of severe infection of hRSV consists of supportive measures, such as oxygenation and maintenance of adequate hydration (16). Palivizumab, a monoclonal antibody approved against hRSV for prophylaxis to prevent and safe to treat hRSV-related hospitalizations in high-risk children (1). This study aimed to determine the frequency of hRSV in three hospitals in Baghdad city and to evaluate rapid test and ELISA in relation to RT-PCR in diagnosis of hRSV.

**Methods**

**Subjects**

In this descriptive cross-sectional study, 100 infants and young children were enrolled (39 females and 61 males) aged from (1) month to (24) months, their mean age was (6.87 ± 6.03) months, who required hospital admission at the Pediatric Department in Al-Imamein AL-Kadhimein Medical City Hospital, Central Teaching Pediatric Hospital, and Al-Kadhimiya Pediatric Hospital in Baghdad-Iraq. Samples collection was carried out from January to April, 2017. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) at Al-Nahrain College of Medicine and an informed consent was obtained from either parents of the child before they had been included in this study.

**Specimens collection**

Fresh nasal swabs were collected, stored in the refrigerator (2-8 °C) and processed as soon as possible within 8 hours after collection for rapid detection of hRSV-Ag. Nasopharyngeal/throat swabs were collected and combined in universal transport medium (UTM) tube (Cat. No. 80346C, Copan, Italy). Each sample was kept in cryotube (Nunc-Kamstrup, Denmark) and stored at (-80 °C) until testing by RT-PCR for detection of hRSV-RNA. Two ml of blood were collected in serum separator tube (SST) and allowed to clot for 20 min. at room temperature before centrifugation at 1000xg for 15 min. Then serum samples were kept in cryotube (Nunc-Kamstrup, Denmark) and stored at (-80 °C) until screening by ELISA (Cat. No. CSB-E13790h, Cusabio, China) for anti-RSV IgM antibodies.

**Detection of hRSV-Ag by Rapid Chromatographic Immunoassay**

All (100) samples were tested for hRSV antigen in fresh nasal swab samples by one step card test, CerTest RSV Kit (CerTest Biotec, Spain). The procedure was done following the manufacturer's instructions. Nasal swab samples were prepared by placed into the testing tube, which supplied with the kit and shaking well. Four drops were dispensed into the samples (S) circulated window. After incubation at room temperature for 10 min, the results were read by monitoring of colored
development: Negative test; only one green line appears in the control line region. Positive test: In addition to the green control line, a red color line also appears in the test region.

Detection of anti-hRSV IgM antibodies by ELISA
Ninety-three samples were retested for anti-hRSV IgM by ELISA Kit (Cusabio, China). The micro titer plate was coated with antigen, indirect ELISA was used to capture anti-hRSV IgM from serum samples. The procedure was done following manufacturer’s instructions. For sample preparation, serum samples were diluted by adding 10 μl of the serum sample to 100 μl of sample diluent which supplied with the kit. Blank, positive and negative control was included when the Kit was run. The optical densities (O.D.) of each well were measured at wave length (450 nm and reference filter 630 nm). The O.D. of the negative control less than 0.05 was calculated as 0.05 according to the manufacture. Then the O.D. of the sample was divided by O.D. of the negative control. Anti-RSV IgM antibody (≥2.1) considered as positive, while Anti-RSV IgM antibody (<2.1) considered as negative.

Detection of hRSV-RNA by RT-PCR
All 100 nasopharyngeal/throat swab samples were tested for the presence of hRSV-RNA by hRSV 298/550 IC Kit (Sacace Biotechnologies, Italy). To avoid possible contamination with exogenous sequences during extraction or amplification, all nucleic acid extraction, amplification, and detection steps were performed in separate laboratories. Negative and positive controls were extracted, reverse transcribed, and amplified in each batch of samples tested by PCR.

Nucleic acid extraction
Nasopharyngeal/throat processed samples were removed from deep freeze (-80 °C) and thawed. After that, they were centrifuged at 10000g/min for 5 min; the supernatant was discarded except 100 μl of the solution was left to be used in re-suspension of the pellet for RNA extraction. The Ribo-Sorb nucleic acid extraction kit (Sacace Biotechnologies, Italy) was used for isolation and purification of RNA/DNA from samples. The procedure was done following manufacturer's instructions. Extracted RNA with purity in between (1.7-1.9) at absorption wavelength 260/280 was included in this study, otherwise; RNA extraction of the sample was repeated. The RNA extracts were reverse transcribed to cDNA according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Each obtained cDNA sample was diluted (1:2) with Tris-EDTA buffer solution and stored at (-20 °C) for a week until cDNA amplification by PCR, otherwise they were stored at (-80 °C) for longer periods storage.

cDNA amplification
DNA amplification reactions were carried out on target region L-gene by PCR according to manufacturer's instructions in three steps: first initial denaturation at 95 °C for 5 min (1 cycle) and then the DNA amplification by sequentially heated for denaturation of DNA template at 95°C for 45 sec., annealing at 56 °C for 45 sec. and extension at 72 °C for 45 sec. (42 cycles) and then final extension at 72 °C for 5 min (1 cycle).

Interpretation of the results: Ten μl of PCR products were subjected to electrophoresis in agarose (2%) in the presence of ethidium bromide and visualized under UV transilluminator. The band size was assessed by direct comparison with a 100-bp DNA marker. Analysis of PCR results is based on the presence or absence of specific bands of amplified DNA in agarose gel. The sample is considered to be positive for hRSV-RNA if the band of 298 bp is observed on agarose gel. The presence of a 550 bp fragment indicated positive result for internal control (IC) specific amplified DNA fragments

Statistical analysis
Data were analyzed using SPSS program (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences), versions 21 program for windows software package release 2013. Descriptive statistics were presented as frequencies, means and standard deviation (SD). Validity and predictability of different screening tests were
assessed in relation to gold standard test by calculating sensitivity, specificity, predictive value of positive and negative test results.

**Results**

A total of 100 infants and young children with chest infection were included in this study. The male to female ratio was 1.5:1, their age ranging between 0-24 months, most of the admitted patients were below 6 months. The clinical characteristics of enrolled patients were obtained from their hospital records; 40 (40%) had pneumonia, 37 (37%) had bronchiolitis and 23 (23%) had other chest infection such as; cough, fever, shortness of breath, pertussis-like cough, wheezing and cyanosis, no case was recorded with croup or bronchitis, as shown in table (1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>No. of patients (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males (M)</td>
<td>61 (61%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females (F)</td>
<td>39 (39%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M:F ratio</td>
<td>1.5 : 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age groups (months)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[0-6]</td>
<td>62 (62%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[7-12]</td>
<td>27 (27%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[13-24]</td>
<td>11 (11%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Respiratory manifestations</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croup</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchitis</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchiolitis</td>
<td>37 (37%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td>40 (40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other chest infection</td>
<td>23 (23%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This study showed that hRSV was positive in 27%, 55.91% and 44% by Rapid test, ELISA and RT-PCR technique, respectively as shown in table (2) and figure (1). Out of 100 samples, 27 (27%) were hRSV-Ag positive by rapid test and 26 of these were positive for hRSV-RNA by RT-PCR, therefore, they were considered to be true positives, but one sample was negative for RT-PCR, therefore, it was considered to be false positive. Eighteen samples were PCR positive while negative for Rapid test for the purpose of defining the test characteristics were considered false negative. Given these definitions, the overall sensitivity of rapid test was 59.09% and specificity was 98.21%. The positive predictive value was 96.3% with confidence interval (CI) ranged between (81.72-99.81), and the negative predictive value was 75.34%, CI = 64.36-83.8). Also, anti-hRSV IgM Ab was identified by ELISA in only 93 samples; 52 (55.9%) out of 93 were ELISA positive sera, 31 of these sera were hRSV-RNA positive, therefore, they were considered to be true positives, while 21 of these sera was negative by RT-PCR, therefore, they were considered to be false positive. Ten of these samples were positive for hRSV-RNA, while negative in ELISA test, therefore, were considered to be false negative. Accordingly,
the overall sensitivity of ELISA test was 75.61% and specificity was 59.62%. The positive predictive value was 59.62%, CI = (46.07-71.84), and the negative predictive value was 75.61%, CI = (60.66-86.17), as show in table (3).

Table 2. Results of hRSV detection in infants and young children with chest infection by three diagnostic methods: rapid test, ELISA and RT-PCR technique

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods of Diagnosis</th>
<th>No. of Positive (%)</th>
<th>No. of Negative (%)</th>
<th>Total (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rapid test</td>
<td>27 (27.0%)</td>
<td>73 (73.0%)</td>
<td>100 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>52 (55.91%)</td>
<td>41 (44.09%)</td>
<td>93 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
<td>44 (44.0%)</td>
<td>56 (56.0%)</td>
<td>100 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Gel-electrophoresis of PCR products of hRSV from Nasopharyngeal/throat swab sample using 2% agarose in TBE buffer. Lane 1, DNA marker (100-3000 bp ladder); Lane 2, hRSV cDNA (C+) serves as positive control for amplification; Lane 3, DNA- buffer (C-) serves as negative control for amplification; Lane 4, 6, 7,9,10 PCR products from patient positive for hRSV-RNA; Lane 5, 8 PCR products from patient negative for hRSV-RNA
Table 3. Evaluation of Rapid test and ELISA sensitivity & specificity confirmed by RT-PCR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods of Diagnosis</th>
<th>RT-PCR (No. = 100)</th>
<th>ELISA (No. = 93)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive (%)</td>
<td>Negative (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapid test (No. = 100)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>26 (59.1%)</td>
<td>1 (1.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>18 (40.9%)</td>
<td>55 (98.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>44 (100%)</td>
<td>56 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistics
- X² = 41.0531, P < 0.001
- Sensitivity
  - 59.09% (95% CI, 44.41 to 72.31)
  - 98.21% (95% CI, 90.55 to 99.91)
- Specificity
  - 96.3% (95% CI, 81.72 to 99.81)
- Positive Predictive Value
  - 75.34% (95% CI, 64.36 to 83.8)
- Negative Predictive Value
  - 33.09
- Likelihood Ratio

ELISA (No. = 93)

|                      | Positive (%)        | Negative (%)     | Total (%) |
|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| Positive             | 31 (75.61%)         | 21 (40.38%)      | 52 (55.91%)|
| Negative             | 10 (24.39%)         | 31 (59.62%)      | 41 (44.09%)|
| Total                | 41 (100%)           | 52 (100%)        | 93 (100%) |

Statistics
- X² = 11.54, P < 0.001
- Sensitivity
  - 75.61% (95% CI, 60.66 to 86.17)
- Specificity
  - 59.62% (95% CI, 46.07 to 71.84)
- Positive Predictive Value
  - 59.62% (95% CI, 46.07 to 71.84)
- Negative Predictive Value
  - 75.61% (95% CI, 60.66 to 86.17)
- Likelihood Ratio
  - 1.872

Discussion
hRSV is considered as one of the most important respiratory viruses in infants and young children throughout the world. In this study, the frequency of hRSV infection in infants and young children ≤ 2 years of age with chest infection was (27%), (55.91%) and (44%) by three diagnostic tests; rapid test, ELISA and RT-PCR, respectively. These findings indicate that hRSV is an important cause of respiratory tract infection in infants and young children less than two years old.

Comparison of Rapid test and RT-PCR for diagnosis hRSV
Detection of hRSV-RNA by PCR is currently the most sensitive and specific method for detecting infection in infants and young children ≤ 2 years old (2, 17). In the present study, RT-PCR was used to screen for the presence of hRSV-RNA in all 100 nasopharyngeal/throat swabs, while Rapid test was used to screen for the presence of hRSV-Ag in nasal swabs. Eighteen samples gave positive result on RT-PCR that were negative on Rapid test were considered to be false negative, table (3), The possible explanation for these results, is the small amount of viral antigen usually present in nasal swabs collected from patients infected with hRSV comparing with nasopharyngeal/throat swabs; also this result may be due to that the current antigen detection assays may lack sufficient sensitivity to detect hRSV antigen, another explanation is related to the fact that sample was collected after hRSV shedding (18). In addition, it has been demonstrated that a nasal wash or a nasopharyngeal aspirate is more sensitive for the detection of hRSV than nasal swab specimen (19). Therefore, RT-PCR may be required to detect and diagnose hRSV in infants and young children (17, 18).
while the specificity of Rapid test was (98.21%) ranged from (90.55% to 99.91%), with positive likelihood ratio 33.09. There are a number of factors that affect the sensitivity and specificity of an assay for viral detection, these include; Low viral copy number in the clinical sample, quality of the specimen and reagents, laboratory technician experience, transport conditions of the sample, suitability of the assay to specific populations (e.g., rapid antigen test in elderly versus young people), inter- and intra-laboratory standardization, and prevalence of the virus in the community [17,22].

Comparison of ELISA and RT-PCR for diagnosis hRSV
In this study, 93 sera were screened for anti-hRSV-IgM antibodies by ELISA, 52 (55.91%) of these 93 were sera positive and 21 out of these 52 were negative on RT-PCR and considered to be false positive, which might be explained by sera samples were collected after viral RNA had cleared or the time before evaluation was longer for the hospitalized patients [23]. Another explanation on PCR negative result is that almost all children are negative by RT-PCR after 14-21 days, similar results reported by [24], who found that anti-hRSV IgM antibody was detected in higher prevalence than hRSV-RNA (81.9% vs. 1%). In addition, IgM antibody positive result might represent cross-reactive antibodies causing false positive anti-hRSV results. This may be due to serologic cross-reactions between the hRSV and other respiratory viruses [25]. Serology is not 100% sensitive and not always able to accurately determine the stage of infection since false positive and false negative results are regularly observed [22]. In the present study, 10 patients out of 41 (24.39%) was RSV-RNA positive, but did not show a rise in anti-hRSV IgM antibody level we considered to be false negative. This study showed that the sensitivity of ELISA was (75.61%) ranged from (60.66% to 86.17%), while the specificity was (59.62%) ranged from (46.07% to 71.84%), with positive likelihood ratio 1.872, therefore, ELISA technique was mostly performed to obtain seroepidemiologic information and for research purposes [26].

The present study confirms that rapid test and ELISA technique were less sensitive comparing with RT-PCR for detection of hRSV in infants and young children ≤ 2 years of age with chest infection, and indicate that the golden standard RT-PCR is more sensitive, and specific method for detection of hRSV in comparison to serological tests.
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