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ABSTRACT

In this study, the stress analysis of the steel-aluminum compound thick cylinders under the effects of internal pressure, thermal loading and rotational loading has been carried out using the finite element method. The structure is treated as axisymmetric body, because each of the geometry and applied loads are symmetric about the longitudinal axis.

The stresses variations (Hoop, Axial, Radial, Equivalent) through the walls thickness are determined here and the results were checked using two theories of elastic failures (Tresca and Von-Misses).

The results showed that, the Hoop stresses at the inner surface is about (600 MPa) due to effect of Internal pressure, (-500 MPa) due to thermal load, (57 MPa) due to effect of rotational speed while about (150 MPa) due to the effect of the total loading.

It can be seen that the max. hoop stress concentrated at the contact surface between the two cylinders. Also the temperature distribution through the cylinder thickness has been determined.
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Tحليل الإجهاد الأسطوانات المسماكة المتعددة الطبقات
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الخلاصة

في هذه الدراسة، تم دراسة تحليل الإجهاد للاسطوانات المسماكة المركبة من مادتي الفولاذ والألمنيوم تحت تأثير الضغط الداخلي والحمل الحراري والسرعة الدورانية باستخدام طريقة العناصر المحددة. تم معالجة الموضوع على أنه جسم متآثر من حيث الأحمال والشكل الهندسي حول المحور الطولي.

تم حساب التغير في توزيع الإجهادات (Equivalent, Radial and Hoop) خلال سمك الجدار ودقت النتائج (Tresca, Von-Misses) باستخدام نظرية الفشل المرن وهمية.

لاحظ أن الإجهادات المماسة (600 MPa) في السطح الداخلي كانت (Hoop Stresses). نتيجة الضغط الداخلي ونتيجة تأثير الحمل الحراري ونتيجة تأثير الحمل الكلي، ونلاحظ أن الإجهادات المماسة العظمى نتيجة جميع الأحمال تتمركز عند سطوح التماس بين الأسطوانات، وكذلك تم دراسة توزيع درجات الحرارة خلال سمك الأسطوانات.
INTRODUCTION

The compound mould of the centrifugal casting technique, represent the most common of the applications of the compound thick cylinder which subject to internal pressure, rotational speed and thermal loading. Therefore, an accurate and reliable technique is essential in order to obtain the stresses.

The thermal load and rotational speed are one of the most important parameters that effects on the behavior of the stress through the thickness of the compound thick cylinder and this behavior depends on the mechanical and thermal properties which, in fact, vary with the temperature variation [1].

The effect of temperature on the deformation field is not one-way phenomena. When the mechanical and thermal aspects are coupled, and inseparable.

In general, thermal stress generation can be attributed to two main causes [2, 3].
- When the temperature distribution in the body is uniform two particular causes that produces stresses: Existence of external constraints, as occurs, if when the ends of a beam are fastened within a wall. And non homogeneity of the body such as discontinuity of surfaces.
- When the temperature distribution in the body is not uniform which gives a non-uniform deformation, and a system of stresses within the body is developed when the body undergoes a thermal transient state.

M. Jabbari et al. 2002 presented an analytical solution for the calculation of the axisymmetric thermal and mechanical stresses in thick hollow cylinder made of FGM by using navier equation [4].

Nejad et al. 2010 shows the stress variation along the radial direction of rotating FGM cylinder subjected to internal pressure [5].

Jahromi et al. 2010 investigated the residual stresses induced by autofrettage process in layered and functionally graded composite vessels. This study showed that the induced residual stress at the inner surface of composite vessels much higher values compared to a metal vessel counter part depending on the properties of composite constituents [6].

Noda 1987 studied the transient thermal stresses in a transversely isotropic, semi-infinite solid circular cylinder subjected to a convection heat loss on the end surface. The theoretical analysis considered the effect of the thermal and elastic isotropic of the material properties on thermal stresses in a transversely isotropic semi-infinite circular cylinder due to cylindrical surface heat generation [7].

THEORY

It is very important to study the temperature distribution and stress analysis of the compound thick cylinder subjected to different loading simultaneously such as internal pressure, rotational speed and thermal loading to know the behavior of stress between the single cylinder and compound cylinder.

Thick Cylinder Subjected to Internal Pressure Only [8]

From the follow equilibrium equation for thick cylinder

\[
\frac{d\sigma_r}{dr} = \sigma_\theta - \sigma_r \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ (1)
\]

The Lame’s equation can be derived

\[
\sigma_r = A - \frac{B}{r^2}, \quad \sigma_\theta = A + \frac{B}{r^2}
\]

at \quad r = r_i, \quad p = p_i
Thick Cylinder Subjected to Internal Pressure and Rotational Speed [8 & 9]

\[
\sigma_r = \frac{r_i^2 p_i}{r_o^2 - r_i^2} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{r_o}{r_i} \right)^2 \right] \quad (2)
\]

\[
\sigma_\theta = \frac{r_i^2 p_i}{r_o^2 - r_i^2} \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{r_o}{r_i} \right)^2 \right] \quad (3)
\]

\[
\sigma_z = \frac{\sigma_r + \sigma_\theta}{2} \quad (4)
\]

When the model structural components are rotationally symmetric about an axis such as the pressure vessels and solid rings, and if these structures are also subjected to axisymmetric loads, a two-dimensional analysis of a unit radian of the structure yields the complete stress and strain distribution as illustrated in Fig. (1) [10].

At first the shape functions were developed on a master element which is defined in \( \xi, \eta \) coordinates for normal coordinates [11].

The Lagrange shape function \( N_i \), where \( i=1, 2, \ldots, 8 \) are defined such that \( N_i \) is equal to unity at node \( i \) and is zero at other nodes. In particular, consider the definition of \( N_i \):

\[ N_i = 1 \text{ at node } i \]

\[ = 0 \text{ at node } 2, 3, \ldots, 8 \]

This element belongs to the serendipity family of elements. The element consists of eight nodes Fig. (2,a) all of which are located on the boundary. Indefining \( N_i \) which refer to the master element shown in Fig. (2,b).

The general equation for shape functions at all corner nodes is

\[ \sigma_r = \frac{\alpha E}{1 - \nu} \frac{1}{r^2} \left[ \frac{r^2 - r_i^2}{r_o^2 - r_i^2} \int_T r \cdot dr - \int_t T \cdot dr \right] \quad (7) \]

\[ \sigma_\theta = \frac{\alpha E}{1 - \nu} \frac{1}{r^2} \left[ \frac{r^2 - r_i^2}{r_o^2 - r_i^2} \int_T T \cdot dr + \int_t T \cdot dr - T \cdot r^2 \right] \quad (8) \]

\[ \sigma_z = \nu (\sigma_r + \sigma_\theta) - \alpha E T \quad (9) \]
\[ N_i = \frac{1}{4} (1 + \xi \xi_i)(1 + \eta \eta_i)(\xi \xi_i + \eta \eta_i - 1) \]

For midside nodes with \( \xi_i = 0 \)

\[ N_i = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \xi^2)(1 + \eta \eta_i) \]

For midside nodes with \( \eta_i = 0 \)

\[ N_i = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \xi \xi_i)(1 + \eta^2) \]

The displacement approach to the solution of finite-element problems, a method more widely used than the stress is illustrated by an axially loaded spring:

\[ [K]^e \{\delta\}^e = \{F\}^e \]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

Where:

- \([K]^e\) is the element stiffness matrix
- \(\{\delta\}^e\) is the element displacement vector
- \(\{F\}^e\) is the element applied load vector

\[ [K]^e = \int [B]^T [D] [B] \, dv \]  \hspace{1cm} (11)

\[ dv = r \, d\theta \, dr \, dz \]

\[ = r \, d\theta \, dA \]

\[ = 2\pi \, r \, dr \, dz \]

\[ dr \, dz = \det \{J\} \, d\xi \, d\eta \]

\[ [K]_{16x16}^e = 2\pi \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} [B]_{6x4}^T [D]_{4x4} [B]_{4x16} \, d\xi \, d\eta \]  \hspace{1cm} (12)

\([B]_{4x16}\) Strain-displacement relationship matrix is given by:

\[
[B]_{4x16} = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\partial N_1}{\partial r} & 0 & \frac{\partial N_2}{\partial r} & 0 & \ldots & \frac{\partial N_8}{\partial r} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{\partial N_1}{\partial r} & 0 & \frac{\partial N_2}{\partial r} & \ldots & 0 & \frac{\partial N_8}{\partial r} \\
\frac{\partial N_1}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial N_1}{\partial r} & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \left( \frac{\partial N_8}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial N_8}{\partial r} \right) \\
\frac{N_1}{r} & 0 & \frac{N_2}{r} & 0 & \ldots & \frac{N_8}{r} & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]
[D] is the elasticity matrix which is in axisymmetric is given by:

\[
[D]_{4\times4} = \frac{E}{(1 + \nu)(1 - 2\nu)} \begin{bmatrix}
1 & \nu & 0 & \nu \\
\nu & 1 & 0 & \nu \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1 - 2\nu}{2} & 0 \\
\nu & \nu & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

And the load vector is given by:

\[
\{\delta\}^e = \begin{bmatrix}
u_1 \\
v_1 \\
u_2 \\
v_2 \\
u_3 \\
v_3 \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
v_8
\end{bmatrix}
\]

And the load vector is given by:

\[
\{F\}^e = \{P_d\}^e + \{F\}_{\Omega}^e + \{F\}_{th}^e
\]

where:

- \{P_d\}^e - is the load vector due to distribution pressure [12]
- \{F\}_{\Omega}^e - Centrifugal load under (inertia) force per unit volume.
- \{F\}_{th}^e - Thermal load vector.

The load vector due to distribution pressures is given by:

\[
\{P_d\}^e = \int_{-1}^{1} P[N]^T \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi} \\
\frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi} \\
-\frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi}
\end{bmatrix} d\xi
\]

where

\[
[N] = \begin{bmatrix}
N_1 & 0 & N_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & N_1 & 0 & N_2 & \cdots & N_8
\end{bmatrix}
\]
But the centrifugal load vector (Inertial) force per unit volume depends on assumption that the center of rotation coincides with origin of the x, y axes. Therefore the radially outward body force \( P_r \) on an element area \( dA \) is given by:

\[
P_r = r\omega^2 \cdot \rho
\] (15)

where:
- \( \omega \) is the angular velocity in rad/s
- \( \rho \) is the mass per unit volume of the material
- \( r \) is the radial distance from the origin to the centroid of the element area

\( P_r \) can be resolved into components parallel to x and y axes such that

\[
\begin{bmatrix} P_x \\ P_y \end{bmatrix} = \omega^2 \cdot \rho \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}
\]

where the x and y correspond to the coordinates of the centroid of the element area

\[
\{F\}_{\Omega} = \iint \omega^2 \cdot \rho [N]^T \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \cdot dx \cdot dy
\]

\[
= \iiint \omega^2 \cdot \rho [N]^T \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \cdot \det [J] d\xi \cdot d\eta
\]

While the thermal load vector is given by [13]

\[
\{F\}_{\text{th}}^e = \int [B]^T \cdot \{\sigma^\circ\} \cdot dv
\]

\[
\{\sigma^\circ\} = [D] \cdot \{\varepsilon^\circ\}
\]

where
- \( \{\sigma^\circ\} \) - Thermal stress vector
- \( \{\varepsilon^\circ\} \) - Thermal strain vector

\[
\{\sigma^\circ\} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{xx}^\circ, \sigma_{yy}^\circ, \sigma_{xy}^\circ, \sigma_{zz}^\circ \end{bmatrix}^T
\]

\[
\{\varepsilon^\circ\} = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{xx}^\circ, \varepsilon_{yy}^\circ, \varepsilon_{xy}^\circ, \varepsilon_{zz}^\circ \end{bmatrix}^T
\]

\[
\{\varepsilon^\circ\} = [\alpha \cdot \Delta T, \alpha \cdot \Delta T, 0, \alpha \cdot \Delta T]^T
\]

T-Uniform increase in temperature.

\[
\{F\}_{\text{th}}^e = 2.\pi \int_{-1}^{1+1} [B]^T [D] \{\varepsilon^\circ\}_r \cdot r \cdot \det [J] \cdot d\xi \cdot d\eta
\] (16)

56
MODELING

For the finite element method analysis of the compound thick cylinder problem, the ANSYS 11 package program is adopted. This program has very efficient capabilities to perform finite element analysis of most engineering problems. Where the material properties, dimension and loads, differ from the inside and outside cylinder.

Due to symmetry only this problem treated as axisymmetric problem.

ELEMENT SELECTED

A single type of element is used throughout this study namely the parabolic isoperimetric element, a typical two-dimensional version of which is illustrated in Fig. (2). Parabolic isotropic elements are extremely versatile, good performers and are well tried and tested. Practical experience suggests that, for a given number of total degrees of freedom in a structure, greater accuracy is achieved by used of fewer complex elements in placed of a larger number of simple elements.

MESH GENRATION

The compound thick cylinder as previously stated is plane-strain problem or axisymmetric problem, and the first step of finite element analysis is to discretize the structure into finite elements connected at nodes. For a structure as a compound tubes, it is necessary to discretize it into a sufficient number of elements in order to obtain a reasonable accuracy. The mesh generation of the compound thick cylinder as shown in Fig.(3). Where the thickness divided into twenty of 8-node quadrilatic (parabola) isoparametric element with total of 85 nodes.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The problem is solved using the finite element method as axisymmetric problem with longitudinal axis for compound thick cylinder.

The finite element solution was based on the following case study.

Case Study

Two type of material used here in the analysis (steel, aluminum). The compound thick cylinder shown in Fig.(4) used for the modeling analysis consists of two circular cylinder with varying properties and have the following specification.

Geometry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steel Cylinder</th>
<th>Aluminum Cylinder</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inner radius = 50 mm</td>
<td>Inner radius = 80 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer radius = 80 mm</td>
<td>Outer radius = 100 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The two cylinder are fitted without any force (The Steel cylinder fits slightly into the Aluminum one at room temperature)

Properties [14]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steel Cylinder</th>
<th>Aluminum Cylinder</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E = 207 Gpa</td>
<td>E = 73 Gpa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v= 0.3</td>
<td>v= 0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α = 11.7*10^-6 1/°C</td>
<td>α = 23*10^-6 1/°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K = 35 w/m.°C</td>
<td>K = 121 w/m.°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ρ = 7850 kg/m^3</td>
<td>ρ = 3000 kg/m^3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Loading
\[ P_1 = 300 \text{ Mpa}, \]
\[ T_1 = 250 ^\circ \text{C}, \]
\[ T_0 = 25 ^\circ \text{C}, \]
\[ \omega = 1000 \text{ rad/sec}. \]

Fig. (5) shows the relationship between temperature and the cylinders thickness. It is clear from this figure that the temperature is decrease with increase the radius in nonlinear relationship and in different manner for steel and aluminum that depend on thermal conductivity.

Fig. (6, 7) show the relationship between stresses variation (Radial, Hoop, Longitudinal and Equivalent due to Von-Misses and Tresca) and the cylinder thickness due to the thermal load.

It is clear from Fig. (6) that Hoop stress and Longitudinal stress increase with increase cylinder thickness and the rate of increase differ from Steel to Aluminum material depend on thermal conductivity. It can be seen from this figure that the behavior of radial stress in Steel thickness differs in Aluminum thickness.

While from Fig. (7) for Equivalent stress, behavior according to Tresca is higher than Von-Misses and have nonlinear relationship with cylinder thickness.

Figs. (8, 9) show the relationship between stresses variation and the cylinder thickness due to effect of the rotational speed.

It can be seen from Fig. (8) that the Hoop stress and Longitudinal stress decrease with increase the cylinder thickness in different manner for the two metals used. While the Radial stress increase and then decrease to zero value.

While from Fig. (9) the Equivalent stress decrease with the increase the cylinder thickness and the value of Tresca is higher than Von-Misses.

Figs. (10, 11) show the relationship between stresses variation and the cylinder thickness due to internal pressure only. It is clear from this figure that the Hoop stress decrease with increase the cylinder thickness while the longitudinal stress approximately constant and the Radial stress decrease to zero value at outer diameter. While from Fig. (11) the Equivalent stress decrease with increase the cylinder thickness and the Equivalent stress due to Von-Misses lower than Tresca.

Fig. (12) illustrate the stress variation through the cylinder thickness due to total load. It can be seen from this figure the stresses increase with increase the cylinder thickness in nonlinear relationship for Steel and Aluminum material that depend on the material properties.

Fig. (13) show the relationship between equivalent stress variation and the cylinder thickness due to total load. It can be seen that the Tresca behavior is higher than Von-Misses and have nonlinear relationship.

CONCLUSIONS
1. Temperature decreases with the increase of the radius of thick cylinder, and the behavior of temperature in steel wall differ in that in aluminum wall.
2. Stress gradient in steel wall are higher than in aluminum wall.
3. Hoop and Longitudinal stress increase with radius of thick cylinder due to effect of thermal loading while decreases with the radius due to effect of internal pressure and rotational speed.
4. Behavior of Radial stresses through the wall thickness due to effect of thermal load is verses that due to effect of rotational speed.
5. Equivalent stresses (Von-Misses and Tresca ) due to thermal load have behavior differ than the Equivalent stresses due to rotational speed and internal pressure.
6. Hoop stress at inner surface due to effect of type of loading as follow:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Loading</th>
<th>Hoop Stress</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thermal Load</td>
<td>-500 Mpa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotational Speed</td>
<td>57 Mpa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Pressure</td>
<td>600 Mpa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Load</td>
<td>157 Mpa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. Max. Hoop stresses concentrates at the intermediate radius of the compound thick cylinder.
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Fig.(1) Thick Cylinder with Symmetric Structure and Loading
\[ \eta = +1 \]
\[ \zeta = +1 \]
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\[ \zeta = -1 \]

(a)

(b)

Fig.(2): 8-Node Quadrilateral Isoperimetric Element
(a) in x,y space and (b) in \( \zeta, \eta \) space
Fig. (3) Mesh Generation of Compound Thick Cylinder

Fig. (4) Compound Thick Cylinder Cross-Section
Fig.(5): Temperature Distribution Through the Cylinder Thickness
Fig.(6): Stress Variation Thought the Cylinder Thickness Due to Thermal Load
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Fig.(7): Equivalent Stress Variation Through the Cylinder Thickness Due to Thermal Load
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**Fig. (8):** Stress Variation Thought the Cylinder Thickness Due to Rotational Load

**Fig. (9):** Equivalent Stress Variation Through the Cylinder Thickness Due to Rotational Load
**Fig. (10):** Stress Variation Thought the Cylinder Thickness Due to Internal Pressure

**Fig. (11):** Equivalent Stress Variation Through the Cylinder Thickness Due to Internal Pressure
**Fig. (12):** Stress Variation Thought the Cylinder Thickness Due to Total Load

**Fig. (13):** Equivalent Stress Variation Through the Cylinder Thickness Due to Total Load