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**Abstract**

*Trichomonas tenax*, a commensal flagellated protozoan, inhabits in human oral cavity. This parasite is cosmopolitan and frequently found in patients with poor oral hygiene and advanced periodontal disease. By using wet mount smear and giemsa staining to detect the prevalence of oral protozoa in patients with oral diseases and a healthy control group. From October 2009 to April 2010, the subgingival dental plaques of 310 patients with gingivitis or periodontitis and 310 controls who attended to clinics periodontics, dentistry college- Babylon university. 64 (20.6%) of patients were positive (40.2% periodontitis, 14.2% gingivitis) by using wet preparation and Giemsa staining. The prevalence of oral *Trichomonas tenax* in our study (20.6%) and *Entamoeba gingivalis* was (42.9%) was compatible with many other published reports which mostly has ranged from 12%-32%. The study revealed dependence between the frequency of occurrence of protozoa and the state of periodontitis. The age group (41-50) yr. Have high incidence of *T. tenax* compared with an other groups, as well as the males have high incidence (24.7%) than females (16.8%).

**Introduction**

*T. tenax* is an anaerobic commensal of the human oral cavity. There are studies that relate to Its prevalence in patients with Marginal Chronic Periodontitis (Feki & Molet, 1990; Hayawan & Bayoumy, 1992). Transmission is through saliva, droplet spray, and kissing or use of contaminated dishes and drinking water (Memlik, 1968; Hersh, 1985). World widely, its prevalence in the mouth ranges from 4 to 53% (Wantland & Lauer, 1970; Varblic et al., 1991; Sarowska et al., 2004). Since the organism is believed to enter the respiratory tract by aspiration from the oropharynx and then cause bronchopulmonary trichomoniasis, the importance of oral infections has been increased recently (Mahmoud & Rahman, 2004; Mallat et al., 2004; Chiche et al., 2005). Surprisingly in Iraq there is study of Mahdi & Al-Saeed (1993) which shows a prevalence of periodontitis 8.4% with *Entameba gingivalis* and *T. tenax* by direct smear. The number of trichomonads found in oral washing is rather low, and detection by conventional methods such as wet-mount preparations or staining may be sensitive. In addition, staining is useful for species identification, and culture techniques are routine use (Osborn et al., 1984; Felleisen, 1997; Crucitti et al., 2004). This study was carried out to determine the prevalence of oral trichomoniasis by by direct smear methods and giemsa staining with microscopic observation to detect of *T. tenax* and *E. gingivalis* (Kikuta et al., 1997).

**Materials and Methods**
The study population included 620 individuals; 310 patients (160 females and 150 males) aged ranged 18-60 years old with periodontitis or gingivitis who attended to Dentistry college-clinics of periodontics-Babylon University and 310 healthy controls, who were matched with case group. The kind of oral disease previously was established by periodontist. Direct observation For each patient a sample of subgingival dental plaque from deep pockets obtained and preserved in an individual container of 2 ml Ringer’s solution. The containers of fixed plaques duly labeled and examined to the department of Microbiology for identification of oral parasites. Microscopic observations were made three times under dry magnification (400x) and then each sample stained with Giemsa. The identification of T. tenax was established as a pear-shaped flagellated trophozoite, about 5-13μ long and with circular movement. Another oral protozoan, Entamoeba gingivalis, if present, was differentiated by its size (10-20μ), presence of prominent pseudopodia, and sluggish movement. The statistical analysis was performed by the Anova test (signification level 0.05) so as to study the correlation between the kind of oral disease, age and sex with the presence of parasite.

Results

Among the samples 33 (14.2% gingivitis) and 31 (40.2% periodontitis) of those specimens were detected by wet preparation and Giemsa-stained smears. All the cases of oral trichomoniasis in control group were both detected by direct smear. The infection rate among the patient with periodontitis and gingivitis was 40.2% and 14.2%, respectively (Table 1). There was a significant difference between two last groups [P< 0.005]. Oral trichomoniasis was prevalent at age ranged 31-40 yr, and in total males (24.7%) than females (16.8%) with no significant difference (Table 2, 3). Entamoeba gingivalis, the other oral protozoan, was found in 133 (42.9%) distributed as 98(42.1%) in gingivitis patients and 35 (45.45%) in periodontitis patients (Table 2).

Table(1): Prevalence of Trichomonas tenax according to type of oral diseases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oral diseases</th>
<th>Examined No.</th>
<th>Infected No.</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gingivitis</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periodontitis</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>40.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>20.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F calculated=45.2*  
F tabled=6.63

*Significant differences

Table(2): Prevalence of Entamoeba gingivalis according to type of oral diseases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oral diseases</th>
<th>Examined No.</th>
<th>Infected No.</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>


### Table 3: Prevalence of oral protozoa with patients periodontal disease (experimental group) according to age.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Examined No.</th>
<th>Positive case</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20-30</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>34.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50&lt;</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>20.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F calculated = 30.4*
F tabled = 11.28

*Significant differences

### Table 4: Prevalence of oral protozoa with patients periodontal disease (experimental group) according to sex.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Examined No.</th>
<th>Positive case</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>24.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>20.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F calculated = 14.6*
F tabled = 6.63

*Significant differences

### Table 5: Detection of oral protozoa in 310 patients with periodontal diseases and control.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Examined No.</th>
<th>Positive case</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gingivitis</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>42.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periodontitis</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>45.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>42.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F calculated = 71.1*
F tabled = 6.63

*Significant differences
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Examined No.</th>
<th>Positive case</th>
<th>Negative case</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>periodontal diseases</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>20.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>control</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>1.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F calculated=19.2*
F tabled=6.63

*Significant differences

Discussion

The prevalence of oral trichomoniasis in our study (20.6%) was compatible with many other published reports which mostly have ranged from 12%-32% (Wantland & Lauer,1970; Feki & Molet, 1990 ; Varblic et al.,1991; Hayawan & Bayoumy,1992;Vrablic et al.,1992; Sarowska et al., 2004). Wantland et al.,1963 examined 700 patients with periodontitis and found a prevalence of 26.5% (Wantland & Lauer,1970). Feki & Molet (1990) in France reported a prevalence of 28% among the 300 patients (Feki & Molet,1990). Mahdi in Iraq examined the saliva of 143 patients with poor oral hygiene and reported a prevalence of 8.4% (Mahdi & Al-Saeed 1993), but further investigation showed that saliva was not a suitable media for detection of parasite (Kikuta et al.,1997). In Iran 50 patients with periodontitis were examined by wet mount and 46% were found to be infected by T. tenax or E. Gingivalis (Pestechyan, 2002) but the prevalence of each parasite was not determined. In the most above- mentioned researchs, the methods for detection and identification of T.tenax from human oral samples have been based on conventional techniques, such as microscopic observation (Wantland&Lauer,1970) and cultivation (Wantland et al.,1963), which are poorly reliable in spite of being skill-requiring and time-consuming. Recently small ribosomal RNA (SrRNA) sequences or the corresponding genes have been utilized as targets for PCR (Dix et al., 1990). Similar to our study, Kikuta in Japan (Sambrook&Russel,2001) developed a PCR protocol for specific detection of T. tenax by using a pair of primers (PT3 and PT7 with nucleotide positions of 407 to 425 and 1164 to 1182, respectively). In his study 55.6% of patients were shown to carry T. tenax in subgingival- plaque but no parasites were observed by macroscopic examination. Likewise, in present study, we were not able to detect T. tenax, using wet mount, in 9 cases that were positive by PCR. To find T. tenax in bronchoalveolar fluid, Mallat in France amplified the 5.8S rRNA gene.He suggested that the sequences of this gene presented the advantages of being present in multiple copies in the genome, even between very closely related species (Mallat et al.,2004).The occurrence of T. tenax was not correlated with the age in our study and this finding was not agree with some authors (Wantland & Lauer,1970; Feki & Molet,1990; Vrablic et al.,1991) who found that the frequency of infection increased with age, while some were believed that oral protozoa were rarely found in children (Sarowska et al.,2004). According to our experience, Ringer solution was better than normal saline for transportation and maintenance of samples. But Lyone&Palmere(1983) recommended Safranin mixed with patient’s saliva as fixative and emphasized that mishandling the plaque, use of different staining techniques,
plaque other than from the extreme base of the pocket, recent medication or hygiene and some types of food, did result in false negatives (Kox et al., 1995). As in other reports (Wantland & Lauer, 1970; Lyone & Palmer, 1983; Pestechnyam, 2002; Sarowska et al., 2004) our results demonstrated a link between the presence of T. tenax and periodontitis in comparison with gingivitis and it seems that in each case, oral parasites were only found in diseased sites.

It is perhaps appropriate to note here that T. tenax, whilst seen less frequently than E. Gingivalis in patients with poor oral condition, but due to its role to produce pulmonary trichomoniasis, deserves much closer attention. Conclusively, with development of PCR for detection of T. tenax, we suggest an investigation to evaluate the pulmonary trichomoniasis in patients with cancer and chronic lung diseases.
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النعنام لنعنام من شهري تموز واغسطس 2010 وفاغسطس نيسان 2011. كانت نسبة الإصابة 44.2% (ال증ت بواقع 40.6% إصابات محيطة بالأسنان و14.2% إصابات محيطة باللثة) باستخدام المسحة المباشرة وكان انشار E. gingivalis هو 42.9% وT. tenax هو 40.2%. عند مقارنتها مع بقية الدراسات المشتركة التي تراوحت نسب انتشارها من 12-32% وأظهرت الدراسة علاقة هذه الطفيليات مع أمراض الفم، وكانت المجموعة العمرية (51-60) سنة الأكثر انتشاراً بهذه الطفيليات مقارنةً مع الفئات الأخرى، كذلك كانت نسبة الإصابة بالذكور أعلى من الإناث.