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ABSTRACT
Bacterial resistance to currently available antibiotics and its rapid increase is a worldwide concern. It is an even bigger problem since this resistance is not often restricted to a specific antibiotic, but generally extends to other compounds of the same class; therefore the need is urgent to develop new antimicrobial agents or new ways to treat the resistant microorganisms. Plants are inexhaustible source of natural antimicrobial compounds that have been found to be "synergistic enhancers" and this enables the use of the antibiotic when it is no longer effective by itself during therapeutic treatment.

The aim of this study was to determine the effect of combined application of Rosemary (Rosmarinus officinalis L.) ethanolic extract by its sub-inhibitory concentration with known antibiotics against different pathogenic isolates using disk diffusion method.

The results showed that the crude extract led to different effects (synergism, antagonism and indifference) on antimicrobial activity of the tested antibiotics. Synergistic effect was seen in most tested bacteria (63.33%), indifference effect was (26.67%) and antagonism effect was (10%) in tested isolates. These results signify that Rosemary extract potentiates the antimicrobial action of antibiotics, suggesting a possible utilization of this herb in combination therapy against pathogenic organisms.

التآزر التazziري لخلاصة إكليل الجبل

الخلاصة
يشكل ظهور سلالات بكتيرية مقاومة للمضادات الحيوانية المستخدمة حالياً وزيادتها المتزايدة مصدر قلق في العالم اجمع، وما زادت هذه المشكلة سوءاً كون هذه المقاومة لا تقتصر على مضاد حيائي معين بل تعداً تشمل كل المركبات التي تعود للصنف نفسه وذا الفائدة ملحة لأغانج نوع جديد من المضادات الحيوانية أو أدوات طرق جديدة لعلاج الأمراض المجهورة المقاومة للمضادات. تعتبر البترول مصدر دائم للمركبات الطبيعية المضادة للأحياء المجهورة والتي تعتبر "معززة للتآزر التazziري" مما يجعل استخدام المضادات في العلاج ممكناً حتى عندما يفقد فعاليته العلاجية.

Rosmarinus officinalis هو هدف هذا البحث هو دراسة تأثير الخلاصة الكحولية لبتين إكليل الجبل عندما تمزج مع مضادات الحيوانية المعروفة ضد عزلات مرضية باستخدام طريقة الانتشار بالفواصل.
Synergistic Effect of Rosmarinus Officinalis Extract with Antibiotics against Different Bacterial Isolates

INTRODUCTION

The discovery of antibiotics had eradicate the infections that once ravaged humankind, but their indiscriminate use has led to the development of multidrug-resistant pathogens which present an ever increasing global health threat.

The pace of development of new antimicrobial agents has slowed down, while the prevalence of resistance has grown at an accelerating rate, and the past record of rapid, wide spread emergence of resistance to newly introduced antimicrobial agents indicates that even new families of antimicrobial agents will have a short life expectancy, therefore, there is an urgent need to search for new classes of antimicrobial substances.

An alternative therapy to treat antibiotic-resistant microorganisms is "Combination Therapy" which uses a combination of plant extract and antibiotic against resistant pathogens.

This is a novel concept that has been recently ventured to overcome the resistance mechanisms of microbes [1, 2, 3].

Few studies have found that the efficacy of antimicrobial agents can be improved by combining them with crude plant extracts against different pathogens [2, 4]. Medicinal plants have been used for centuries as remedies for human diseases because they contain chemical components of therapeutic value [4]. According to the World Health Organization (WHO) in 2008, more than 80% of the world's population relies on traditional medicine for their primary healthcare needs as drugs derived from natural sources play a significant role in the prevention and treatment of human diseases [5, 6].

The main advantage of natural agents is that they do not enhance the "antibiotic resistance" a phenomenon encountered with the long term use of synthetic antibiotics and they have been found to act as "synergistic enhancers" [1, 4, 6]. The potential benefits of using combined antimicrobial therapy can be treatment of mixed infections, enhancement of antimicrobial activity, reducing the time needed for long-term antimicrobial therapy and prevention of the emergence of resistant microorganisms [5, 7, 8, 9].

Rosemary (Rosmarinus officinalis L.) is well known as a spice and a medicinal plant worldwide, it is a flowering plant belongs to family Lamiaceae of herbs grows in Mediterranean countries, southern Europe and in the littoral region through Minor Asia areas wildly, and it is also known and grown in Iraq. Rosemary is confirmed medicinally for its powerful antibacterial antioxidant properties and as a chemopreventive agent [10, 11].

The purpose of this study is to investigate an alternative treatment of bacterial infection by combining the antimicrobial properties of Rosmarinus officinalis L. extract...
with standard antibiotics in order to obtain an improved antimicrobial effect against different strains of pathogenic bacteria.

**Materials and Methods**

**Plant sample:** Rosemary (Rosmarinus officinalis) leaves were collected from local garden, washed, dried in the shade at room temperature, ground using a coffee grinder and were used for extract preparation.

**Preparation of plant extract:** Soxhlet extraction method [12] was used. Approximately 50 gm of dried plant material was extracted by adding 200ml of ethanol (96%) using soxhlet apparatus of 500 ml for 7 hrs with continuous slow mixing. The extract solution was filtered and the solvent was removed using rotary evaporator at 45°C to obtain the crude extract, and then it was kept in sterile bottles at 4°C until use. The resulted deposit was dissolved in distilled water to prepare the doses.

**Bacterial strains:** The tested microorganisms were provided from the culture collection of Microbiology laboratory, Applied Science Faculty, University of Technology. These included: Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus faecalis, Bacillus subtilis, Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Proteus mirabilis.

Antibiotics were selected with different targets on bacteria, Amoxicillin (25mcg), Ampicillin (10mcg), and Cephalothin (15mcg) as cell wall synthesis inhibitors, Tetracycline (30mcg) and Gentamicin (10mcg) as protein synthesis inhibitors.

**Antimicrobial tests:** Before the combination effect assay between plant extract and the antimicrobial drugs was evaluated, the antimicrobial activity of the extract was checked by determining the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) for the tested strains by diluting the extract in Mueller Hinton agar (MHA) media. Petri dishes with different concentrations of plant extract (mg/ml) and control, were inoculated with test strains (10^4 CFU) and were incubated at 37°C/24 h. The concentration that inhibited visible growth of each strain (MIC) was recorded, and the MIC 90% was calculated. MIC90 is defined as the Minimum Inhibitory Concentration that required to inhibit the growth of 90% of organisms. One-fourth the MIC90 was considered as the sub-inhibitory concentration of the plant extract in the combination effect assay [13] which were carried out on test strains by disk diffusion method on MHA media.

A single colony of each test strain was grown overnight in nutrient broth tube and the turbidity of the bacterial suspension was adjusted to 0.5 McFarland standard (10^6 cfu/ml). Mueller Hinton agar (MHA) plates were spread with 0.1 ml of bacterial suspensions and left to dry at room temperature.

Standard antibiotic discs were applied to the inoculated MHA plates, in addition, another group of these antibiotic discs were saturated with MIC of extract per disc according to the value obtained previously for each bacterial strain, then placed on the inoculated (MHA) plates, and then they were incubated at 37°C for 18 hr. At the end of the period, the diameters of inhibition zones were measured in mm. The assays were performed in triplicate.

**Results and discussion**

The Minimum Inhibitory Concentration MIC90 (mg.ml⁻¹) against test strains and one-fourth the MIC90 obtained before the combination effect assay for Rosmarinus
officinalis ethanol extract, are presented in table-1. The MIC\textsubscript{90} range was 5-50 mg.ml\textsuperscript{-1}, and this difference is not surprising due to differences among bacterial species.

Sub- inhibitory concentration was used in the combined application of the extract and antibiotics to guarantee that the effect obtained was due to the combination not to the extract itself [12].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacteria</th>
<th>Inhibition Zones (mm)</th>
<th>Conc. Of Rosmarinus officinalis extract (mg.ml\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
<th>MIC\textsubscript{90}</th>
<th>Sub IC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Staph. aureus</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strep. feacalis</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacillus subtilis</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. aerugenosa</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteus vulgaris</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Rosmarinus officinalis ethanolic extract had antimicrobial effect on the majority of tested bacteria when combined with different antibiotics. Disc diffusion method was used to determine this effect as it serves as standard assay for measuring the activity of compounds against pathogenic bacteria.

The inhibition zones (diameter in mm) of antibiotics without \textit{R. officinalis} extract against tested bacteria are shown in Table (2).

The combination of antibiotics with \textit{R. officinalis} extract had different effects against tested bacteria (synergism, antagonism and indifference). Gentamicin with extract showed an increase in its antibacterial activity against all tested bacteria. Inhibition zone (mm) increased against Staph. aureus from (24) to (28), Strep. feacalis (27) to (30), Bacillus subtilis (24) to (28), E. coli (18) to (20), P. aerugenosa (24) to (32) and Proteus vulgaris (10) to (12). Tetracycline with extract showed an increase in its antibacterial activity against: Staph. aureus from (21) to (24), Strep. feacalis (18) to (20), Bacillus subtilis (16) to (19), E. coli (14) to (18), but it showed no effect against P. aerugenosa and Proteus vulgaris. Ampicillin when combined with \textit{R. officinalis} extract showed an increase in its antibacterial activity against: E. coli from (23) to (25) and P. aerugenosa (11) to (13), it showed a decrease in its antibacterial activity against Staph. aureus from (28) to (23), Strep. feacalis (13) to (11) and there was no difference against Bacillus subtilis and Proteus vulgaris. There was an enhancement in Amoxicillin antibacterial activity when combined with extract, as it showed an increase in Inhibition zone against: Bacillus subtilis (10) to (13), P. aerugenosa (14) to (16) and Proteus vulgaris (18) to (21), it showed a decrease in inhibition zone against E. coli from (25) to (22) and no difference against Staph. aureus and Strep. feacalis. Finally,
Cephalothin which showed a synergetic reaction with extract against four of the tested bacteria as there was an increase in Inhibition zone against: Staph. aureus from (21) to (24), Strep. feacalis (21) to (23), Bacillus subtilis (15) to (17) and P. aerogenosa (18) to (21) while there was no difference against E. coli and Proteus vulgaris Table (2,3).

Table (4) shows the percentage of changes (increase or decrease) in antimicrobial activity due to combined applications.

The interaction between antibiotics and plant extract was mainly addition.

Table (2) Antibacterial activity of antibiotics on test bacteria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microorganism</th>
<th>Inhibition Zones (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staph. aureus</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strep. feacalis</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacillus subtilis</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. aerogenosa</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteus vulgaris</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cn: Gentamicin, Te: Tetracycline, Am: Ampicillin, Ax: Amoxicillin, C: Cephalothin, R: Resistant

Table (3) Antibacterial activity of combination of Rosmarinus officinalis extract with antibiotics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microorganism</th>
<th>Inhibition Zones (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staph. aureus</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strep. feacalis</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacillus subtilis</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. aerogenosa</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteus vulgaris</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cn: Gentamicin, Te: Tetracycline, Am: Ampicillin, Ax: Amoxicillin, C: Cephalothin, R: Resistant

Table (4) Percentage of synergistic/antagonistic effect of combination of Rosmarinus officinalis extract with antibiotics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microorganism</th>
<th>synergistic/antagonistic effect %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staph. aureus</td>
<td>+16.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strep. feacalis</td>
<td>+11.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacillus subtilis</td>
<td>+16.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td>+11.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. aerogenosa</td>
<td>+33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteus vulgaris</td>
<td>+20.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cn: Gentamicin, Te: Tetracycline, Am: Ampicillin, Ax: Amoxicillin, C: Cephalothin
+: synergism, -: antagonism, ne: no effect.

Combination of extract with antibiotics showed synergistic effect on tested bacteria in most tests performed (63.33%) Table(4) Figure(1).

The increase in the inhibition zone was highest when the extract was combined with Gentamicin against Pseudomonas aeruginosa (33.3%), but it was the lowest against Escherichia coli with Ampicillin (8.7%).

Plant antimicrobials had been found that though they may not have a potent antimicrobial activity alone, but when they were taken concurrently with standard drugs they enhance the effect of that drug. [14, 15]

Antimicrobial mechanisms of the drugs used were variable; and the protein synthesis inhibitors (Gentamicin and tetracycline) were those that present strongest synergistic effect. Gentamicin showed synergism with extract against all test strains, followed by Tetracycline and Cephalothin. Amoxicillin showed an enhancement effect against three isolates with an increase as high as (30%)Figure (1) when combined with extract against B. subtilus, while Ampicillin showed synergism against only two of the isolates (E.coli and Pseudomonas aerugenosa)

![Figure (1) Synergistic effect of R.officinalis extract and Antibiotics on test bacteria.](image)

1: inhibition zone of the antibiotic alone.
2: inhibition zone of the antibiotic with R.officinalis extract

The reason of the enhancement effect is not known and needs investigation;

Previous studies referred that plant crud extracts have many different phytochemicals which might inhibit bacteria by different mechanisms. This double
attack of both agents on different target sites of bacteria could theoretically lead to either an additive or a synergistic effect. [14, 15, 16]

An antagonistic effect was seen in some of the tests performed (10%). The application of R. officinalis with Ampicillin led to decrease the antimicrobial activity against Staphylococcus aureus and Streptococcus faecalis, also the combination of the extract with Amoxicillin led to antagonistic effect against Escherichia coli, this effect may be due to numerous compounds within the crude extract that may have interfered with the action of one another, or it contained compounds that inhibited the antibacterial activity of the effective compounds,[13,16] so further separation and purification of the crude extract might show an increase in bioactivity than the crude extract.

On the other hand, there was no effect of the extract when combined with antibiotics against some test strains (26.67%).

It is thought that the observed differences may be a result of the combined effect of both active and inactive compounds of the extract, in addition, these differences in results among bacterial species may be due to the fact that the cell wall in Gram-positive bacteria is of a single layer, while the Gram- negative cell is a multi-layered structures [17, 18].

Interaction between known antibiotic and bioactive plant extracts is a novel concept and could be beneficial (synergistic or additive interaction), or deleterious (antagonistic or toxic outcome) [18, 19, 20].

Previous reports mentioned a synergistic effect even though plant extracts did not show any activity by themselves, thus the researchers should investigate the synergistic capacity of plants extracts, independent of the antimicrobial activity they have, also different effects (synergism, antagonism and indifference) were mentioned between standard antibiotics and ethanolic extracts of different medicinal plants against pathogenic organisms. [21, 22, 23].

Drug- plant extract combinations had been shown to reduce and delay the emergency of bacterial resistance to antibiotics and may also produce desirable synergistic effects in the treatment of bacterial infections [23,24,25] generally the results obtained showed the potentials of Rosmarinus officinalis extract in the treatment of infectious diseases when combined with antibiotics and it further reduce drug resistance, also it revealed that the combination of the crude extract and the protein synthesis inhibitors had the highest inhibitory activity against bacterial isolates.

The evaluation of the exact drug- plant ratio at which the interaction is maximal between the plant extract and the antimicrobial drug, and the identification of the effective compounds in the crude extract, are necessary to examine the mechanisms of action of these agents especially that these mechanisms probably differ from those of the commonly used antibiotics.
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