

Symmetric Bi-Centralizers on Semiprime Rings

Auday H. Mahmood

Eqbal J. Harjan

Mathematics Department, College of Education, Al-Mustansiriyah University.

Received 14, May, 2014

Accepted 5, February, 2015



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

Abstract:

Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and $F: R \times R \rightarrow R$ be a symmetric Bi-additive mapping. The purpose of this paper is to prove the following results:

(1) If $F(x^2, y) = F(x, y)x$ fulfilled for all $x, y \in R$, then F is a symmetric left Bi-centralizer. (2) If $F(x\omega x, y) = x F(\omega, y) x$ fulfilled for all $x, y, \omega \in R$, then F is a symmetric Bi-centralizer (3) Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring with an identity element and $F: R \times R \rightarrow R$ be a symmetric Bi-additive mapping such that $F(x^3, y) = x F(x, y)x$ fulfilled for all $x, y \in R$, then F is a symmetric Bi-centralizer

Key words: Semiprime ring, A symmetric al left (right) Bi-Centralizer, A symmetric al left (right) Jordan Bi-Centralizer, A symmetric al Jordan Bi-Centralizer.

Introduction:

This note motivated by the work of J. Vokman [1] and B. Zalar [2]. Throughout, R will represent an associative ring with the center $Z(R)$. A ring R is said to be n -torsion free if $nx=0, x \in R$ implies that $x=0$ [3]. Recall that R is prime if $aRb=(0)$ implies $a=0$ or $b=0$, and semiprime if $aRa=(0)$ implies $a=0$ [4]. We write $[x, y]$ for the commutator $xy - yx$ and make extensive use of the commutator identities $[xz, y] = [x, y]z + x[z, y]$, $[x, yz] = [x, y]z + y[x, z]$. An additive mapping $T: R \rightarrow R$ is called a left (right) centralizer in the case $T(xy) = T(x)y$ ($T(xy) = xT(y)$) fulfilled for all $x, y \in R$. We follow Zalar [5] and call T centralizer in case T is both a left and right centralizer. An additive mapping is called a left (right) Jordan centralizer in case $T(x^2) = T(x)x$ ($T(x^2) = xT(x)$) for all $x \in R$. Zalar in [2] has proved that every left (right) Jordan centralizer on a semiprime ring of characteristic not two is a left (right) centralizer. In [6] Vokman proved that

if R is a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and $T: R \rightarrow R$ is an additive mapping such that $2T(x^2) = T(x)x + xT(x)$ fulfilled for all $x \in R$, then T is centralizer.

In [5] Vokman and Kosi proved that if R is a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and $T: R \rightarrow R$ is an additive mapping such that $2T(xyx) = T(x)yx + xyT(x)$ fulfilled for all $x, y \in R$, then T is centralizer. In case R has an identity element then an additive mapping $T: R \rightarrow R$ is a left (right) centralizer if and only if T is of the form $T(x) = ax$ ($T(x) = xa$) for some $a \in R$. In this paper we generalize this result to a left (right) Bi-Centralizer.

A Bi-additive mapping $B: R \times R \rightarrow R$ is called symmetric if $B(x, y) = B(y, x)$ for all pairs $x, y \in R$ [7]. We introduce the following concept: A symmetric Bi-additive mapping $F: R \times R \rightarrow R$ is called a symmetric left (right) Bi-Centralizer in case $F(xz, y) = F(x, y)z$ ($F(xz, y) = xF(z, y)$) fulfilled for all $x, y, z \in R$, while F is called symmetric

left (right) Jordan Bi-Centralizer in case $F(x^2, y) = F(x, y)x$ ($F(x^2, y) = xF(x, y)$) fulfilled for all $x, y \in R$. The symmetric Bi-additive mapping F is called a symmetric Bi-Centralizer in case F is both a left and right symmetric Bi-Centralizer. Similarly we define the symmetric Jordan Bi-Centralizer. Every a symmetric Bi-Centralizer is a symmetric Jordan Bi-Centralizer. The converse is in general not true.

1. Preliminaries

Following Lemmas are essential for developing the proofs of our main results.

Lemma 2.1: [8]

Let R be a semiprime ring. If $a, b \in R$ are such that $a x b = 0$, for all $x \in R$, then $ab = ba = 0$.

Lemma 2.2: [2]

Let R be a semiprime ring and $G, F: R \times R \rightarrow R$ be a Bi-additive mappings. If $G(x, y) \omega F(x, y) = 0$, for all $x, y, \omega \in R$, then $G(x, y) \omega F(u, v) = 0$, for all $x, y, u, v, \omega \in R$.

Lemma 2.3: [2]

Let R be a seiprime ring and $a \in R$ some fixed element. If a $[x, y] = 0$ for all $x, y \in R$, then there exists an ideal U of R such that $a \in U \subset Z$.

Lemma 2.4: [9], [1]

Let R semiprime ring, suppose that $axb + bxc$ holds all $x \in R$ and some $a, b, c \in R$. In this case $(a + c)x b = 0$ is satisfied for all $x \in R$.

Also, we see it be useful to introduce the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.5:

Let R be a ring with identity. then a symmetric Bi-additive mapping $F: R \times R \rightarrow R$ is a symmetric left (right) Bi-centralizer if and only if F is of the form $F(x, y) = ayx$ ($F(x, y) = xya$) for some fixed element $a \in R$.

Proof: Suppose T is a symmetric left Bi-centralizer:

$$\begin{aligned} F(xz, y) &= F(x, y) z \\ &= F(1.x, y)z = F(1, y) xz \\ &= F(1.y, 1) xz = F(1, 1)yxz \\ &= ayxz, \text{ where } a \text{ stands for } F(1, 1) \end{aligned}$$

Hence $F(xz, y) = ayxz$ for all $x, y, z \in R$.

Taking $z = 1$ leads to:

$$F(x, y) = ayx, \text{ for all } x, y \in R.$$

Conversely, suppose $F(x, y) = ayx$ for all $x, y \in R$ then

$$F(xz, y) = ayxz = (ayx)z = F(x, y) z$$

Hence F is a symmetric left Bi-centralizer.

In similar arguments as above, we can prove F is a symmetric right Bi-centralizer if and only if $F(x, y) = xya$. ■

2. Main results

Theorem 3.1:

Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and $F : R \times R \rightarrow R$ be a symmetric Bi-additive mappings. If $F(x^2, y) = F(x, y)x$ fulfilled for all $x, y \in R$ then F is a symmetric left Bi-Centralizer

Proof: We have

$$F(x^2, y) = F(x, y)x \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (1)$$

Replacing x by $x + \omega$ in (1) we get:

$$F(x\omega + \omega x, y) = F(x, y)\omega + F(\omega, y)x \quad (2)$$

The substitution x^2 instead of x in (2), and using (1) on the relation so obtained gives:

$$F(x^2\omega + \omega x^2, y) = F(x, y)x\omega + F(\omega, y)x^2 \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R. \quad (3)$$

Putting $x\omega + \omega x$ for ω in (2), and using (2) we arrive at:

$$\begin{aligned} F(x(x\omega + \omega x) + (x\omega + \omega x)x, y) &= \\ F(x, y)x\omega + 2F(x, y)\omega x + F(\omega, y)x^2 &\text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R. \quad (4) \end{aligned}$$

This can be written as:

$$F(x^2\omega + \omega x^2, y) + 2F(x\omega x, y) = F(x, y)x\omega + 2F(x, y)\omega x + F(\omega, y)x^2 \quad (5)$$

Comparing (3) and (5) leads to:

$$F(x\omega x, y) = F(x, y)\omega x \text{ for } x, y, \omega \in R. \quad (6)$$

The linearization of (6) with respect to x gives:

$$F(x\omega z + z\omega x, y) = F(x, y)\omega z + F(z, y)\omega x \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R. \quad (7)$$

Now we shall compute $F(x\omega z\omega x + \omega xz\omega x, y)$ in two different ways. The first one by using (6), we see:

$$F(x\omega z\omega x + \omega xz\omega x, y) = F(x, y)\omega z\omega x + F(\omega, y)xz\omega x \quad (8)$$

Also using (7) leads to:

$$F(x\omega z\omega x + \omega xz\omega x, y) = F(x\omega, y)z\omega x + F(\omega x, y)z\omega x \quad (9)$$

Comparing (8) and (9) we have:

$$(F(x\omega, y) - F(x, y)\omega)z\omega x + (F(\omega x, y) + F(\omega, y)x)z\omega x = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R. \quad (10)$$

According to (2) one can replace $(F(\omega x, y) + F(\omega, y)x)$ by $-(F(x\omega, y) - F(x, y)\omega)$ in (10), so we have:

$$(F(x\omega, y) - F(x, y)\omega)z[x, \omega] = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R.$$

Without lose the generality we fix some $y \in R$ and define

$$M(x, \omega) = F(x\omega, y) - F(x, y)\omega,$$

then the above relation reduces to:

$$M(x, \omega)z[x, \omega] = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R.$$

Using Lemma (2.2), the above relation can be given as:

$$M(x, \omega)z[u, v] = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R. \quad (11)$$

Now, fix some $x, \omega \in R$ and let M represent to $M(x, \omega)$, then by Lemma (2.1) the relation (11) becomes:

$$M[u, v] = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R.$$

An application of Lemma (2.3) on the above relation we see that there exist an ideal U of R satisfies $M \in U \subset Z(R)$. In particular $rM, Mr \in Z(R)$ for $r \in R$.

This gives us:

$$x.M^2\omega = M^2\omega.x = \omega.M^2.x = \omega.M^2x$$

This gives that $4F(x.M^2\omega, y) = 4F(\omega.M^2x, y)$, both sides of this equality will be computed in few steps using (2) and the above remarks.

$$2F(x.M^2\omega + M^2\omega.x, y) = 2F(\omega.M^2x + M^2x\omega, y)$$

$$2F(x, y)M^2\omega + 2F(M^2\omega, y)x = 2F(\omega, y)M^2x + 2F(M^2x, y)\omega$$

$$2F(x, y)M^2\omega + F(M^2\omega + \omega.M^2, y)x = 2F(\omega, y)M^2x + F(M^2x + x.M^2, y)\omega$$

$$2F(x, y)M^2\omega + F(M, y)M\omega x + F(\omega, y)M^2x = 2F(\omega, y)M^2x + F(M, y)Mx\omega + F(x, y)M^2\omega$$

$$F(x, y)M^2\omega + F(M, y)M\omega x = F(\omega, y)M^2x + F(M, y)Mx\omega$$

But $M\omega x = M\omega.x = x.M\omega = xM\omega = Mx\omega$, therefore we arrive at:

$$F(x, y)M^2\omega = F(\omega, y)M^2x \quad (12)$$

On the other hand we have:

$$4F(x\omega M^2, y) = 4F(xM.\omega M, y)$$

$$2F(x\omega M^2 + M^2x\omega, y) = 2F(xM.\omega M + \omega M.xM, y)$$

$$2F(x\omega, y)M^2 + 2F(M, y)Mx\omega = 2F(Mx, y)M\omega + 2F(M\omega, y)Mx$$

$$2F(x\omega, y)M^2 + 2F(M, y)Mx\omega = F(xM + Mx, y)M\omega + F(\omega M + M\omega, y)Mx$$

$$2F(x\omega, y)M^2 + 2F(M, y)Mx\omega = F(x, y)M^2\omega + F(M, y)Mx\omega + F(\omega, y)M^2x + F(M, y)Mx\omega$$

$$2F(x\omega, y)M^2 = F(x, y)\omega M^2 + F(\omega, y)xM^2$$

In view of (12) the above relation reduces to $F(x\omega, y)M^2 = F(x, y)\omega M^2$, consequently we conclude that $M^3 = 0$.

The fact that R is semiprime ring leads to $M^2RM^2 = M^4R = 0$, which means $M^2 = 0$.

Also, $MRM = M^2R = 0$ implies that $M = 0$ and hence:

$$F(x\omega, y) = F(x, y)\omega, \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R$$

■

Theorem 3.2:

Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and $F: R \times R \rightarrow R$ be a symmetric Bi-additive mappings. If $F(x^2, y) = xF(x, y)$ holds for all $x, y \in R$, then F is a symmetric right Bi-Centralizer.

Theorem 3.3:

Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and $F: R \times R \rightarrow R$ be a symmetric Bi-additive mapping. Suppose $F(x\omega x, y) = xF(\omega, y)x$ holds for all $x, y, \omega \in R$, then $[F(x, y), x] = 0$.

Proof: For any $x, y, \omega \in R$, we have:

$$F(x\omega x, y) = xF(\omega, y)x \text{ holds for all } x, y, \omega \in R. \quad (1)$$

Putting $x + u$ for x in (1) and using (1), we obtain:

$$F(x\omega u + u\omega x, y) = x F(\omega, y) u + u F(\omega, y) x \quad (2)$$

Setting $\omega = x$ and $u = \omega$ in (2) we get:

$$F(x^2\omega + \omega x^2, y) = x F(x, y) \omega + \omega F(x, y) x \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R \quad (3)$$

For $u = x^3$ the relation (2) reduces to:

$$F(x\omega x^3 + x^3\omega x, y) = x F(\omega, y) x^3 + x^3 F(\omega, y) x \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R \quad (4)$$

Putting $x\omega x$ for ω in (3), we see:

$$F(x\omega x^3 + x^3\omega x, y) = x F(x, y)x\omega x + x\omega x F(x, y) x \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R \quad (5)$$

The substitution $x^2\omega + \omega x^2$ for ω in (1) gives:

$$F(x\omega x^3 + x^3\omega x, y) = x F(x^2\omega + \omega x^2, y) x \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R$$

In view of (3) the above relation gives:

$$F(x\omega x^3 + x^3\omega x, y) = x^2 F(x, y)\omega x + x\omega F(x, y) x^2 \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R \quad (6)$$

Combining (5) with (6) we get:

$$x[F(x, y), x] \omega x - x\omega[F(x, y), x]x = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R \quad (7)$$

The application of lemma (2.4) on (7) gives:

$$[[F(x, y), x], x] \omega x = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R \quad (8)$$

Replacing ω by $\omega[F(x, y), x]$ in (8) gives:

$$[[F(x, y), x], x] \omega[F(x, y), x] x = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R \quad (9)$$

Right multiplication of (8) by $[F(x, y), x]$ implies that:

$$[[F(x, y), x], x] \omega x [F(x, y), x] = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R \quad (10)$$

Subtracting (10) from (9) we arrive:

$$[[F(x, y), x], x] \omega [[F(x, y), x], x] = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R.$$

By semiprimness property of R we conclude:

$$[[F(x, y), x], x] = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (11)$$

The next our task is to prove:

$$x [F(x, y), x] x = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (12)$$

The linearization of (11) with respect to x gives:

$$[[F(x, y), x], \omega] + [[F(x, y), \omega], x] + [[F(\omega, y), x], x] + [[F(\omega, y), x], \omega] + [[F(\omega, y), \omega], x] + [[F(x, y), \omega], \omega] = 0, \text{ for } x, y, \omega \in R.$$

Putting $-x$ instead of x in the last relation and comparing the relation so obtained with it gives:

$$[[F(x, y), x], \omega] + [[F(x, y), \omega], x] + [[F(\omega, y), x], x] = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R. \quad (13)$$

Putting $x\omega x$ instead of ω in (13) and using (1), (11) and (13) we see:

$$0 = x[[F(x, y), x], \omega]x + [[F(x, y), x] \omega x + x[F(x, y), \omega]x + \omega x[F(x, y), x], x] +$$

$$[x[F(\omega, y), x] x, x] = x[[F(x, y), x], \omega]x + [F(x, y), x][\omega, x]x + x[[F(x, y), \omega], x]x + x[\omega, x][F(x, y), x] +$$

$$x[[F(\omega, y), x], x]x = [F(x, y), x][\omega, x]x + x[\omega, x][F(x, y), x]$$

$$= [F(x, y), x]\omega x^2 - x^2\omega[F(x, y), x] + x\omega x[F(x, y), x] - [F(x, y), x]x\omega x$$

$$\text{Hence } [F(x, y), x]\omega x^2 - x^2\omega[F(x, y), x] + x\omega x[F(x, y), x] - [F(x, y), x]x\omega x = 0$$

Now, using (7) and (11) we have:

$$x\omega x[F(x, y), x] - [F(x, y), x]x\omega x = [[F(x, y), x], x] \omega x = 0$$

Therefore the last relation reduces to:

$$[F(x, y), x]\omega x^2 - x^2\omega[F(x, y), x] = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R.$$

Left multiplication of the above relation by x gives:

$$x[F(x, y), x]\omega x^2 - x^3\omega[F(x, y), x] = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R. \quad (14)$$

According to (7), one can replace $x[F(x, y), x]\omega x$ by $x\omega[F(x, y), x]x$, so relation (14) can be given by:

$$x\omega[F(x, y), x]x^2 - x^3\omega[F(x, y), x] = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R. \quad (15)$$

The substitution $F(x, y)\omega$ for ω in (15) leads to:

$$x F(x, y)\omega [F(x, y), x] x^2 - x^3 F(x, y)\omega [F(x, y), x] = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R. \quad (16)$$

Now, left multiplication of (15) by $F(x, y)$ and subtracting (16) from the relation so obtained gives:

$$[F(x, y), x] \omega[F(x, y), x] x^2 - [F(x, y), x^3] \omega[F(x, y), x] = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R.$$

Application of lemma (2.4) on the above relation leads to:

$$([F(x, y), x^3] - [F(x, y), x] x^2) \omega [F(x, y), x] = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R.$$

By using the identity $[x, yz] = y[x, z] + [x, y]z$ the last relation reduces to:

$$([x^2[F(x, y), x] + x[F(x, y), x]x) \omega [F(x, y), x] = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R.$$

But the relation (11) means that $x[F(x, y), x] = [F(x, y), x]x$.

So we can replace $x^2[F(x, y), x]$ by $x[F(x, y), x]x$ and the above relation becomes:

$$x[F(x, y), x]x \omega [F(x, y), x] = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R.$$

Right multiplication of the above relation by x and substitution ωx for ω leads to:

$$x[F(x, y), x] x \omega x [F(x, y), x]x = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R.$$

Since R is semiprime ring, hence the relation (12) follows.

The next step is to prove the relation $x [F(x, y), x] = 0$, for all $x, y, \omega \in R$. (17)

The substitution ωx instead of ω in (7) gives in view of (12)

$$x [F(x, y), x] \omega x^2 = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R. \quad (18)$$

Putting $\omega [F(x, y), x]$ for ω in (18) leads to:

$$x[F(x, y), x] \omega [F(x, y), x]x^2 = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R. \quad (19)$$

Right multiplication of (18) by $F(x, y)$ and subtracting the relation so obtained from (19) gives:

$$x[F(x, y), x] \omega [F(x, y), x^2] \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R.$$

That is $x [F(x, y), x] \omega ([F(x, y), x]x + x[F(x, y), x]) = 0$, for all $x, y, \omega \in R$.

Again, according to (11) one can replace $[F(x, y), x]x$ in the last relation by $x[F(x, y), x]$ which leads to:

$$x[F(x, y), x] \omega x [F(x, y), x] = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R.$$

Hence $x [F(x, y), x] = 0$, for $x, y \in R$ and consequently from (11) we conclude that

$$[F(x, y), x] x = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in R$$

Now, using similar techniques on the above relation as used to get (13) from (11) we arrive:

$$[F(x, y), x] \omega + [F(x, y), \omega]x + [F(\omega, y), x]x = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R.$$

Right multiplication of the above relation by $[F(x, y), x]$ gives in view of (17)

$$[F(x, y), x] \omega [F(x, y), x] = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R.$$

Since R is a semiprime ring, then the proof of the theorem is complete. ■

Theorem 3.4:

Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and $F: R \times R \rightarrow R$ be a symmetric Bi-additive mapping. Suppose $F(x\omega x, y) = xF(\omega, y)x$ holds for all $x, y, \omega \in R$, then F is a symmetric Bi-Centralizer .

Proof: For any $x, y, \omega \in R$, we have:

$$F(x\omega x, y) = x F(\omega, y) x \quad (1)$$

The linearization of (1) with respect x gives:

$$F(x\omega u + u\omega x, y) = x F(\omega, y)u + u F(\omega, y)x \text{ for all } x, y, u, \omega \in R. \quad (2)$$

Taking $u = x^2$ in the above relation leads to:

$$F(x\omega x^2 + x^2\omega x, y) = x F(\omega, y) x^2 + x^2 F(\omega, y) x \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R. \quad (3)$$

The substitution $x\omega + \omega x$ for ω in (1) gives:

$$F(x^2\omega x + x\omega x^2, y) = x F(x\omega + \omega x, y) x \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R. \quad (4)$$

Comparing (3) and (4), we arrive at:

$$x \mu(x, \omega, y) x = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R. \quad (5)$$

Where $\mu(x, \omega, y)$ stands for $F(x\omega + \omega x, y) - F(\omega, y) x - x F(\omega, y)$.

The linearization of (5) with respect x gives:

$$x \mu(x, \omega, y) u + x \mu(u, \omega, y)x + u \mu(x, \omega, y)x + x \mu(u, \omega, y)u + u \mu(x, \omega, y)u + u \mu(u, \omega, y)x = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, u, \omega \in R. \quad (6)$$

Putting $-x$ instead of x in (6) and comparing the relation so obtained with it, we arrive at:

$$x\mu(x, \omega, y) u + x \mu(u, \omega, y) x + u \mu(x, \omega, y)x = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, u, \omega \in R. \tag{7}$$

Right multiplication of the above relation by $\mu(x, \omega, y)x$ gives because of (5):

$$x \mu(x, \omega, y) u \mu(x, \omega, y)x = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R. \tag{8}$$

The next our task is to prove:

$$[\mu(x, \omega, y), x] = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R. \tag{9}$$

Now, by Theorem (3.3) we have:

$$[F(x, y), x] = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \tag{10}$$

Linearization of the above relation with respect to x gives:

$$[F(x, y), \omega] + [F(\omega, y), x] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R. \tag{11}$$

Putting $x\omega + \omega x$ for ω in (11), we get:

$$[F(x, y), x\omega + \omega x] + [F(x\omega + \omega x, y), x] = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R.$$

That is

$$x[F(x, y), \omega] + [F(x, y), \omega]x + [F(x\omega + \omega x, y), x] = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R.$$

According to (11) one can replace $[F(x, y), \omega]$ by $-[F(\omega, y), x] = 0$, so the last relation gives:

$$[F(x\omega + \omega x, y), x] - x[F(\omega, y), x] - [F(\omega, y), x]x = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R.$$

This can be written as:

$$[F(x\omega + \omega x, y) - x F(\omega, y) - F(\omega, y) x, x] = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R.$$

Hence the relation (9) follows.

Now, in view of (9) the relation (8) can be given by:

$$\mu(x, \omega, y)x u \mu(x, \omega, y)x = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, u, \omega \in R.$$

The semiprime property of R leads to:

$$\mu(x, \omega, y) x = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R. \tag{12}$$

Also, according to (9) we arrive at:

$$x\mu(x, \omega, y) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R. \tag{13}$$

The linearization of (12) with respect to x gives:

$$\mu(x, \omega, y) u + \mu(x, \omega, y) x = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, u, \omega \in R.$$

Right multiplication of the above relation by $\mu(u, \omega, y)$ and using (13) on the relation so obtained yields:

$$\mu(x, \omega, y) u \mu(x, \omega, y) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, \omega \in R.$$

Therefore $\mu(x, \omega, y) = 0$ for all $x, y, \omega \in R$.

That is $F(x\omega + \omega x, y) = F(\omega, y) x + x F(\omega, y)$ for all $x, y, \omega \in R$.

As particular for $\omega = x$ the above relation gives:

$$2F(x^2, y) = F(x, y) x + x F(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in R.$$

In view of (10) the above relation reduces to :

$$F(x^2, y) = F(x, y) x \text{ and } F(x^2, y) = x F(x, y) \text{ for } x, y \in R$$

Using Theorems (3.1) and (3.2) it follows that F is symmetric Bi-Centralizer. ■

Now, if we taking $\omega = x$ in the relation (1), we obtain:

$$F(x^3, y) = x F(x, y) x, \text{ for all } x, y \in R.$$

The question is whether in a 2-torsion free semiprime ring the above relation implies that F is a symmetric Bi-Centralizer. The answer, it's not true in general unless R be a ring with an identity element. In order to prove this fact, we introduce the following result.

Theorem 3.5:

Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring with identity, and let $F: R \times R \rightarrow R$ be a symmetric Bi-additive mapping such that $F(x^3, y) = x F(x, y) x$ holds for all $x, y \in R$, then F is a symmetric Bi-Centralizer.

Proof: we have

$$F(x^3, y) = x F(x, y) x, \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \tag{1}$$

Putting $x+1$ for x in (1), where 1 is the identity element, we get:

$$3F(x^2, y) + 2F(x, y) = F(x, y)x + x F(x, y) + x F(1, y) + F(1, y)x + x F(1, y)x \tag{2}$$

Replacing x by $-x$ in (2) gives:

$$3F(x^2, y) - 2F(x, y) = F(x, y)x + x F(x, y) - x F(1, y) - F(1, y)x + x F(1, y)x \text{ for } x, y \in R.$$

Comparing (2) with the above relation, we arrive at:

$$6F(x^2, y) = 2F(x, y)x + 2xF(x, y) + 2x F(1, y)x \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (3)$$

Also, comparing (2) with (3) implies that :

$$2F(x, y) = F(1, y) x + x F(1, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (4)$$

The substitution x^2 for x in (4) leads to: $2F(x^2, y) = F(1, y) x^2 + x^2 F(1, y)$, for all $x, y \in R$. (5)

In view of (4), (5) and the fact that R is a 2-torsion free the relation (3) reduces to:

$$F(1, y) x^2 + x^2 F(1, y) - 2x F(1, y)x = 0, \text{ for } x, y \in R.$$

This relation can be written as:

$$[[F(1, y), x], x] = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in R.$$

The linearization of the above relation with respect to x gives:

$$[[F(1, y), x], z] + [[F(1, y), z], x] = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R. \quad (6)$$

Putting xz instead of z in (6) leads to:

$$[[F(1, y), x], xz] + [[F(1, y), xz], x] = 0$$

$$x [[F(1, y), x], z] + [[F(1, y), x]z + x[F(1, y), z], x] = 0.$$

$$x [[F(1, y), x], z] + [[F(1, y), x]z, x] + [x[F(1, y), z], x] = 0$$

$$x [F(1, y), x], z] + [F(1, y), x][z, x] + x[F(1, y), z], x] = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R.$$

According to (6), the above relation reduces to:

$$[F(1, y), x][z, x] = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R.$$

The substitution $zF(1, y)$ for z in the above relation gives:

$$[F(1, y), x] z [F(1, y), x] = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R.$$

Using the semiprimeness property of R implies that:

$$[F(1, y), x] = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in R$$

That is $F(1, y) \in Z$ for all $y \in R$, hence the relation (4) reduces to:

$$F(x, y) = F(1, y) x = x F(1, y) \text{ for } x, y \in R. \quad (7)$$

On the other hand, in view of (1) and the symmetry of F we have:

$$F(x, y^3) = y F(x, y) y \text{ for all } x, y \in R.$$

Using the similar techniques as used on (1) to get the relations (7) we arrive at:

$$F(x, y) = F(x, 1) y = y F(x, 1), \text{ for } x, y \in R. \quad (8)$$

Taking $x=1$ in (8), we get:

$$F(1, y) = ay = ya, \text{ where } a \text{ stands for } F(1, 1). \quad (9)$$

Combining the relations (7) and (9) leads to:

$$F(x, y) = ayx = xya, \text{ for } x, y \in R.$$

Using Lemma (4.5) we obtain the required results. ■

References:

- [1] Vukman, J. 2001. Centralizers on semiprime rings, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 42:237-245.
- [2] Zalar, B. 1991. On centralizers of semiprime rings. Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae, 4(32) :609-614.
- [3] Ashraf, M. and Muziber R. M., 2012. On Jordan α - Centralizer in semiprime rings with involution. Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences, 7(23):1103-1112.
- [4] Bresar, M., 1989. Jordan mapping of semiprime rings. J. Algebra, 127, 218-228.
- [5] Vukman, J. and Kosi, I. - U1b1, 2003. On centralizers of semiprime rings. Aequationes Math. 3(66):277-283.
- [6] Vukman, J. 1999. An identity related to centralizers in semiprime rings. Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae, 3(40):447-456.
- [7] Yenigul, M. S. and Argac, N. 1993. Ideals and Symmetric Bi-Derivations of prime and semi-prime Rings. Math. J. Okayama Univ. 35:189-192.
- [8] Herstein, I. N., 1957. Jordan derivations of prime rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 8:1104-1110.
- [9] Daif, M. N. and El-Sayiad Tammam M. S., 2008. An Identity on θ -Centralizers of semi-prime rings. International Mathematical Forum, 3(19): 937-944.

التطبيقات المتناظرة ثنائية التمركز على الحلقات شبه الأولية

إقبال جبر حرجان

عدي حكمت محمود

قسم الرياضيات - كلية التربية- الجامعة المستنصرية .

الخلاصة:

قدمنا في هذا البحث بعض النتائج الخاصة بالتطبيقات المتناظرة ثنائية التمركز المعرفة على الحلقة شبه الأولية R مميزها لا يساوي 2. إضافة لذلك قدمنا الصيغة العامة لهذه التطبيقات عندما تكون معرفة على حلقة ذات عنصر محايد وبعض النتائج الخاصة بهذا النوع من التطبيقات

الكلمات المفتاحية: الحلقة شبه الاولية، تناظر اليساري (اليمني) ثنائي التمركز، تناظر اليساري (اليمني) ثنائي التمركز لجوردان، تناظر ثنائي التمركز لجوردان.