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ABSTRACT

In this study, the flow and heat transfer characteristics of AL₂O₃-water nanofluids for a range of the Reynolds number of 3000, 4500, 6000 and 7500 with a range of volume concentration of 1%, 2%, 3% and 4% are studied numerically. The test rig consists of cold liquid loop, hot liquid loop and the test section which is counter flow double pipe heat exchanger with 1m length. The inner tube is made of smooth copper with diameter of 15mm. The outer tube is made of smooth copper with diameter of 50mm. The hot liquid flows through the outer tube and the cold liquid (or nanofluid) flow through the inner tube. The boundary condition of this study is thermally insulated the outer wall with uniform velocity at (0.2, 0.3, 0.4 and 0.5 m/s) at the cold loop and constant velocity at (0.5 m/s) at the hot loop.

The results show that the heat transfer coefficient and Nusselt number increased by increasing Reynolds number and particle concentration. Numerical results indicate that the maximum enhancement in Nusselt number and heat transfer coefficient were 9.5% and 13.5% respectively at Reynolds number of 7100 and particles volume fraction of 4%. Results of nanofluids also showed a good agreement with the available empirical correlation at particles volume fractions of 1%, 2% and 3%, but at volume fractions of 4% a slight deviation is obtained.

Keywords: heat transfer, heat exchanger, nanofluids.
1. INTRODUCTION

Rapid development in all sectors, which is infrastructure, industrial, transportation, defense, space; managing high thermal loads has become very critical. For that reason, several cooling technologies have been researched. However, the conventional technique of heat transfer by means of a flow system including fluids like water, ethylene glycol, mineral oils has always been popular and would always remain popular due to its simple nature. Conventional heat transfer systems used in applications like petrochemical, refining, and power generation are rather large and involve significant amount of heat transfer. However, in certain applications like electronics cooling in laptops and microprocessors, engine cooling in automobiles, cooling in power electronics used in military devices, cooling in space applications and many other areas, small heat transfer systems are required. These applications have a critical relationship between size of a mechanical system and the cost associated with manufacturing and operation. If improvements could be made in the existing heat transfer systems such as enhancing the performance of the heat transfer fluid, a lesser heat exchanger surface area and hence, a lesser space would be required to handle a specified amount of cooling load. The situation would lead to smaller heat transfer systems with lower capital costs and higher energy efficiencies. In this pursuit, numerous researchers have been investigating better techniques to enhance the thermal performance of heat transfer fluids. One of the methods used is to add nano-sized particles of highly thermally conductive materials like carbon, metal, metal oxides into the heat transfer fluid to improve the overall thermal conductivity of the fluid. The dispersion or suspension thus obtained is called nanofluid.

With the recent improvements in nanotechnology, the production of particles with sizes on the order of nanometers (nanoparticles) can be achieved with relative ease. Nanofluid (nanoparticles fluid suspensions) is the term coined by, Choi, 1995 to describe this new class of nanotechnology based heat transfer fluids that exhibit thermal properties superior to those of their host fluids or conventional particle fluid suspension. As a consequence, the idea of suspending these nanoparticles in a base liquid for improving thermal conductivity has been proposed recently, Masuda, et al., 1993.

2. HEAT TRANSFER ENHANCEMENT WITH NANOFLOUIDS

A number of numerical and experimental studies were conducted to examine the effect of $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$-water nanofluids on heat exchanger enhancement under the laminar and turbulent regime. The configurations of heat transfer devices that were examined include concentric tube heat exchanger, some with twist and spiraled rod inserts, shell and tube heat exchanger, multichannel heat exchangers for electronic chip cooling. Increase in the thermal conductivity of the working fluid improves the efficiency of the associated heat transfer process. When forced convection in tubes is considered, it is expected that heat transfer coefficient enhancement obtained by using a nanofluid is equal to the enhancement in thermal conductivity of the nanofluid, due to the definition of Nusselt number. However, research into the convective heat transfer of nanofluids indicates that the enhancement of heat transfer coefficient exceeds the thermal conductivity enhancement of nanofluids, Hwang, 2009 and Heris, 2006 and 2007.

Pak and Cho, 1998, studied experimentally the heat transfer of $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$-water nanofluid at turbulent flow when detail of heat exchanger and the boundary conditions were circular pipe $D=10.66$ mm and length $L=4.8$ m at constant heat flux. At range of Reynolds number $\text{Re} =10^4 – 10^5$ and particle concentration ($\phi$): 1.34%, 2.78% and 4.33% by volume. They found that the Nusselt number of the dispersed fluids for fully developed flow increased by increasing volume concentration as well as with Reynolds number. Also found that
maximum enhancement of heat transfer coefficient was 12% smallest than that in pure water at 3% particle concentration.

Fotukian and Esfahany, 2010, studied experimentally the turbulent flow of nanofluids with different volume concentrations (φ = 0.03%, 0.054%, 0.067% and 0.135% by volume) of nano particles flowing through shell and tube heat exchanger at constant wall temperature with range of Reynolds number from 6 x 10⁴ to 3.1 x 10⁴. Results had clearly showed a decrease in a the ratio of heat transfer coefficient of nanofluid with Reynolds number compared to that of pure water by 48% at φ = 0.054%.

Vasu et al., 2007, developed an empirical correlation for the thermal conductivity of Al₂O₃/water and Cu/water nanofluids, considering the effects of temperature, volume fraction and size of the nano particle. A correlation for the evaluation of Nusselt number was also developed, presented, and compared in graphical form. This enhanced thermo physical and heat transfer characteristics made fluids embedded with nano materials as excellent candidates for future applications.

Huminic and Huminic, 2011, used a three-dimensional analysis to study the heat transfer characteristics of a double-tube helical heat exchangers using nanofluids under laminar flow conditions. CuO and TiO₂ nanoparticles with diameters of (24 nm) dispersed in water with volume concentrations of (0.5–3 vol. %) were used as the working fluid. The mass flow rate of the nanofluid from the inner tube was kept and the mass flow rate of the water from the annulus was set at either half, full, or double the value. Effects of nanoparticles concentration level and of the Dean number on the heat transfer rates and heat transfer coefficients were presented. The results showed that for 2% CuO nanoparticles in water and same mass flow rate in inner tube and annulus, the heat transfer rate of the nanofluid is approximately (14%) greater than of pure water. The results also showed that the convective heat transfer coefficients of the nanofluids and water increases with increasing of the mass flow rate and with the Dean number.

Khalifa and Banwan, 2015, studied experimentally the effect of nanofluids with different volume concentrations (φ = 0.25%, 0.5%, 0.75% and 1% by volume) on heat transfer under turbulent regime in double concentric tube heat exchanger for four different volumetric flow rates of 150, 200, 250 and 300 L/h. Results showed the convective heat transfer increase by increasing particle concentration and flow rare. The maximum enhancement obtained in Nusselt number and heat transfer coefficient was 20 and 22.8% respectively, at Reynolds number6026 and particle concentration of 1%.

Nguyen et al., 2007, studied experimentally the investigation on turbulent heat transfer in closed system for cooling of microprocessors with Al₂O₃ nanofluids at particle concentration (φ) 1%, 3.1% and 6.8% by volume. The Reynolds numbers range that used in this study from 3 x 10³ to 15 x 10³. He concluded that the inclusion of nanoparticles into water produced a considerable enhancement of the cooling block convective heat transfer coefficient. Results showed the maximum enhancement in heat transfer coefficient was 40% at φ =6.8%.

Vajjha et al., 2010, investigated experimentally the heat transfer of Al₂O₃/water nanofluid at turbulent flow. The detail of heat exchanger and the boundary conditions was circular pipe D=3.14 mm and length L=1.168 m at constant heat flux. The range of Reynolds number (Re) that used 3 x 10³ – 1.6 x 10⁴, the particle concentration 2%, 4%, 6%, 8% and 10% by volume. The results showed that by increasing the volume concentration, the wall shear stress and heat transfer rates increase also it showed the maximum enhancement of heat transfer coefficient was 81.74% at φ = 10% and Re = 7240.

The objectives of the study presented in this paper are to present the effect of heat transfer enhancement with and without Al₂O₃/water and comparison with other researches in a double-pipe heat exchanger.
3. HEAT EXCHANGER CONFIGURATION

The geometry consists of a three-dimensional counter flow double pipe heat exchanger with length equal to (1 m). The outer diameter of the heat exchanger is equal to (50 mm) and the inner diameter of the heat exchanger is equal to (15 mm). The outer wall of heat exchanger is thermally insulated. The nanofluid enters the inner diameter (cold loop) with uniform velocity at (0.2, 0.3, 0.4 and 0.5 m/s) and temperature at (300 K). The velocity at hot loop of the outer diameter is constant at (0.5 m/s) with temperature at (350 K). The geometry is shown in Fig. 1.

4. THERMOPHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF NANOFLUID

The calculation of nanofluid thermo physical properties is a crucial point since the results are strongly affected by them. As previously mentioned, the determination of nanofluids thermo physical properties is a very active area of research. The use of classical models is not certain for nanofluids, but, on the other hand, scarce experimental data on nanofluids are available to allow us to select a single model.

The physical properties of the nanofluid used in this work are calculated using the standard equations. (Eastman, et al., 2001).

The density \( \rho_{nf} \) in kg/m³ is determined by the following equation

\[
\rho_{nf} = \varphi \cdot \rho_p + (1 - \varphi) \cdot \rho_{bf}
\]  

(1)

The specific heat \( C_{p_{nf}} \) in kJ/kg K is determined by the following equation

\[
C_{p_{nf}} = (1 - \varphi)C_{p_{bf}} + \varphi \cdot C_{p_{p}}
\]  

(2)

The following equation is used to calculate the viscosity ratio \( \mu_r \)

\[
\mu_r = \frac{\mu_{nf}}{\mu_{bf}} = 123\varphi^2 + 7.3\varphi + 1
\]  

(3)

The thermal conductivity ratio \( k_r \) is calculated from

\[
k_r = \frac{k_{nf}}{k_{bf}} = 4.97\varphi^2 + 2.27\varphi + 1
\]  

(4)

Therefore, in our simulations the properties of nanofluids are temperature-dependent. Table 1. shows the properties of nanofluids at the inlet of internal pipe.

5. MATHEMATICAL CALCULATIONS

In the present study, the \( \gamma \)-Al₂O₃ nanoparticles dispersed in DI water were used to investigate the convective heat transfer coefficient and Nusselt number of the nanofluids. Thus, it can be calculated from the following equations:

The heat transfer rate into the cooling water \( Q_c \) is defined as:

\[
Q_{bf} = \dot{m}_{bf}C_p\Delta T_{bf}
\]  

(5)
The heat transfer rate into the nanofluid \((Q_{nf})\) is defined as:

\[
Q_{nf} = \dot{m}_{nf} \cdot C_{p_{nf}} \Delta T_{nf}
\]  

(6)

The heat transfer coefficient \((h)\) and the Nusselt number \((Nu)\) of the cooling water are computed from the following equations:

\[
h = \frac{Q_w}{A_z(T_W-T_f)}
\]  

(7)

\[Nu = \frac{hD}{k}
\]  

(8)

where \(T_l = \frac{T_{in}+T_{out}}{2}\)

(9)

The mathematical heat transfer coefficient \((h_{nf})\) and Nusselt number of the nanofluid \((Nu_{nf})\) are computed from the following equations:

\[
h_{nf} = \frac{Q_{nf}}{A_z(T_{w}-T_{f,nf})}
\]  

(10)

\[Nu_{nf} = \frac{h_{nf}D}{k_{nf}}
\]  

(11)

The Reynolds number of cooling water \((Re)\) and nanofluid \((Re_{nf})\) are obtained by the following equations:

\[Re = \frac{\rho_c v_c D_i}{\mu_w}
\]  

(12)

\[Re_{nf} = \frac{\rho_{nf} v_c D_i}{\mu_{nf}}
\]  

(13)

6. GOVERNING EQUATIONS

The basic equations that describe the flow and heat are conservation of mass, momentum and energy equations. These equations describe two-dimensional, turbulent and incompressible flow takes which the following forms, Arnal, 1982.

The assumptions that used for the instantaneous equation are:-

1- Steady, two-dimensional, incompressible flow, single phase flow, non-viscous, no slip, irrotational.
2- Cylindrical coordinate.
3- Thermal equilibrium between the nanoparticles and base fluid.

(i) Conservation of Mass

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\rho u) + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\rho rv) = 0
\]  

(14)

(ii) Momentum Equations

u-momentum \((z\text{-direction})\)
\[
\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\rho r u u) + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\rho r u v) = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{r} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\rho \mu_{eff} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\rho \mu_{eff} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}) \right] + S_u
\]

\[\text{(15)}\]

\[
\text{vv-momentum (r-direction)}
\]

\[
\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\rho r u v) + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\rho r v v) = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\rho \mu_{eff} \frac{\partial v}{\partial z}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\rho \mu_{eff} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r}) \right] - \frac{\Gamma v}{r^2} + S_v
\]

\[\text{(16)}\]

**(iii) Energy Equation**

\[
\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\rho r u T) + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\rho r v T) = -\frac{1}{r} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (r \Gamma'_T \frac{\partial T}{\partial z}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r \Gamma'_T \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}) \right] + G - \rho \varepsilon
\]

\[\text{(17)}\]

The turbulence model utilized in this analysis is the two equation k-Epsilon model. This model is utilized for its proven accuracy in heat exchanger analysis and for its applicability to confined fluid flow. (k- \( \varepsilon \)) Turbulence Model is one of the most widely used turbulence models is the two-equation model of kinetic energy (k) and its dissipation rate (\( \varepsilon \)). The turbulence according to, **Launder and Spalding, 1972** is assumed to be characterized by its kinetic energy and dissipation rate (\( \varepsilon \)), where

**(i) Turbulence Energy, k**

\[
\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\rho r u k) + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\rho r v k) = \frac{1}{r} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (r \Gamma'_k \frac{\partial k}{\partial z}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r \Gamma'_k \frac{\partial k}{\partial r}) \right] + G - \rho \varepsilon
\]

\[\text{(18)}\]

**(ii) Energy Dissipation Rate, \( \varepsilon \)**

\[
\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\rho r u \varepsilon) + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\rho r v \varepsilon) = \frac{1}{r} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (r \Gamma'_\varepsilon \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial z}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r \Gamma'_\varepsilon \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial r}) \right] + C_1 \frac{\varepsilon}{k} G - C_2 \rho \frac{\varepsilon^2}{k}
\]

\[\text{(19)}\]

where

\[
G = \mu_t \left[ 2 \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right)^2 \right] + \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right)^2 + S_G
\]

\[\text{(20)}\]

\( S_G \) given by, **Ideriah, 1975**.

\[
S_G = -\frac{2}{3} \mu_t \left[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right] - \frac{2}{3} \rho k \left[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right]
\]

\[\text{(21)}\]

Also, \( \mu_t = \rho c_\mu k^2 / \varepsilon \)

\[\text{(22)}\]

The values of the empirical constant used here are given in **Table 2, Launder and Spalding, 1974**.

**7. COMPUTATIONAL METHODOLOGY**

Computational fluid dynamics (CFD) simulation is performed to analyze the heat transfer in heat exchanger. A commercially available CFD code, **Fluent 6.3.26, 2009**, was used to perform all simulations. Fluent is a pressure based flow solver that can be used with structured or unstructured grids. An unstructured grid was used for the study. Solutions were obtained by numerically solving the Navier-Stokes and energy equation through a control volume technique. All geometric construction and meshing were performed with (GAMBIT v2.4.6) program.
The meshing of the three-dimensional model starts with the completion of the geometric construction and assembly by using gambit program. During the building of these models it is critical to take into account the unique geometry and post-processing that will occur after a solution is obtained in fluent program, as this can significantly influence how a model is put together. Model construction, assembly and meshing require a trial and error approach that many times needs multiple iterations before a good geometry and mesh can be developed. Generally, the geometry is created from the ground up meaning that points are placed in the model with lines being drawn between points. Faces are made from lines and finally volumes emerge from the assembly of faces. Fig. 2 shows the mesh of the test rigs of heat exchanger at tetrahedral cells.

Pressure and velocity were coupled with the Semi-Implicit Method for Pressure Linked Equations (SIMPLE) algorithm. SIMPLE uses a relationship between velocity and pressure corrections to obtain mass conservation and a pressure field.

Before starting any computations Fluent requires the flow to be initialized based on some condition within the model. This initialization process acts as an initial guess to the solution flow field. For all computational simulations the flow field is initialized by the inlet conditions of the cold water to start run the model.

Several times a solution could not be obtained due to the inability of the residual values to converge to an appropriate level. In cases where this issue is prevalent it is possible to adjust under-relaxation factors. The segregated solver uses under-relaxation to control the update of computed variables at iterations. Default values are generally set by Fluent to meet the demands of the widest range of flow scenarios. Unfortunately, these values do not always provide converged residual values for the models being investigated, so they are changed from their defaults values of 0.3, 0.7, 1.0, 0.8, 0.8 and 1.0 for pressure, momentum, energy, turbulent kinetic energy, turbulent dissipation rate and viscosity, respectively, to 0.2, 0.5, 0.8, 0.5, 0.5 and 0.9.

Solution convergence means that the results are essentially constant from iteration to iteration, and verifying this is a critical step to achieving accurate results. Convergence is declared on the basis of the following strict criteria: (i) global mass and energy imbalances drop below 0.01%; (ii) the flow field is unchanging, based on observation of profiles of velocity, pressure, temperature, and turbulence quantities in critical areas. There is no exact rule for determining when a solution is complete.

Fluent has defaults values of convergence set to \(10^{-3}\) for all quantities except energy, which is set to \(10^{-6}\). During this study the residuals were required to drop to values of \(10^{-4}\), with the exception of energy, which was required to reach \(10^{-7}\). Fig. 3 shows scaled residuals of continuity, momentum, energy, k and \(\epsilon\) as the solution progresses to convergence around 2500 iterations to reach the specified convergence levels.

8. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The nanopowder \(\gamma\)-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) with a base fluid of DI water with four different particles volume fractions of 1%, 2%, 3% and 4% and four different velocities of 0.2, 0.3, 0.4 and 0.5 \(\text{m/s}\) under turbulent flow regime were investigated in concentric counter flow heat exchanger to study the heat transfer enhancement due to nanofluids.

Before initiating systematic numerical on nanofluids, the reliability and accuracy of numerical measurements, were tested using DI water alone. Numerical results were compared with the prediction of Dittus-Boelter correlation, Incropera and DeWitt, 2009, shown below.

\[
Nu = 0.023 Re^{0.8} Pr^n
\]

for \(1.5 \leq Pr \leq 500; \; 10^4 \leq Re \leq 10^6\)  

where \(n = 0.4\) for heating.  

\[(23)\]
Fig. 4 displays the calculated Nusselt number for pure water at various Reynolds numbers that compared with the equation given by Dittus–Boelter and found bad agreement because the high Reynolds number that deals with this correlation above $10^4$. (The conditions in present study resemble at the conditions in the equation 23), but when compared with Gnielinski equation, Bejan, 1993.

$$Nu = 0.012 \left( Re^{0.87} - 280 \right) Pr^{0.4}$$

for $7 \leq Pr \leq 500$; $3 \times 10^3 \leq Re \leq 10^6$ \hspace{1cm} \hspace{1cm} \hspace{1cm} (24)

As it is shown an excellent agreement is observed with maximum deviation and average deviation of computed values from theoretical equation being 9 and 2%, respectively, over the range of the Reynolds numbers studied. This correlation given by Gnielinski equation over the traditional Dittus–Boelter equation, because the errors are usually limited to about ±10%. Also the last point in fluent shows higher than in Gnielinski, equation over 7000 Reynolds numbers because the higher separation flow at the velocity exit.

Fig. 5 indicates the comparison between the Nusselt number of nanofluids at four different particles volume fractions and four different volume flow rates at Reynolds number in the range 3000 and 7500 with that of DI water. The numerical results indicate that the Nusselt number of nanofluid is increased by increasing both the Reynolds number and particles volume fraction.

At 1% volume fraction, the Nusselt number is increased from 19.6 to 64.32 by increasing Reynolds number from 3000 to 7500, which are higher than those obtained for DI water alone by 1% and 10.5% respectively. At 2% volume fraction, the Nusselt number is increased from 19.65 to 65.2 by increasing the Reynolds number from 3000 to 7500, which are higher than those obtained for DI water alone by about 3% and 12.3%. For 3% volume fraction the Nusselt number increased from 20.576 to 66.2 by increasing Reynolds number from 3000 to 7000, these values are higher than the values of DI water by 5% and 15.3% respectively. At particles volume fraction of 4% the Nusselt number increased from 20.63 to 67.3 by increasing Reynolds number from 2800 to 7100, these values are higher than the values of DI water by 8.5% and 23.5% respectively.

Fig. 6 indicates the contours of temperature distribution along the inner tube of cold flow at Reynolds number 6000 of pure water. This figure shows increasing in temperatures when the fluid moved toward the exit to record higher temperatures at the end tube (cold exit) when the hot flow input at high temperature.

Fig. 7 illustrates the dimensionless Nu that presented by calculating the ratio $(Nu_{nf}/Nu_{w})$ at different volume fraction that increased from 1% to 4%, and different Reynolds numbers. The dimensionless Nu is at $\phi = 1/0$ is found to increase from 1.0125 to 1.09 by increasing the Reynolds number from 3000 to 7500. For $\phi = 2/0$ the dimensionless Nu value is 1.02 to 1.1 at Reynolds number of 3000 and 7500 respectively. Also at $\phi = 3/0$, the dimensionless Nu value is increased from 1.033 to 1.105 at Reynolds numbers of 3000 and 7500 respectively. At the highest particle volume fraction used of 4/0, the dimensionless Nu is increased from 1.045 to 1.125 when the Reynolds number is increased from 3000 to 7500.

Fig. 8 displays the comparison between heat transfer coefficient of DI water and nanofluid for four different particle volume fractions at varies Reynolds numbers. The fluent results indicate that the heat transfer coefficient is increased by increasing both the Reynolds number and particles volume fraction. The heat transfer coefficient (HTC) at $\phi = 1/0$ is found to increase from 801.5 to 2645.3 by increasing the Reynolds number from 3000 to 7500. For $\phi = 2/0$ the HTC value are 830.4 and 2723 at Reynolds number of 3000 and 7500 respectively. At $\phi = 3/0$, the HTC values are 896.77 and 2847.7 at Reynolds numbers of 3000 and 7500 respectively. At the highest particle volume fraction used of 4/0, the HTC is increased from 912.6 to 2990 when the Reynolds number is increased from 3000 to 7500. Therefore, a better heat transfer is achieved and hence the relative gain in heat transfer is higher at lower Reynolds number for a fixed concentration.
The numerical values of Nusselt number with different particle volume fractions and Reynolds numbers are compared with empirical correlation, Vajjha, et al., 2010, that presented in Fig.9. The boundary conditions, Reynolds number range, base fluid and particles material for the correlation used in the comparison are given in Table 3.

\[ Nu_{nf} = 0.065(Re_{nf}^{0.65} - 60.22)(1 + 0.0169\phi^{0.15})Pr_{nf}^{0.542} \] (25)

Fig.9 shows the comparison in particle volume fractions of 1%, 2%, 3% and 4% respectively. The numerical results show a good agreement with, Vajjha et al., 2010, correlation at volume fractions of 1%, 2% and 3% as shown in Fig.9. The deviation is found to increase with increasing Reynolds number. However, at volume fractions of 4%, the deviation is increased, which may be caused by several effects such as different in configuration of the test section and boundary condition.

9. CONCLUSION
In this study, the flow and heat transfer characteristics of Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}-water nanofluids for a range of the Reynolds number (3000 to 7500) with a range of volume concentration (1 to 4%) are studied numerically.

- The results show that both the Nusselt number and the heat transfer coefficient of nanofluid are strongly dependent on nanoparticles and increase by increasing of the volume concentration of nanoparticles.
- A good agreement is obtained between the numerical data for water and the results obtained from Gnielinski correlation with a maximum deviation of about 3%.
- The heat transfer coefficient and Nusselt number are increased by increasing Reynolds number.
- Numerical results indicate that the minimum enhancement in Nusselt number and heat transfer coefficient are 1.5% and 4% respectively at Reynolds number of 3000 and particles volume fraction of 1%.
- Numerical results indicate that the maximum enhancement in Nusselt number and heat transfer coefficient are 9.5% and 13.5% respectively at Reynolds number of 7500 and particles volume fraction of 4%.
- These results are in good agreement with correlation of, Vajjha et al., 2010.
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# NOMENCLATURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Dimension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>m$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_s$</td>
<td>circumference area ($A_s = \pi DL$)</td>
<td>m$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_p$</td>
<td>specific heat at constant pressure</td>
<td>kJ/kg.K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>pressure</td>
<td>Pa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re</td>
<td>Reynolds number ($Re=\rho UD/\mu$)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nu</td>
<td>Nusselt number ($h_d/k$)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>temperature</td>
<td>°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>u,v</td>
<td>velocity component in r, z respectively</td>
<td>m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>Prandtl number</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_{in}$</td>
<td>mass flow rate</td>
<td>kg/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_r$</td>
<td>viscosity ratio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>thermal conductivity</td>
<td>W/m.K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>heat transfer rate</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Gamma$</td>
<td>diffusion coefficient</td>
<td>N.s/m$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U_h$</td>
<td>velocity at hot loop</td>
<td>m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U_c$</td>
<td>velocity at cold loop</td>
<td>m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_k$</td>
<td>temperature of hot gases</td>
<td>°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_c$</td>
<td>temperature at cold loop</td>
<td>°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_h$</td>
<td>temperature at hot loop</td>
<td>°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r,z</td>
<td>cylindrical coordinate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Length</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>diameter</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Greek Letters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\Phi$</td>
<td>particles volume fraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon$</td>
<td>rate of dissipation of kinetic energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu$</td>
<td>viscosity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Subscript

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>nf</td>
<td>Nanofluid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bf</td>
<td>base fluid (water)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>cold flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>hot flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>Film</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w</td>
<td>Wall</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Abbreviation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DI</td>
<td>de ionized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTC</td>
<td>heat transfer coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIMPLE</td>
<td>semi-implicit method for pressure linked equation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1. Properties of nanofluids at the inlet of the inner pipe.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of fluid</th>
<th>φ(%)</th>
<th>ρ(kg/m³)</th>
<th>μ(Pa.s)×10⁻³</th>
<th>Cp(J/kg.K)</th>
<th>K&lt;sub&gt;eff&lt;/sub&gt;(W/m.K)</th>
<th>Pr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pure water</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>998.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4182</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;/water</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1027.4</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>4046.96</td>
<td>0.617</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;/water</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1057.2</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>3922.46</td>
<td>0.635</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;/water</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1086.9</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>3804.78</td>
<td>0.653</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;/water</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1116.6</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>3693.36</td>
<td>0.671</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Values of constants in the (k-ε) model, *Lauder and Spalding, 1972.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C&lt;sub&gt;μ&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>C&lt;sub&gt;D&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>C&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>C&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>σ&lt;sub&gt;k&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>σ&lt;sub&gt;ε&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Nanofluids, boundary conditions and Reynolds range for the correlation used in the comparison, *Vajjha et al., 2010.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Nanofluids</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Re range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vajjha et al.</td>
<td>Cu, SiO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;, Al&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Turbulent</td>
<td>3000-16000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Heat exchanger geometry.
Figure 2. Heat exchanger mesh with tetrahedral cell.
Figure 3. Typical residual convergence for heat exchanger model at Re=6000.

Figure 4. Comparison between the computed values of the Nusselt numbers and the equations given by Gnielinski and Dittus-Boelter for water.
Figure 5. Comparison between dimensionless Nusselt/Prandtl numbers of nanofluids and DI water.

![Graph showing comparison between Nusselt/Prandtl numbers for pure water and nanofluids]

Figure 6. Contours of temperature distribution along the inner tube at Re=6000 of pure water.

![Diagram showing temperature distribution along the inner tube]

Enter cold flow

Exit cold flow
Figure 7. The ratio of $(Nu_{nf}/Nu_w)$ at various Reynolds numbers and particles volume fractions.

Figure 8. Heat transfer coefficient of nanofluids an DI water at various particles volume fractions and Reynolds numbers.
Figure 9. Comparison between present study and empirical correlation for Vajjha et al. at volume concentrations 1%, 2%, 3% and 4% of nanofluids.