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Abstract

This research was performed to study the effect of some physical & chemical material which found domestically in order to control the growth of *E. sakazakii*. The effect of different concentrations of NaCl exhibit that 3.5% of the salt killed the bacteria after 30 min of incubation, while the low concentration showed a less inhibition in numbers of cells.

In using different concentrations of chlorine showed that 6% concentration was effective in killing the bacteria in 10 min, while 9% conc. destroyed the bacteria in 5 min. when using different conc. of citric acid reaching pH: 5 & 3 bacterial growth inhibited to low levels in comparing the control. And when we use NaOH to gain a pH: 9 & 11 bacterial growth were inhibited to 40 & 25 colony forming unit/ml after 30 min. of incubation.

Introduction

*Enterobacter sakazakii* causes different diseases such as: meningitis, enterocolitis and septicemia especially for neonates and infants (less than 6 month) which were fed by prepared infant formula [1], the rate of mortality was very high which estimated between 40-80% [2], while in recovered persons a severe neurological sequelae has been occurred [2]. This bacteria was also found in blood and urine specimens of adult patients suffering from: osteomyelitis, ophthalmic infection, endocarditis and urinary tract infection [3]. This bacteria was also isolated from feeding bottles and instrument which used for infant preparing formula in hospitals and nurseries [1] the bacteria was also isolated from fruits, vegetables, meat and dairy product [4].

For these reasons this study was proposed in order to study the effect of domestic materials to control bacterial growth in houses, nurseries, and hospitals.

Material and Methods

This bacteria was isolated from different food samples (500 g weight for each) such as: lettuce, cabbages, green vegetables, cucumber, tomato, local Arab cheese and minced meat all these food were taken from local markets.

The isolation method was done according to FDA [5]:

A-) presumptive test by using EE broth (Enterobacteriaceae enrichment broth).

B-) Identification of the isolates was accomplished on VRBG media (Violate red bile glucose agar).

C-) Step B was repeated to confirm the test.

D-) Cultivation was achieved on tryptic soya agar by direct streaking.

E-) For positive identification of *E. sakazakii* Oxidase test must be included. Then several biochemical tests were done such as:

1-) **Effect of Sodium chloride (NaCl)**

The effect of different concentrations of NaCl was studied by taking 6 tubes containing Potassium Phosphate buffer and NaCl was added in a final concentration: 3.5%, 2.5%, 1.5%, 0.9%, and 0.5%. One tube was left without NaCl as a control.

The tubes was sterilized and 0.1 ml of bacterial culture (18 hr. age) was added. All tubes were incubated at 37°C for 10, 20, and 30 min.

There after bacteria was counted by spreading on TSA (Tryptic soya Agar) [6].

2-) **Effect of chlorine**

Four flasks were taken, each flask contain 20 ml sterilized distilled water where the chlorine was added in a final concentration of: 3%, 6%, 9%, while the 4th one was left without addition as a control, then was added to each flask 0.1 ml of bacterial suspension which was cultivated in brain heart infusion broth (18 hr. age). All flasks were incubated at 25°C and for 1, 5, 10 min. time interval through that total viable count was done by
using sterile peptone water for the dilution and 
Trypic Soya Agar for the bacterial count [1].

*Note:
  Chlorine used: Is fast (from Babylon 
company for making soaps and detergent 
limited - Baghdad) 
  Total concentration was 6.2%.

3-) **Effect of pH** :

A-) **Preparing alkaline media** :
  Three test tubes were taken and each one 
  contained 9 ml of potassium phosphate 
  buffer then pH was adjusted in the test 
  tubes by adding sodium hydroxide [2 M] 
  to be: 
  1\(^{st}\) tube pH 9, 
  2\(^{nd}\) tube pH 11, 
  control 3\(^{rd}\) tube pH 7 
  The tubes were sterilized and left until use.

B-) **Preparing acid media** :
  Two tubes were taken: each one 
  contained 9 ml of potassium phosphate 
  buffer. The pH was adjusted by citric 
  acid to be: 
  1\(^{st}\) tube pH 5 
  2\(^{nd}\) tube pH 3 
  The tubes sterilized and left until use.

To the A and B tubes 0.1 ml of bacterial 
suspension (18 hr. old) was added. All tubes 
were incubated at 21\(^{\circ}\) C, and bacterial viable 
count was done at: 5, 10, 20, 30 min. by 
spreading on TSA media [7].

**Result and Discussion**

1-) **Isolation and diagnosis of bacteria**

A-) Colonies of *E. sakazakii* appeared purple 
  surrounded by a purple halo of 
  precipitated bile acids on VRBG agar 
  [5].

B-) Colonies of the same bacteria appeared 
  yellow pigmented colonies on TSA 
  media [5].

C-) This bacteria gave a positive oxidase test 
  and it is an important test because it 
  distinguish between this bacteria and 
  *Enterobacter cloacae* which was 
  oxidase negative, and this proved by 
  Nazarowee et al (8) and they proved 
  also that *E. sakazakii* and *E. cloacae* are 
  different from each other genetically.

D-) Eight isolate were chosen from 
  different kinds of food to study the 
  effect of different chemical agents.

2-) **Effect of Sodium chloride (NaCl)** :

It was noticed from Fig.(1) that the 
  bacteria was in its best growth at 0.9% of 
  NaCl. Results revealed that numbers of 
  bacteria were much more than control 
  numbers, but when salt concentration was 
  increased the numbers began to decrease till it 
  disappeared at the concentration 3.5% of 
  the salt and after 30 min of incubation, while 
  Lambert et al (6) mentioned that the bacteria 
  resist salt concentration till 12% then it began 
  to die, this different may be because the 
  bacteria in laboratory was cultivated in 
  phosphate buffer media while Lambert et al 
  (6) cultivated it on all organic media, also, 
  Lambert et al (6) noticed that the initial 
  numbers and exposure time to salt affected cell 
  resistant.

  The effect of salt on bacterial cell was due 
  to increase in salt concentration would led to 
  osmotic shock to the cells [6]. Also Lambert et 
  al (9) mentioned that the reason of growth 
  inhibited may be because of chloride ion.
3-) **Effect of chloride ion:**

It was noticed from Fig.(2) that even in low concentrations of chlorine there was a clear inhibition of bacterial growth even in short time incubation, and when the concentration was increased to 6% [which is used domestically] the growth disappeared after 10 min. of exposure to chlorine, and when increase to 9% bacterial growth was disappered after 5 min., that is similar to (10) who said that washing fruits, vegetables, Apples, Tomato and lettuce with chlorine solution [10 Mm/ml] for 1-5 min. was enough to kill the bacteria on apple and tomato and decrease the numbers of bacteria on lettuce. The effect of chlorine on bacterial count attributed to the release of tissue juices which increased the concentration of soluble organic materials available for reaction with chlorine [11], also [11] mentioned that resistant of bacteria to detergents depend basically on:

\[
\text{Cl}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{HCl} + \text{HClO} \rightarrow \text{HCl} + \text{O}
\]

The Oxygen released in this reaction is a strong oxidizing agent and through its action cellular constituents will be destroyed [A].

4-) **Effect of pH**

It was noticed from Fig. (3) that the highest growth was in pH 7 but when it increased to 9 and 11, bacterial growth decrease until the end of incubation time which was 30 min., and we noticed the same thing at pH 5 and pH 3 the bacterial count decrease to 10 CFU/ml at the end of incubation, this result agreed with Edelson et al (12) who mentioned that the bacteria resist acid effect for 5 hr. at 36° C. Also Mendonca et al (13) revealed that the high or low concentration of acid had a quick damage to pathogenic gram negative bacteria, also they mentioned that there is a direct relationship between primal release of materials and death of cells, they noticed that cells at pH 12 began to die and lysis while gram positive bacteria didn’t reveal that. Also Mendonca et al (13) noticed that the causes of damage of pathogenic gram negative bacteria due to cytoplasmic membrane rupture. Also Kim and Beuchat [6] reported that the effect of pH on bacterial cell depends on:

Age of bacterial cell, ingredients of media, temperature and time of exposure to acid or alkaline.
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