Maximum Likelihood and Moment Estimation for the Exponentiated Pareto Distribution Based on Fuzzy Data

Abstract:
Maximum likelihood and moment methods of estimation are used for estimating the shape parameter $\beta$ reliability and hazard functions of exponentiated pareto distribution based on fuzzy data. Using the Monte-Carlo simulation for comparison the moment and maximum likelihood estimators of shape parameter, reliability and hazard functions according to the MSE values with four different cases, initial values of shape parameter and three sample sizes.
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1. Introduction: In non-Bayesian estimation procedures usually assumed that available data are accurate real numbers. However although, some collected data might be inaccurate and are shown in the form of fuzzy numbers.

Gupta et al. (1998) [1] was introduced the Exponentiated Pareto Distribution, EPD as a survival, time model. The EPD can have decreasing and upside-down both tub shaped failure averages depending the shape parameter.

A random variable $Y$ is said to have a two-parameter exponentiated pareto distribution if it has the following probability density (PDF) [2],

$$f_Y(y; \beta, \lambda) = \beta \lambda \left[ 1 - (1 + y)^{-\lambda} \right]^{(\beta - 1)} (1 + y)^{-(\lambda + 1)}; y > 0, \beta, \lambda > 0 \quad ... (1)$$

where $\beta$ and $\lambda$ are the parameters. The cumulative distribution function CDF, reliability and hazard functions are given respectively by:

$$F_Y(y; \beta, \lambda) = \left[ 1 - (1 + y)^{-\lambda} \right]^\beta; y \geq 0, \beta, \lambda > 0 \quad ... (2)$$

$$R(y) = 1 - \left[ 1 - (1 + y)^{-\lambda} \right]^{\beta}; y \geq 0, \beta, \lambda > 0 \quad ... (3)$$

and

$$h(y) = \frac{\beta \lambda \left[ 1 - (1 + y)^{-\lambda} \right]^{(\beta - 1)} (1 + y)^{-(\lambda + 1)}}{1 - \left[ 1 - (1 + y)^{-\lambda} \right]^{\beta}}; y \geq 0, \beta, \lambda > 0 \quad ... (4)$$
2. Definitions

**Def. (1)** [3]: A fuzzy number $\tilde{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ with the following membership function is called the triangular fuzzy number

$$
\mu_{\tilde{x}}(x) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{x-x_1}{x_2-x_1} ; & x_1 \leq x \leq x_2 \\
\frac{x_2-x}{x_3-x_1} ; & x_2 \leq x \leq x_3 \\
0 ; & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \quad \ldots (5)
$$

**Def. (2)** [4]: Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be a probability space, where $\Omega$ is the sample space, $\mathcal{F}$ is the event space, and $P$ is probability function then.

$$
P(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}) = \int_{\Omega} \mu_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}(x) dP = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mu_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}(x) f(x) dx \quad \ldots (6)
$$

where $dP(x) = f(x)dx$

Now, let $P$ is the probability distribution of a continuous random variable $Z$ with p.d.f. $\psi(z)$. The conditional density of a crisp subset $Z$ given $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is given by:

$$
\psi(z|\tilde{\mathcal{F}}) = \frac{\mu_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}(z) \psi(z)}{\int \mu_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}(u)\psi(u)du} \quad \ldots (7)
$$

**Def. (3)** [5]: Given a random experiment $(A, \mathcal{F}, P)$, then a fuzzy information system (FIS) $S$ associated with the experiment $E$ is a fuzzy partition $\tilde{A} = \{\tilde{x}_1, \ldots, \tilde{x}_k\}$ of $A = \mathbb{R}^n$, i.e., a set of $I$ fuzzy events on $A$ satisfying orthogonality condition,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{I} \mu_{\tilde{x}_i}(x) = 1 \quad \text{for all } x \in A
$$

Where $\mu_{\tilde{x}_i}$ denotes the grade of membership of $\tilde{x}_i$.

3. Estimation

In this section we first estimate the shape parameter and the second estimate its reliability and hazard functions respectively using (1) Maximum likelihood Method and (2) Moment Method as follows.

**First: shape Parameter $\beta$**

1) **Maximum likelihood Method**

Maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) is this value of the parameter that maximizes the natural log-likelihood function. Let $y$ be a random vector of a random sample, which contain i.i.d. random vectors of size $n$ from EPD with pdf given by equation (1). And suppose that $y$ is not observed exactly (precisely) and only partial information is available in the form of a fuzzy subset $\tilde{y}$ with the measurable membership function $\mu_{\tilde{y}}(x)$ (see Pak2013 [3]).

The observed-data likelihood function and its natural log for the EPD using the expression (6) can be obtained, respectively as:

$$
L(\beta, \lambda|\tilde{y}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \int f(y; \beta, \lambda) \mu_{\tilde{y}_i}(y) dy
$$

$$
\Rightarrow L(\beta, \lambda|\tilde{y}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \int \beta \lambda [1 - (1 + y)^{-\lambda}]^{(\beta-1)} (1 + y)^{-(\lambda+1)} \mu_{\tilde{y}_i}(y) dy \quad \ldots (8)
$$
\[ \ell(\beta, \lambda|\bar{y}) = \ln L(\beta, \lambda|\bar{y}) \]
\[ = n \ln \beta + n \ln \lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln \left[ \int [1 - (1 + y)^{-\lambda}]^{(\beta - 1)}(1 + y)^{-(\lambda + 1)} \mu_{\bar{y}_i}(y)dy \right] \ldots (9) \]

Now, assuming that the parameter \( \beta \) is unknown and \( \lambda \) is known.

To maximize function (9), differentiating this equation (9), partially with respect to \( \beta \), and then set the resulting equal to 0.

\[ \frac{\partial \ell(\beta, \lambda|\bar{y})}{\partial \beta} = \frac{n}{\beta} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\int [1 - (1 + y)^{-\lambda}]^{(\beta - 1)}(1 + y)^{-(\lambda + 1)} \ln[1 - (1 + y)^{-\lambda}] \mu_{\bar{y}_i}(y)dy}{\int [1 - (1 + y)^{-\lambda}]^{(\beta - 1)}(1 + y)^{-(\lambda + 1)} \mu_{\bar{y}_i}(y)dy} \]
\[ = 0 \ldots (10) \]

Since there is no closed form of the equation (10), then, Newton Raphson iterative techniques can be used to obtain the solution [3].

As we knew that the steps of the Newton Raphson algorithm are as follows:

Step(1). Let \( \hat{\beta}^{(i)} \) be the parameter from the \( i^{th} \) step.
Step(2). At the \((i + 1)^{th}\) step, \( \hat{\beta}^{(i+1)} \) is obtained as:

\[ \hat{\beta}^{(i+1)} = \hat{\beta}^{(i)} - \frac{\frac{\partial \ell(\beta, \lambda; \bar{y})}{\partial \beta}}{\frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta, \lambda; \bar{y})}{\partial \beta^2}} \mid_{i} \ldots (11) \]

Where,

\[ \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta, \lambda; \bar{y})}{\partial \beta^2} = -\frac{n}{\beta^2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\int [1 - (1 + y)^{-\lambda}]^{(\beta - 1)}(1 + y)^{-(\lambda + 1)} \ln[1 - (1 + y)^{-\lambda}] \mu_{\bar{y}_i}(y)dy}{\int [1 - (1 + y)^{-\lambda}]^{(\beta - 1)}(1 + y)^{-(\lambda + 1)} \mu_{\bar{y}_i}(y)dy} \]
\[ - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\int [1 - (1 + y)^{-\lambda}]^{(\beta - 1)}(1 + y)^{-(\lambda + 1)} \ln[1 - (1 + y)^{-\lambda}] \mu_{\bar{y}_i}(y)dy}{\int [1 - (1 + y)^{-\lambda}]^{(\beta - 1)}(1 + y)^{-(\lambda + 1)} \mu_{\bar{y}_i}(y)dy} \right)^2 \ldots (12) \]

3. Repeat step (2) until the convergence occurs, i.e. \( \exists \) pre-fixed \( \epsilon > 0 \) \( \forall \hat{\beta}^{(i+1)} - \hat{\beta}^{(i)} < \epsilon \)

Now, \( \hat{\beta}_{ML} \) refereed as the maximum likelihood estimates of \( \beta \) via NR algorithm.

2) Moment Method

The moment estimate for \( \beta \) of the EPD can be found by the following equation which is obtained by equating the first population moment to the corresponding sample moments, that is:

\[ A_1(\beta) - 1 = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_{\beta, \lambda}(Y|\bar{y}_i) \ldots (13) \]

Where

\[ A_1(\beta) = \beta B\left(\beta, 1 - \frac{1}{\lambda}\right), \lambda > 1 \]

and, \( B(n, m) \) is beta function defined as:
B(n, m) = \int_0^1 y^{n-1} (1 - y)^{m-1} dy

\Rightarrow \hat{\beta}_{mo} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} E_{\beta,\lambda}(0|\tilde{y}_i) + 1}{B(\beta, 1 + \lambda)} \quad \ldots(14)

Note that, the direct form of the solution to equation (13) could not be obtained. So, using an iterative numerical process as described below we can obtain the \( \hat{\beta} \) estimate.

1. Given initial value of the shape parameter \( \beta \) say \( \beta^{(0)} \) and set the iteration \( i=0 \),
2. At \( (i + 1)^{th} \) iteration, using the expression (7) to compute \( E_{\beta,\lambda}(Y|\tilde{y}_i) \),

\[ E_{\beta,\lambda}(Y|\tilde{y}_i) = \frac{\int y [1 - (1 + y)^{-\lambda}]^{(\beta^{(i)}-1)} (1 + y)^{-(\lambda+1)} \mu_{\tilde{y}_i}(y) dy}{\int [1 - (1 + y)^{-\lambda}]^{(\beta^{(i)}-1)}(1 + y)^{-(\lambda+1)} \mu_{\tilde{y}_i}(y) dy} \]

3. Obtain the solution \( \beta^{(i+1)} \) from the equation (13),
4. Setting \( i = i + 1 \), repeat 2 and 3 until convergence occurs,

\( \hat{\beta}_{mo} \) referred as moment estimate of \( \beta \) via moment method

**Second: Reliability and Hazard functions**

1) **Maximum likelihood Method**

Depending on the invariant property of maximum likelihood estimator of the reliability and hazard functions of EPD, denoted by \( \hat{R}_{ML}(t) \), \( \hat{h}_{ML}(t) \), can be obtained by replacing \( \beta \) in (3) and (4) by this maximum likelihood estimate as:

\[ \hat{R}_{ML}(t) = 1 - \left[ 1 - (1 + t)^{-\lambda} \right]^{\hat{\beta}_{ML}}; t \geq 0 \quad \ldots(15) \]

And,

\[ \hat{h}_{ML}(t) = \frac{\hat{\beta}_{ML} \lambda \left[ 1 - (1 + t)^{-\lambda} \right]^{(\hat{\beta}_{ML}-1)} (1 + t)^{-(\lambda+1)}}{1 - \left[ 1 - (1 + t)^{-\lambda} \right]^{\hat{\beta}_{ML}}}; t \geq 0 \quad \ldots(16) \]

2) **Moment Method**

Depending on the moment estimate of the shape parameter \( \beta \), the approximated moment estimators of the reliability and hazard functions of EPD at time \( t \) denoted by \( \hat{R}_{Mo}(t) \), \( \hat{h}_{Mo}(t) \), can be obtained by replacing \( \beta \) in equations (3) and (4) by this moment estimate as:

\[ \hat{R}_{Mo}(t) = 1 - \left[ 1 - (1 + t)^{-\lambda} \right]^{\hat{\beta}_{Mo}}; t \geq 0 \quad \ldots(17) \]

And,

\[ \hat{h}_{Mo}(t) = \frac{\hat{\beta}_{Mo} \lambda \left[ 1 - (1 + t)^{-\lambda} \right]^{(\hat{\beta}_{Mo}-1)} (1 + t)^{-(\lambda+1)}}{1 - \left[ 1 - (1 + t)^{-\lambda} \right]^{\hat{\beta}_{Mo}}}; t \geq 0 \quad \ldots(18) \]
4. Simulation Study

In trying to illustrate and compare the estimators obtained in above, using MATLAB (R2010b) program, we generated (100) samples of size $n = 10, 20, 40, 80$ and $100$ to represent small, moderate and large sample sizes from the EPD, with four values of $\beta(\beta = 0.5, 1, 2, 3)$ when $\lambda = 2$. The mean is used to be the initial value required for proceeding algorithms. Then, each observation of $y$ was made fuzzied based on an appropriate selected membership function as in FIS shown in figure (1).

\[
\mu_{y_1}(y) = \begin{cases} 
1 & ; \ y \leq 0.05 \\
0.25 - y & ; \ 0.05 \leq y \leq 0.25 \\
0.2 & ; \ otherwise 
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\mu_{y_2}(y) = \begin{cases} 
0.25 - y & ; \ 0.25 \leq y \leq 0.5 \\
0.25 & ; \ otherwise 
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\mu_{y_3}(y) = \begin{cases} 
0.75 - y & ; \ 0.5 \leq y \leq 0.75 \\
0.25 & ; \ otherwise 
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\mu_{y_4}(y) = \begin{cases} 
0.75 - y & ; \ 0.75 \leq y \leq 1 \\
0.25 & ; \ otherwise 
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\mu_{\tilde{y}}(y) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{y - 0.75}{0.25} & ; \ 0.75 \leq y \leq 1 \\
\frac{1.5 - y}{0.5} & ; \ 1 \leq y \leq 1.5 \\
0 & ; \ otherwise 
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\mu_{\tilde{y}_e}(y) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{y - 1}{0.5} & ; \ 1 \leq y \leq 1.5 \\
\frac{2 - y}{0.5} & ; \ 1.5 \leq y \leq 2 \\
0 & ; \ otherwise 
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\mu_{\tilde{y}_s}(y) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{y - 1.5}{0.5} & ; \ 1.5 \leq y \leq 2 \\
\frac{3 - y}{0} & ; \ 2 \leq y \leq 3 \\
0 & ; \ otherwise 
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\mu_{\tilde{y}_n}(y) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{y - 2}{1} & ; \ 2 \leq y \leq 3 \\
0 & ; \ otherwise 
\end{cases}
\]

Figure (1): FIS used to Encode the Simulated Data[3][6]
The obtained maximum likelihood and moment estimates of \( R(t) \) and \( h(t) \) with time \( t \) were compared based on average values from Mean Square Error (MSE), where:

\[
MSE(\hat{\beta}) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{L}(\hat{\beta}_j - \beta)^2}{L} \quad \text{... (19)}
\]

\[
MSE(\hat{R}(t)) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{L}(\hat{R}_j(t) - R(t))^2}{L} \quad \text{... (20)}
\]

\[
MSE(\hat{h}(t)) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{L}(\hat{h}_j(t) - h(t))^2}{L} \quad \text{... (21)}
\]

\( \hat{\beta}_j \) : is the estimate of \( \beta \) at the \( j \)th run.
\( L \): is the number of sample replicated.
\( \hat{R}_j(t) \): is the estimates of \( R(t) \) at the \( j \)th run and \( t \) time.
\( \hat{h}_j(t) \): is the estimates of \( h(t) \) at the \( j \)th run and \( t \) time.
\( t=1 \).

The results are summarized in the following tables 1-3.

5. Simulation Results

- Tables (1,2,3) show us that the maximum likelihood estimates for \( \beta \), \( R(t) \) and \( h(t) \) are better than those moment estimates for all sample sizes and initial values of \( \beta \) expect with \( \beta = 1 \) which the moment estimates are the better.
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Table (1): MSE values for maximum likelihood and moment estimates of the parameter $\beta$ of EPD with different sample sizes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>$\hat{\beta}_{ML}$</th>
<th>$\hat{\beta}_{mo}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0218882</td>
<td>0.0922539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0142522</td>
<td>0.0898122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0071006</td>
<td>0.0870715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0031116</td>
<td>0.0799187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0026645</td>
<td>0.0798406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1321844</td>
<td>0.0655304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0489538</td>
<td>0.0300431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0244556</td>
<td>0.0139701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0131505</td>
<td>0.0096323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0126069</td>
<td>0.0078488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.3138269</td>
<td>0.4517680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1785433</td>
<td>0.3793244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1356952</td>
<td>0.3693591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1040305</td>
<td>0.3476982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1021026</td>
<td>0.3409203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.6996813</td>
<td>1.9069223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.4967565</td>
<td>1.7950724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.4669464</td>
<td>1.7875392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.4304171</td>
<td>1.7804850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.4156072</td>
<td>1.7744345</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (2): MSE values for maximum likelihood and moment estimates of the Reliability function of EPD with different sample sizes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>$\hat{R}_{ML}(t)$</th>
<th>$\hat{R}_{mo}(t)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0013385</td>
<td>0.0049877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0008454</td>
<td>0.0049607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0004223</td>
<td>0.0049072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0001886</td>
<td>0.0045516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0001616</td>
<td>0.0045471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0055964</td>
<td>0.0028468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0022631</td>
<td>0.0006691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0011814</td>
<td>0.0006202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0006313</td>
<td>0.0004287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0006035</td>
<td>0.0003509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0091329</td>
<td>0.0149349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0052512</td>
<td>0.0121409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0041008</td>
<td>0.0116758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0030708</td>
<td>0.0108702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0030077</td>
<td>0.0106364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0137271</td>
<td>0.0434820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0095524</td>
<td>0.0400790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0087346</td>
<td>0.0394727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0078842</td>
<td>0.0391142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0075650</td>
<td>0.0389303</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table (3): MSE values for maximum likelihood and moment estimates of the Hazard function of EPD with different sample sizes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>( \hat{h}_{ML}(t) )</th>
<th>( \hat{h}_{MO}(t) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0005477</td>
<td>0.0022094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0003530</td>
<td>0.0021683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0001761</td>
<td>0.0021183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0000777</td>
<td>0.0019518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0000665</td>
<td>0.0019499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0028829</td>
<td>0.0014481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0011071</td>
<td>0.0013295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0005627</td>
<td>0.0003118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0003020</td>
<td>0.0002152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0002892</td>
<td>0.0001757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0059172</td>
<td>0.0090088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0033959</td>
<td>0.0074743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0026160</td>
<td>0.0072450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0019880</td>
<td>0.0067923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0019497</td>
<td>0.0066548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0112512</td>
<td>0.0326944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0079510</td>
<td>0.0305371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0074061</td>
<td>0.0302919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0067727</td>
<td>0.0301173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0065232</td>
<td>0.0300005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>