The Biocompatibility of eugenol after an intraosseous implantation
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ABSTRACT

The biocompatibility of the Eugenol, as one of the commonest root canal filling (RCF), material was evaluated through an intraosseous implantation of this material in the mandible of the Syrian hamsters.

Forty Syrian hamsters were divided into 5 groups, 8 animals in each group, group 1 as control, and group 2, 3, 4, 5 as experimental groups.

A mixture of Eugenol and Zinc oxide as a paste was placed in that hole of each animal of the experimental groups and left for different periods, 7 days, 14 days, 21 days, and 28 days. After the hamsters were sacrificed, a histological examination of the implantation site was performed, the results showed that Eugenol elicited a severe inflammatory reaction at day 7, and this inflammatory reaction is increased to become more severe at day 14, and the reaction begin to decrease with the 21 day giving about the same histopathological picture of after 7 days to become moderate after 28 days.

Our conclusion is that the Eugenol is a biologically irritant material even after 4 weeks of its intraosseous implantation.

INTRODUCTION:

The mandible of the hamster is usually used in this type of experiments of intraosseous implantation. The intraosseous implantation method was used to study the biocompatibility of different materials used in contact with bone for a very long period of time as in RCF material. In RCF treatment the obliterating material should be shorter than the apex. The eugenol because it is a volatile oil, its effect will extend beyond apex causing different types of effects. Because of this effect the Eugenol should be studied thoroughly to perform a successful RCF treatment.
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In general, the Zinc oxide-Eugenol (Z.O.E) based sealer is one of the commonest material used in the RCF treatment. Erausquin and Muruzabal, in 1967\(^{(3)}\) found that Z.O.E based sealer was highly irritant to periapical tissue causing necrosis. This fact conflict with the findings of Barker and Locckett, in 1972.\(^{(4)}\) This study was performed to clarify the controversy concerning the effect of the Eugenol on the bone.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS:**

Forty adults male Syrian hamsters of 2 months old, weighing 105-153gms. They were fed normal diet of laboratory pellets and tap water and they were kept at room temperature of 22-25 C. The animals were divided into 5 groups: 8 animals in each group, group 1 as a control, 2, 3, 4, 5 a as experimental groups. The second group killed 1 week after operation, group 3, 2 weeks after operation, group 4, 3 weeks after operation and the last group was sacrificed 4 weeks after operation. Ketamin Hydrochloride was used as an anesthetic agent at a dose of 88 mg/kg body weight injected i.m. in the hamster leg (the lethal dose is 250mg/kg body weight). The anesthetized animals were placed on its back on a surgical board, the incision site was shaved and swabbed with alcohol (90%), an oblique incision of about 1 cm. was made in the skin at the lower borer of the mandible, periosteum was reflected and the bone was exposed (Fig.1).

**FIG 1. THE DRILLED CAVITY IN THE HAMSTER MANDIBLE**

A small cavity was made at the labial side of the mandibular bone using a slow running round bur cooled with running normal saline. The end result is a cavity of 2mm diameter X 2 mm depth (Fig 2).
The mixture of Eugenol and zinc oxide was achieved according to the manufacturer directions. The hamsters were euthanized by diethyl ether in a glass jar for 10 minutes. The mandibles were separated from the head fixed in 10% formalin for 48 hours, decalcified in formic acid. Multiple sections of 5μm were prepared and then stained with H&E.

The histological examination performed according to the following:
1- Number and type of inflammatory cells infiltration, Very severe (500-600 cell count/mm²), Severe (300-500), Moderate (150-300), Mild (1-150) & None with zero cells count. The cell observed were lymphocyte, Polymorph nuclear leukocytes, Macrophages, Multinucleated giant cells, Plasmocytes & Fibroblasts.
2- The presence or absence of bone necrosis.
3- The presence or absence of new bone formation.

RESULTS:

Control group, seven days

Hemorrhage appear around the cavity, bone sequestra appear at the surgical site with the presence of osteoclasts. The inflammatory cells were moderate, of both types, acute and chronic inflammatory cells were observed (Fig 3).
Experimental group, seven days

The Eugenol produce an inflammatory zone with the presence of acute and chronic inflammatory cells with a severe degree of inflammation (Fig 4&5)
Control group, fourteen days

All the site was surrounded with fibrous connective tissue. A new bone formation was evident. Chronic inflammatory cells were observed. (Fig 6).
Experimental group, fourteen days

The presence of signs of inflammatory cells surrounding the implantation site, large number of neutrophilic leukocytes were distinguished at the site. Considerable amount of bone destruction and an empty lacunae were seen around the implantation site with the degree of very severe inflammation. (Fig 7).

FIG 7. OSTEOCLASTIC ACTIVITY AROUND BONE SEQUESTRUM, 14 DAYS AFTER IMPLANT (X400)

Control group, twenty one days

All the drilling site was surrounded by fibrous connective tissue with a mild inflammatory reaction. A new bone formation was clear at this stage.

EXPERIMENTAL GROUP, TWENTY ONE DAYS

There was a slight decrease of the inflammatory cells was observed. Macrophages, Lymphocytes, giant cells and few neutrophils were found, (changing from acute to chronic state). Young fibroblasts and newly formed collagen fibers were evident. An osteoclastic activity was still going on. The inflammation still to be very severe. (Fig 8)

FIG 8. THE HISTOLOGICAL SECTION SHOWS OSTEOCLASTIC ACTIVITY, 21 DAYS AFTER IMPLANT (X400)
Control group, twenty eight days
The drilling site was healed with the presence of newly bone and bone marrow formation

Experimental group, twenty eight days
The site was surrounded by thicker fibrous connective tissue that include inflammatory cells. The inflammatory reaction become moderate. Number of empty lacunae reduced considerably, but still osteoclastic activity present. The degree of the inflammation was moderate and the number of chronic cells was decreased considerably. (Fig 9).

FIG 9. A HISTOLOGICAL APPEARANCE OF THE IMPLANT SITE 28 DAYS AFTER IMPLANT, FIBROUS CONNECTIVE TISSUE WITH INFLAMMATORY CELLS INFILTRATION IS SEEN ARROUND THE IMPLANT

DISCUSSION:
The mandible of the hamster was used by many investigators to study surgical problems\(^{(5,6)}\). The hamster was chosen in this experiment because the size and thickness of the mandible was suitable to carry out the drilling and implantation. The implantation of the eugenol in the bone caused a very severe reaction in the host tissue after 7 days and this condition continued for the 14 days, the inflammation was very severe with the presence of bone sequestrum and and osteoclastic activity. This finding consist with the results found by Spangberg in 1974\(^{(7)}\). He implanted Z.O.E. based sealer in the mandible of the Guinea pigs and found that a maximum necrosis within one week and persisted for 2 weeks. In 1974 Langland did implanted the Z.O.E. in the Guinea pigs mandible and found a severe tissue destruction , and severe infiltration of inflammatory cells which coincide with the results obtained in this investigation\(^{(8)}\).
The results obtained by other workers who performed the same experiments on different animals also showed the same observations\(^{(9,10)}\). These results coincide with the results obtained by many workers concerning the period 21 – 28 days\(^{(11,12,13)}\). Our results showed that even after one month still there was a moderate inflammation although the material was surrounded by a fibrous connective tissue which indicate that the implant is relatively tolerated by the host tissue.
Something interesting should be mentioned here that some researchers consider the eugenol as an analgesic agent locally used to different inflamed tissues\textsuperscript{(14)}. In addition to that the Eugenol is considered as antibiotic\textsuperscript{(15)}.

As a conclusion it seems that although the eugenol was eventually tolerated by the host tissue, it’s an irritant material when implanted intraosseously at least up to one month.
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