Efficacy of Paracetamol versus Celebrex on the Control of Post Operative Complications of Lower Third Molars

ABSTRACT

Aims: To compare the efficacy of celebrex 400 mg orally one time daily with paracetamol 1000 mg orally four times daily on reducing post operative oral complication (facial swelling, trismus and pain) after third molar surgery. Materials and Methods: A total of 45 patients (24 females, 21 males) with impacted lower third molars divided into two groups; Group I: Twenty patients treated with paracetamol 1000 mg orally four times daily. Group II: Twenty five patients treated with celebrex 400 mg orally one time daily. Results: The mean age of patients was (29.17 ± 5.0) in group I and (27.1± 4.9) in group II with no significant differences, with a higher percentage of mesioangular impaction 40% among other type of impaction in two groups. Patients in group II suffer from less facial swelling and trismus with a significant differences \( p \leq 0.05 \) compared with group I, but the two groups showed no significant differences in related to pain \( (p > 0.05) \) after third molar's surgical removal. Conclusions: Celebrex is more effective than paracetamol with less frequency of administration and longer duration of action in reducing post operative oral complication (swelling and trismus), but with some analgesic effect after surgical removal of lower third molars. Key words: Celecoxib anti – inflammatory effect, analgesic in dentistry, post – operative oral complication of lower third molar surgery

INTRODUCTION

Third molar's surgical extraction is a traumatic procedure and the most common in the oral and maxillofacial field.\(^{1,2}\) Being a highly vascularized area, predominantly constituted by loose connective tissue, a series of functional and structural alteration is expected, among them, the liberation of exudates and subsequent swelling, trismus and pain. To control post operative inflammation and symptom associated, it is necessary to provide an adequate anti – inflammatory and analgesic therapy.\(^{3}\)

Acetaminophen (paracetamol) is acetic acid and \( p \) – aminophenol or APAP is classified as non narcotic pain reliever. Dentist generally uses it for mild to moderate dental pain. It acts as both anti – pain and antifever and has weak anti – inflammatory effect, due to poor ability to inhibit Cox in the presence of high concentration of peroxides.\(^{4}\)
Significantly more dental pain relief can be provided by a dose of 1000 mg, at this dosage, the maximal efficacy is achieved and last up to four hours after administration.(5)

Celecoxib (celebrex) is selective cyclooxygenase –2 inhibitors Non Steroidal Anti Inflammatory Drug. NSAIDs are effective for the management of any level of dental pain, whether mild, moderate or severe. (6) Optimal use of these drugs resides in understanding their mechanism of action on the arachidonic acid cascades which summarized in Figure (1).

![Arachidonic acid cascade](image)

**Figure (1): Arachidonic acid cascade**

NSAIDs block the cyclooxygenase – 1 (Cox–1) and cyclooxygenase – 2 (Cox –2). Cox – 1 is responsible for the synthesis of several mediators, including prostaglandins that protect gastric mucosa. Tissue damage such as pulpitis or tissue damage resulting from surgery, will induce production of Cox – 2, which in turns lead to synthesis of prostaglandins that sensitize pain fibers and promote inflammations.(7)

Traditional NSAIDs block both Cox – 1 and Cox – 2 but celebrex is selectively block Cox – 2 so it less damaging to gastric mucosa, and evidence support this contention. (8)

This study aims to compare the efficacy of celebrex 400 mg one time daily with paracetamol 1000 mg four times daily in reducing post operative pain, facial swelling and trismus following post surgical third molar extractions.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This study was carried out in Special Health Center of dentistry in Mosul City for period from December 2008- April 2009.

Forty five (45) patients with impacted lower third molars, age between 18 – 45 years were included in this study. A complete medical history was elicited and an oral examination was performed, including radiographs for standardization of the samples, the following clinical criteria were used:

1. Ages between 18 – 45 years.
2. Impacted third molars in vertical, horizontal, mesiosangular or distoangular positions (Winter's classifications).
3. Equivalent degree of surgical difficulty.
4. No use of medication that could interfere with healing process.
5. No systemic diseases.
In addition, any pregnant or lactating women or patient who had taken analgesics or anti-inflammatory drugs during 24 hours before surgery was not included in the study. The study protocol was explained to the patients in details after which consent was obtained. Patients were allocated into two groups:

- **Group I:** included twenty (20) patients (eleven (11) female and nine (9) male) the patients given acetaminophen (paracetamol 500 mg S.D.I) 1000 mg orally four times daily for seven days post operatively.

- **Group II:** included twenty five (25) patients (thirteen (13) female and twelve (12) male). The patients given celecoxib (200 mg Alpha – Aleppo pharmaceutical Ind. Aleppo - Syria) 400 mg orally one time daily for seven days post operatively.

During pre-operative period, all patients had clinical and radiographical evaluation and patients in group I ingested 1000 mg of paracetamol one hour before operation, while patients in group II ingested 400 mg of celecoxib one hour before operation. In the post operative periods, cloxam 500 mg (Ampicillin 250 mg + cloxacillin 250 mg, IBN HAYYAN pharm) and metronidazole 500mg (China Meheco Corporation Beijing, China) three time daily for seven days were prescribed.

Surgical extraction of third molars was carried on buccal guttering technique after adequate elevation and reflection of buccal mucoperiosteal flap under local anesthesia (1.8ml) of 2 % lignocaine hydrochloride with 1:100,000 adrenalin (Kwang Myung Pharm. Co, Ltd, Kyunggi-do, Korea). Tooth delivery was followed by meticulous irrigation of the surgical site with physiologic saline. The three – sided mucoperiosteal flap was repositioned and suture. A single operator performed all surgical procedure.  

Pre-operative pain was assessed using four – point rating scale. Accordingly, pain was recorded as: "0- no pain" (patient experiences no discomfort), "1- mild pain" (almost unnoticeable pain), "2- moderate pain" (noticeable pain, but patient can still engage in routine daily activities) and "3- severe pain" (very noticeable pain which disturbs the patients daily routine).

For each patient, the appropriate score was recorded in the questionnaire by one operator at first, second and in the 7th post operative days.

**Measurement of swelling:**

As no published method satisfied all criteria for assessing facial swelling, the measuring tape was used to measure distance from the tip of tragus of left and right ears and swelling in one – dimension only.  

Facial width (swelling) was measured using measuring tape. The reference points used were the tip of tragus of left and right ears, with the gonium in between. A single operator repeating the procedure three times on each patient made the measurements. The average of measurements was taken (in cm) and recorded. The measurements were carried out just before the surgery and at post operative days 1, 2 and 7.

**Measurement of mouth – opening ability:**

A vernier – calibrated sliding caliper was used to measure maximum inter incisal mouth opening ability of the patient at the common cement of the procedure. The reference point was incisal edge of maxillary central incisor and incisal edge of mandibular central incisor at maximum opening available. The measurement were made in triplicates, the average was recorded in millimeters (mm). The measurements were carried out just before the surgery and at post operative days 1, 2 and 7. Post operative trismus was measured as decrease in mouth opening.

The data obtained from this study were analysis included descriptive and analytic methods. For descriptive way, the mean of variance were used. While One – Way Analysis of Variance, Student’s t – test and chi – square measured for repeated measure, for interincisal opening, facial swelling and pain. The level of significance was set at \( p \leq 0.05 \).

**RESULTS**

A total of 45 patients (twenty patients (20) in group I and twenty five (25) patients in group II) were included in the analysis. The mean age of participant was 28.1 ± 5.0 years (range 18 – 45 years). In
group I; (29.11 ± 5.0) years and in group II; (27.1 ± 4.9) years (Table 1).

Table (1): Descriptive statistic of study samples of both groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Mean of age ± SD</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>29.17 ± 5.0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group II</td>
<td>27.1 ± 4.9</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The distribution of study samples are shown in Table (2) which shows a higher percentage of female participants. The mean age of patients in group I was (29.17 ± 5.0) years and in group II was (27.1 ± 4.9) years with no significant differences between them.

Table (2): Distribution of study samples according to gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>46.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>53.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The radiographic analysis of the type of impaction showed that mesioangular impaction constituted a higher percentage 40% of impaction followed by distoangular 31.1%, horizontal 20% and vertical 8.8% as showed in Table (3).

Table (3): Type of impaction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mesioangular</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distoangular</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>31.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The result of facial measurement, pain and interincisal distance comparing time and patients group are shown in Table (4).

It can be observed that there is no statistical difference (p> 0.05) between two groups in preoperative periods in related to facial swelling, interincisal distance and pain, while there is an increase of all mean except interincisal distance between preoperative and post operative first and second day. The increase of facial swelling is greater in the group I and with lower incident of increase in the second group with a significance difference (p≤ 0.05) which indicate the beneficial effect of celecoxib on these measurements over the use of paracetamol.

The interincisal distance diminished in the first and second post operatively in both groups with significant differences between them (p≤ 0.05) which demonstrated the beneficial effect of celecoxib on paracetamol in reduction of trismus (due to the greater reduction on interincisal distance in the first group compared with the second group).

Pain scores shows no significant differences between two groups (p> 0.05) in the first and second day although the pain score is higher in first group compared...
with the second group at the first day but it become higher in second group (0.28) in the second day.

By the post operative 7th day all symptoms had restored to the preoperative level in both groups. Neither groups demonstrated any adverse reaction, side effect or other complications.

Table (4): Mean and standard deviation (SD) of swelling, Interincisal distance, and pain according to different times and group of patient included.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>Times</th>
<th>Group I Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Group I I Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre – operative facial swelling (cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st day</td>
<td></td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.013*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd day</td>
<td></td>
<td>34.51</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7th day</td>
<td></td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre – operative Interincisal distance (mm)</td>
<td></td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st day</td>
<td></td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd day</td>
<td></td>
<td>27.52</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7th day</td>
<td></td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre – operative Pain</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st day</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd day</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7th day</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significant differences $p \leq 0.05$

DISCUSSION

Surgery of impacted third molars is one of the most frequent procedure in oral and maxillofacial surgery.\(^{(13)}\) By pharmacological controlling the extent of inflammatory process. The intensity or severity of post operative complication such as swelling, trismus which is a direct sequel of the post operative swelling being able of compressing nervous structure and generate mild to sever pain.\(^{(14,15)}\)

Celecoxib was chosen for this study because its selective Cox – 2 inhibitors NSAIDs and it characterized by:\(^{(16)}\):

1. Less risk of GI ulceration than non selective NSAIDs.
2. Lack of effect on platelet function unlike non selective NSAIDs.
3. Generally long duration of action with once – daily administration.

The employed analgesic was paracetamol, also a proven drug of safe administration and because of the fact that it doesn’t modify platelet’s aggregation, coagulation time or neutrophil action.\(^{(17)}\) The dose used in this study was 1000 mg/ 4 times daily to provide a higher analgesic and anti-inflammatory effect and it is in agreement with the study of Bjarnsson et al.\(^{(18)}\)

Celecoxib and paracetamol were administrated pre –operatively in this study
and it is in agreement with the study of Hass(9) and Bambose et al.(11) which demonstrated that when NSAIDs are administered preoperatively, absorption and distribution of the medication may occur before the initiation of tissue trauma. The ensuring synthesis of prostaglandins and the subsequent inflammatory response was prevented which may decrease the sequelae of tissue trauma especially the accompanying pain.

The preventive strategies for post operative management of pain and inflammation are based on the known ability of NSAIDs to block the arachidonic acid cascade.(19)

According to this study, a higher percentage of impaction type demonstrated by radiograph was mesioangular impaction 40% and it is in agreement with the study of Bambose et al.(11).

In related to post surgical oedema which is difficult to quantify accurately, since it requires a three – dimensional measurement with an irregular, convex surface and can manifest itself internally as well as externally, numerous researchers have tried various techniques in an effect to objectively measure of oedema.(20,21)

In the present study, a single measurement from the tip of tragus to genion to the tip of contralateral tragus was taken, it is not worthy to mention here in that the cheek swelling followed third molars surgery is diffuse in different planes and it is very difficult to measure accurately.(20)

According to the result of this study, the administration of celecoxib 400 mg orally one time daily enhance the control of post operative facial swelling with a significant difference (p≤ 0.05) than paracetamol 1000 mg four time daily which is in agreement with the study of Carriches et al.(22) and disagreement with the study of Bambose et al.(11) which illustrated that dexamethasone has superior effect on diclofenac K (NSAIDS) . This effect of celecoxib due to the inhibition of COX at the surgical site which limit the production of prostaglandin and prostocyclin associated with hyperalgesia and oedema compared with poor anti-inflammatory effect of paracetamol.(5,23)

According to the result of this study, celecoxib produce reduction in interincisal distance to less extent than paracetamol with significant differences between the two groups (p≤ 0.05). This reduction which main determination of trismus measurement. (24) Clarify the clinical benefit of celecoxib over paracetamol on oedema and trismus which is due in part to the conversion of phospholipids to arachidonic acid by phospholipase A2 in neutrophil and macrophage and the resultant production of leukotrienes, prostocycline, prostaglandin and thromboxan A2 acting as a mediator of the inflammatory process. The use of NSAIDs may inhibit this process.(25) This is in agreement with the study of Bambose et al.(11) and disagreement with the study of Bjornsson et al.(18)

In the present study regardless of drug used, the pattern of post operative pain has been reported to increase between the post operative day 1 and 3 after which the symptoms subside gradually within one week which was recorded by other study,(26,27) and confirm by this study. The comparison of pain intensity between group I and group II showed no significant differences between the two groups. This effect of celecoxib due to the fact that the analgesic property of NSAIDs in the oral surgery model due to suppression of a nociceptive process, presumably prostaglandins formation, rather than generalized anti – inflammatory effect(28), while paracetamol which is used for mild to moderate dental pain has a rapid pain – killer action and at a dose of 1000 mg maximum efficacy was achieved.(9) This study is in agreement with the study of Bjornsson et al.(18) which demonstrated that there is no clinical beneficial effect of Ibuprofen 600 mg four times daily over traditional paracetamol regime (1000 mg four times daily).

The time course for pain, facial swelling and trismus described in the present study are in agreement with other studies(29,30), indicating similar symptoms that reached a maximum at a days 1 or 2 post operatively and generally resolved at day 7.(31)
CONCLUSIONS

The study demonstrated that celecoxib 400 mg daily is effective as paracetamol 1000 mg four times daily in reducing post surgical oral pain with longer duration of action and less frequency of administration with no side effect on GIT. It has also superior anti-inflammatory effect than paracetamol measured by reduction in facial swelling and trismus following third molar surgery which encourage it is use in the treatment of post surgical oral complication as a substitute of traditional use of paracetamol.
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