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Abstract

**Background:** Mucoepidermoid carcinoma (MEC) is a malignant epithelial neoplasm characterized by the proliferation of epidermis, mucous, and intermediate cells in various proportions, it represents up to 10% of all major salivary glands tumors and 15% to 23% of minor glands. It exhibits varying degrees of differentiation and histologic grade as well as widely diverse biologic behavior. Its grading system is based on different histological components seen on hematoxylin and eosin slide which is still a controversial issue. This study evaluates the immunohistochemical expression of MMP-2 antibodies as markers of local invasion of MEC to be correlated with the tumor grade and stage.

**Aim of the study:** Immunohistochemical evaluation MMP-2 as a biological marker of local invasion in oral and maxillofacial salivary MEC in relation to grading and staging of MEC.

**Materials and Methods:** The study involved 22 salivary gland MEC tissue samples for the period from 1972 to 2010. Age, sex, site, stage and histologic grades were recognized. The samples were immunohistochemically stained with monoclonal antibodies to matrix metalloproteinase-2 (MMP-2).

**Results:** The sample comprised 14 males and 8 females in (1.75:1) ratio. The age range of the patients was between 19 and 65 years with a mean of (45.9±10.53). The stage of MEC had a significant relationship with Brandwein grading system (P=0.039). Concerning the site and sex distribution, neither site nor sex had a significant statistical relationship with Brandwein grading system (p> 0.05). The mean of matrixmetaloproteinase-2 expressed by MMP-2 immunomarker was (41.7±23.13) with no significant relation to tumor grade and stage. Regarding the predominant cells, no significant relations were found neither with the grade nor the stage of study samples.

**Conclusions:** In all samples, matrixmetaloproteinase-2 had a non significant relationship with tumor grade and stage. No correlation was found between the histological grading of MEC and its biological behavior concerning invasion potential.
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Introduction
Salivary gland tumors (SGTs) constitute an important area in the field of oral and maxillofacial pathology, its incidence around the world ranges from about 1.0 to 6.5 cases per 100,000 people, it represents 2-4% of head and neck neoplasms [1].

Mucoepidermoid carcinoma (MEC) is the most common malignant salivary gland tumors, with uniform age distribution between the ages of 20 and 70 years [1,2], also it is the most common malignant Salivary gland tumors in children [3]. It makes up 10% of all major gland tumors and 15% to 23% of minor gland tumors. MEC is most common in the parotid gland and usually appears as an asymptomatic swelling. Pain or facial nerve palsy may develop, usually in association with high-grade tumors. The minor glands constitute the second most common site [1, 4].

Histopathologically MEC is composed of a mixture of mucus-producing cells, intermediate and squamous (epidermoid) cells. MEC have been categorized into one of three histopathologic grades based on amount of cyst formation, degree of cytologic atypia and relative numbers of mucous, epidermoid, and intermediate cells [1].

Many investigators have tried to define histologic features that have prognostic significance and proposed various grading schemes [5, 6]. In the literature, the histopathologic grading criteria of MEC remain controversial and based on several histological components (Intra cystic...
component, necrosis, mitoses, pattern of tumor invasion, anaplasia and bony invasion).

Matrixmetalloproteinase-2 (MMP-2) plays an important role in the remodeling of the extracellular matrix in both physiologic and pathologic states and thus plays an important role in tumor progression, cancer invasion and metastasis. MMP-2 have the ability for degradation of proteins in the extracellular matrix, it proteolytically digests gelatin (denatured collagen). It is also involved in the invasion and metastasis of MEC. The MMP-2 marker is useful in determining the invasion ability of MEC [7].

In an attempt to define the possible biological behavior underlying the discrepancy of MEC, immunohistochemical expression of matrixmetalloproteinase-2 as a marker for local invasion potential has been measured to be correlated with MEC grade and stage.

Materials and Methods

Twenty two formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue blocks of MEC of the salivary gland were collected from the Department of Oral Diagnosis / College of Dentistry / Baghdad University for the period from 1972 to 2010. Four-micrometer-thick sections were cut from each paraffin tissue block and stained with hematoxylin and eosin for diagnostic confirmation and histological grading. Tumors were classified into low, intermediate and high grade MEC according to Brandwein grading system [8]. TNM stage was applied to 18 cases only in which the required clinical data relevant to tumor stage were properly mentioned in the case sheet. Another 4-µm section was cut from each tissue block and mounted on positively charged slides (Esco, USA) to be stained with monoclonal antibodies to MMP2 (USBiological-M2420-52A). Negative and positive tissue controls were included into each immunohistochemical run.

Immunohistochemical staining procedure

Slides were baked in hot air oven at 65°C overnight. Sections were sequentially dewaxed through a series of xylene, graded alcohol and water immersion steps. For MMP2; Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked with 0.03 % hydrogen peroxide followed by blocking the nonspecific antibody binding with normal goat serum (USBiological-I7506A).; All slides was followed by the application of the primary antibodies with a dilution of 1:40 for MMP2. The slides were incubated for 1 h at 37°C and then kept at 4°C in a humid chamber overnight. Next day, after washing with PBS, biotinylated antimouse IgG were applied to the sections, incubated and rinsed with a stream of PBS. Conjugated antibodies were visualized with DAB chromogen. Sections were counterstained with Mayer’s hematoxylin for 1–2 min, dehydrated and mounted.

Assessment of immunohistochemical results

Assessment of MMP-2

All MEC slides were scanned at low power (X10) to select 5 fields showing the highest positive staining for MMP-2 marker in tumor stromal tissues. Staining for MMP-2 was measured semiquantitatively as the percentage of positively stained cell membrane, and assigned to four categories, so the degree of staining was scored as follows: 0, none; 1, less than 10%; 2, 10% to 50%; and 3, more than 50%. The average percent of the five fields was calculated (10).

Results

The sample comprised 14 males and 8 females with a male / female ratio (1.75:1). The age range of the patients with mucoepidermoid carcinoma was between 19 and 65 years with a mean of (45.9±10.53). The Submandibular gland was the most predominantly affected (7cases) followed by palate (6 cases), then parotid gland (5 cases) and the lowest with buccal mucosa (4 cases).
According to Brandwein grading system, 7 cases were found as low grade, 8 were intermediate and 7 cases were high grade. Concerning the site and sex distribution, neither site nor sex had a significant statistical relationship with Brandwein grading systems (p>0.05). TNM staging system of MEC (only 18 cases) showed, 7 cases being stage I, 3 cases stage II, 4 cases stage III and 4 cases stage IV. Data showed that the stage of MEC had a significant relationship with Brandwein grading system (P=0.039). The mean percent of matrixmetalloproteinase-2 expressed by MMP-2 immunomarker was (41.7±23.13)% (Fig. 1); however no significant relationship was found neither with tumor grade nor with the stage. (Table 1)

Regarding the predominant cells (table 2) no significant relations was found neither with the grade nor the stage of study samples.

**Discussion**

MEC grading system depends on different histological components. Many investigators have tried to define histologic features that have prognostic significance and proposed various grading schemes [9,10,11,12];

**Clinical aspects**

According to sex distribution of MEC, males formed 14(63.63 %) while the females were 8(36.36 %) of the samples in a male / female ratio (1.75:1). This finding disagreed with some studies (28, 29, 30) which stated that females were more predominant, no statistically significant relations were found with Brandwein grading system. Regarding the TNM stage, staging was applied to 18 cases only in which the required clinical data relevant to tumor stage were properly recorded in the case sheet. A highly statistical significant relation was seen with Brandwein grading system (P=0.039), since Brandwein grading system contained a histological parameters which are relevant to tumor stage.

As mentioned, MEC consisted of three types of tumor cells, squamous, intermediate and mucous cell. The higher the squamous cells the higher the grade [4], in the present study only one case showed a squamous cells predominance which was a high grade and high tumor stage, however cell types had showed no significant statistical relation neither with grading systems nor with TNM stage. Such finding may be due to that the majority of cases were of low and intermediate grades.

**Immunohistochemical findings**

**Assessment of MMP-2**

The expression of matrix metalloproteinase was evaluated using MMP-2 antibody, as it is secreted by numerous cultured malignant cell lines, the originally speculated that it is the key enzyme in cancer growth and metastasis [13], no statistical significant has been seen in relation with tumor stage. This denotes that all grades of MECs have potent ability as other aggressive tumors to produce matrixmetalloproteinase to dissolve extracellular matrix that facilitate direct invasion [7]. Several studies revealed that MMPs were involved in the invasion and metastasis of tumors. A study [13] showed that, the MMP-2 was the key enzyme in cancer growth and metastasis and other [14] revealed that Oral carcinomas patients with elevated MMP-2 activity had shorter disease free survival after treatment than patients with low gelatinase tumor activities, also previous study [15] explain the MMP-2 and their tissue inhibitors (TIMPs) in the morphogenesis of the normal salivary glands as well as in mechanisms of tumor invasion and metastasis.

In conclusion, no significant relations were found among the immunohistochemical findings obtained MMP-2 considering the invasion potential when correlated with the histological grading systems (or their components) obtained by the traditional
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H&E staining. Although MEC is divided histologically into three grades, the biological behavior is still comparable in term of local invasion potential, hence histological grading is an inadequate way of assessment in the era of immunohistochemistry, genetics and biological markers, since hematoxylin and eosin stained sections cannot predict truly the tumor behavior, an additional criteria should be studied, verified on longitudinal bases and added to the golden old standard criteria.

Figure (1): Photomicrograph showing positive MMP-2 immunostaining (High-grade MEC) (Original magnification X100).

Table (1): Immunohistochemical findings in relation to tumor grade and stage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>MMP-2% mean±sd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low N=7</td>
<td>I N=7</td>
<td>32.51±16.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate N=8</td>
<td>II N=3</td>
<td>44.12±27.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High N=7</td>
<td>III N=4</td>
<td>48.11±24.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IV N=4</td>
<td>0.442 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANOVA</td>
<td>42.57±26.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>39.53±9.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>43.00±28.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>41.95±23.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P Value</td>
<td>0.998 NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NS** Non-significant relation (p > 0.05)

Table (2): Predominant cells in relation with tumor grading and TNM stage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predominant cells</th>
<th>Intermediate</th>
<th>squamous</th>
<th>mucous</th>
<th>mixed</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low N=7</td>
<td>2 (28.6%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>2 (28.6%)</td>
<td>3 (42.9%)</td>
<td>7 (100.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate N=8</td>
<td>5 (62.5%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>3 (37.5%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>8 (100.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High N=7</td>
<td>1 (14.3%)</td>
<td>1 (14.3%)</td>
<td>1 (14.3%)</td>
<td>4 (57.1%)</td>
<td>7 (100.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total N=22</td>
<td>8 (36.4%)</td>
<td>1 (4.5%)</td>
<td>6 (27.3%)</td>
<td>7 (31.8%)</td>
<td>22 (100.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chi square Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chi square Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.139 NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TNM Stage</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>II</th>
<th>III</th>
<th>IV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 (100.0%)</td>
<td>1 (100.0%)</td>
<td>6 (100.0%)</td>
<td>4 (100.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|NS** Non-significant relation (p > 0.05) |
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