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ABSTRACT

The actual trend is to integrate complementary wireless technologies with overlapping coverage, to provide the expected ubiquitous coverage and to achieve the Always Best Connected (ABC) concept. Therefore, the continuation of an active call is proof to that trend, and can satisfied that trend by good handover management.

This paper, focus on the vertical handover decision process and improvement of network performance when the user's position and optimum path are taken into account. From the results the improvement in handover time by (5-30msec) was materialize, well, reduce the memory size.
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INTRODUCTION

In the current mobile network context, a mobile node can change its point of attachment to different networks [1]. These networks are cellular networks, metropolitan area networks, wireless local area networks, and personal area networks. The combination of all these networks is usually called the heterogeneous Beyond 3G Wireless Networks (B3GWN) [2].

From this fact, it follows that no access technology or service provider can offer ubiquitous coverage expected by users requiring connectivity anytime and anywhere. But, the continuation of an active call is one of the most important quality measurements in the cellular systems [3]. Therefore, the users will expect to continue their connections without any disruption when they move from one
Seamless Handover by Optimal Route to Materialize ABC With heterogeneous B3GWN network to another. This important process in wireless networks is referred to as handoff for handover[2]. Handoff (or handover) process is transferring an active call from one cell to another. The transfer of current communication channel could be in terms of time slot, frequency band, or code word to a new base station (BS). If new BS has some unoccupied channels than it assigns one of them to the handed off call. If all of the channels are in use at the handoff time there are two possibilities: to drop the call or to delay it for a while [3]. A promising approach to meet quality of service (QoS) requirements is based on the use of handover buffers at the (destination) base station, where transmitted cells are stored while the connection is being reestablished [4]. So, future-generation mobile communication will provide broadband multimedia services to users, anywhere and anytime. On the other hand network performance should be guaranteed to support these applications and services. [5].

The purpose of our work is to achieve the concept of ABC in heterogeneous networks by a combination of all its. In the existing technical literature, many related studies on HO have been reported, these works are; Pooja 2011[6], presented a handoff and route optimization solution using reserved VCs between adjacent ATM switches to reroute connections during inter-switch handoff. Also, a distributed optimization process is initiated to optimally reroute handoff connections.

Cong 2011[1], suggested a supplementation an additional procedure AR caching to mobile node for storing all the access routers that it connected in the past.

Enrique 2009[2], a comparison between the performance of four decision algorithms proposed for VHO. SAW, TOPSIS and GRA with the Multiplicative Exponent Weighting (MEW). Also, VHO was simulated by using stochastic models and several kinds of traffic. The results show that although the performance of the four algorithms is very close, in some situations, GRA is able to provide a slightly better performance than SAW, TOPSIS and MEW.

Jinsoo 2006[7], proposed a new handover scheme for Fast Mobile IPv6 over the IEEE 802.16e system. By integrating FMIPv6 with IEEE 802.16e system efficiently, the proposed scheme can minimize not only L3 handover latency but also packet losses.

Masahiro 2004[8], discussion a network segmentation with mobility management and multicast management for the scalability of the Mobile Ethernet by reducing network traffic. Also, he evaluated the vertical handover comparing to Mobile IPv6 fast handover. Markopoulos 2003[5], presented a set of Location Aided Handover mechanisms that use the location information to assist safe handover decisions. The implemented algorithms are validated by means of a cellular network simulator.

HANDOVER/HANDOFF

The process that a Mobile Node (MN) changes its point of attachment (transferring an active call from one cell to another) to the network or moves from one network to another network is known as handoff or handover[1,2,3,9]. And this is called intra-switch handoff and inter-switch handoff respectively.
The handoff function ensures that all these ongoing connections are rerouted to another access point in a seamless manner. In other words, the design goal is to prevent service disruptions and degradation during and after the handoff process.[6]

The principal parameters used to evaluate handoff techniques are: forced termination probability and call blocking probability. The mechanisms such as guard channels and queuing handoff calls decrease the forced termination probability while increasing the call blocking probability[3].

Traditionally, the handover process has been considered and studied among wireless networks using the same access technology. This kind of handover process is defined as horizontal handover (HHO). Now, with the emerging mix of overlapped B3G wireless networks deployed, the handover is a more complicated process and previous handover management techniques cannot be used. The new handover process among networks using different technologies is defined as vertical handover (VHO) [2], and figure(1) shows these different types of HO.

In general, the vertical handoff process can be divided into three main steps, namely system discovery, handoff decision, and handoff execution [2,10]. The handoff decision mechanism is formulated as an optimization problem. Each candidate network is associated with a cost function. The decision is to select the network which has the lowest cost value. The cost function depends on a number of criteria, including the bandwidth, delay and power requirement[10]. Therefore, the aim of a handoff procedure is to decrease forced termination probability while not increasing call blocking probability significantly[3].

OPTIMAL ROUTE

To send the packets from source to destination node on optimum route in mobile network, the router must find the path with minimum cost. If an intermediate node has failed, the routing table will be updated and the path should be changed depending on this failure. In traditional protocols, the packet is sent on the path with minimum number of hops and this path is not always optimum, therefore the router selects the path depending on computing cost for each link and send the packets on path with minimum consumption energy, maximum capacity or minimum delay. Checking the failure node in the path, if there is not, then computing the optimum path in minimum cost is more suitable and efficient than the path with minimum number of hops[11]. The rerouting of connections must be done quickly with minimal disruption to traffic. Also the resulting routes must be optimal [6]. Once the new CoA construction is done, the MN must update the binding cache in its HA and CN by sending a Binding Update (BU) message[1].

The enormous growth of personal telecommunication services, together with the scarcity of radio spectrum has lead to reducing the cell size in cellular systems. Smaller cell size entails a higher handoff rate and more frequent handoff per call[12].

PROPOSED HANDOVER PROCEDURE

We will adopt the model in references[1,8] and heterogeneous wireless network (WN) are considered with the addition of the following points are :-

1. Each AR sends the status of the paths associated.
2. The status in (1) means:-
   a- Pass or not beforesend the information (periodically).
   b- Location, battery status and destination point with information by same channel or by trigger channel.
3. Determine the status of the user and network as follows; There are four status:

<p>| Table (1) status of user and network. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>network</th>
<th>user</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>IR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR</td>
<td>IR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   R-Regular.
   IR-Irregular.

4. Send the optimum routpath with all inside nodes by use Dijkstra algorithm (Ref.[11]).
5. Estimate arrival time and mobile speed (from its messages).
6. Then, according to the above one can determine the method of finding the mobility procedure.

<p>| Table (2) method of finding the mobility according the status. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Network</th>
<th>user</th>
<th>MFMP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>PFH - or - PFOpR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>IR</td>
<td>PFOpR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>PFOpR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR</td>
<td>IR</td>
<td>PFOpR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   MFMP- Method of finding mobility procedure.
   PFH- Predicted from history.
   PFOpR- Predicted from Op-route

7. So can make a handover process as follows:-
   a- The first step (System discovery ) are taken from the PFH if case(1) or taken from PFOpR procedure for cases (1, 2, 3 and 4).
   b- The second step (Handover decision) depend on the information about the paths (cost (one of the important parameter of it is a bandwidth) and availability).
   c- If it is necessary to apply (VHO) the third step (Execution) with new network or path (unoccupied channels).
   d- Or delay it for a while (stored while the connection is being reestablished), if all bandwidth all channels are occupied.

DISCUSSION
There are many points can be noted; these are:-

1. From Figure(2) the three different calls can transmitted through the channels which match with it. But when no matched there is a loss time (call waiting).
2. Also, from same figure it's clear the low calls can transmitted through the high channels if necessary to continue the activation of calling but not reversed.

3. From Figure(3) the difference in handover time between the methods in Table (2). The time which the PFH was taken more than the time of PFOpR because the difficulties of its prediction in the applied cases(2-4).

4. In first way PFH can make a log of database of a certain number routers and moving direction of mobile node(MN) depends heavily on travel time. Also, this way will be need a large size of memory which tend to slow the handover operation.

5. For other way PFOpR can find the routers which will be move through its from optimum route then can predict the time of arrival from predict MN speed from its massages, by use this arrangement can reduce the handover time (as in fig.(3) from 5-30 msec) and memory then reduce the cost.

CONCLUSIONS
There are many points can be viewed, these are;
1. Reduce the HO time.
2. Reduce the memory which used for queued the calls.
3. Then reduce the cost and satisfied the concept of ABC.
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Figure(1) Different Types of Handover.
Figure (2) Three different calls through three different channels

Figure (3) Handover time for four cases (table (2))

Note: These values of time, taken for the purpose of analysis and relied on the messages sent by the (RA) is between 30 msec and 70 msec as in the ref.[1].