

Some properties of weakly open functions in intuitionistic bitopological spaces

Rana Bahjat Yaseen

Dept. of Mathematics, College of women Education, Tikrit university, Tikrit, Iraq

(Received: 30 / 4 / 2012 ---- Accepted: 11 / 6 / 2012)

Abstract

In 2006 Takashi Noiri1 and Valeriu Popa [10] introduced and studied characterizations and properties of weakly open functions in bitopological spaces. In this paper we generalized the concept of weakly open function to an intuitionistic bitopological spaces and we obtain some new characterizations of weakly open functions between intuitionistic bitopological spaces. Moreover, we investigate some properties of these functions comparing with the related functions.

Key words and phrases: intuitionistic bitopological spaces, weakly open function

1. Introduction

The notion of weakly continuous functions was introduced in [7] and [6]. It is proved in [7] that a function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is weakly continuous if and only if $f^{-1}(V) \subset \text{Int}(f^{-1}(\text{Cl}(V)))$ for every open set V of Y .

In [8] and [9] Popa and Rose introduced the concept of weak openness which is a natural dual to that of weak continuity.

In [5] and [4] Jelic generalized the notion of weakly open functions in the setting of bitopological spaces. In this paper, we generalize the concept of weakly open function to an intuitionistic bitopological spaces and we obtain some new characterizations of weakly open functions between intuitionistic bitopological spaces. Moreover, we investigate some properties of these functions comparing with the related functions.

2. Preliminaries

First we present the fundamental definitions.

Definition 2.1. [1]. Let X be a non empty set. An intuitionistic set A is an object having the form $A = \langle x, A_1, A_2 \rangle$, where A_1 and A_2 are subsets of X satisfying $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$.

The set A_1 is called the set of member of A , while A_2 is called the set of nonmember of A .

Remark. Every subset A of nonempty set X can be regarded as intuitionistic set having the form $\tilde{A} = \langle x, A, A^c \rangle$.

Definition 2.2: [2] Let X be a nonempty set, $p \in X$ a fixed element in X , and let $A = \langle x, A_1, A_2 \rangle$ be an intuitionistic set (IS, for short). The IS \dot{p} defined by $\dot{p} = \langle x, \{p\}, \{p\}^c \rangle$ is called an intuitionistic point (IP for short) in X . The IS $\ddot{p} = \langle x, \emptyset, \{p\}^c \rangle$ is called a vanishing intuitionistic point (VIP, for short) in X .

The IS \dot{p} is said to be contained in A ($\dot{p} \in A$, for short) if and only if $p \in A_1$, and similarly IS \ddot{p} contained in A . ($\ddot{p} \in A$, for short) if and only if $p \notin A_2$. For a given IS A in X , we may write $A = (\cup \{\dot{p}: \dot{p} \in A\}) \cup (\cup \{\ddot{p}: \ddot{p} \in A\})$, and whenever A is not a proper IS (i.e., if A is not of the form $A =$

$\langle x, A_1, A_2 \rangle$ where $A_1 \cup A_2 \neq X$), then $A = \cup \{\dot{p}: \dot{p} \in A\}$ hold. In general, any IS A in X can be written in the form $A = \dot{A} \cup \ddot{A}$ where $\dot{A} = \cup \{\dot{p}: \dot{p} \in A\}$ and $\ddot{A} = \cup \{\ddot{p}: \ddot{p} \in A\}$.

Definition 2.3: [3] An intuitionistic topology on a nonempty set X is a family τ of an intuitionistic sets in X satisfying the following conditions.

- (1) $\emptyset, X \in \tau$.
- (2) τ is closed under finite intersections.
- (3) τ is closed under arbitrary unions.

The pair (X, τ) is called an intuitionistic topological space (ITS, for short). Any element in τ is usually called intuitionistic open set (IOS, for short). The complement of an IOS in an IT'S (X, τ) is called intuitionistic closed set (ICS, for short).

Definition 2.4. [2] Let (X, τ) be an IT'S and let $A = \langle x, A_1, A_2 \rangle$ be an intuitionistic subset in a set X . The interior ($\text{Int}A$, for short) and closure ($\text{Cl}A$, for short) of a set A of X are defined:

$$\text{Int}(A) = \cup \{G: G \subseteq A, G \in \tau\},$$

$$\text{Cl}(A) = \cap \{F: A \subseteq F, \bar{F} \in \tau\}.$$

In other words: The $\text{Int}(A)$ is the largest intuitionistic open set contained in A , and $\text{Cl}(A)$ is the smallest intuitionistic closed set contain A i.e., $\text{Int}(A) \subseteq A$ and $A \subseteq \text{Cl}(A)$.

Definition 2.5. An intuitionistic bitopological on a nonempty set X is a two family τ_1, τ_2 of an intuitionistic sets in X such that both (X, τ_1) and (X, τ_2) are intuitionistic topological space. The treble (X, τ_1, τ_2) is called an intuitionistic bitopological space.

Definition 2.6. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be an intuitionistic bitopological space and $A = \langle x, A_1, A_2 \rangle$ be an intuitionistic subset in a set X . The interior

(IntA, for short) and closure (ClA, for short) of a set A of X with respect to τ_i are defined by:

$$iInt(A) = \cup \{ G : G \subseteq A, G \in \tau_i, \text{ for } i = 1; 2 \},$$

$$iCl(A) = \cap \{ F : A \subseteq F, \bar{F} \in \tau_i, \text{ for } i = 1; 2 \}.$$

Definition 2.7: A subset A of an intuitionistic bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is said to be:

- (1) intuitionistic (i, j)-regular open if $A = iInt(jCl(A))$, where $i \neq j, i, j = 1; 2$.
- (2) intuitionistic (i, j)-semi-open if $A \subset jCl(iInt(A))$, where $i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2$.
- (3) intuitionistic (i, j)-pre-open if $A \subset iInt(jCl(A))$, where $i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2$.
- (4) intuitionistic (i, j)- α -open if $A \subset iInt(jCl(iInt(A)))$, where $i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2$.
- (5) intuitionistic (i, j)- β -open if $A \subset iCl(jInt(iCl(A)))$, where $i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2$.

Definition 2.8. A subset A of an intuitionistic bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is said to be :

- (1) intuitionistic (i, j)-regular-closed if $A = iCl(jInt(A))$, where $i \neq j, i, j = 1; 2$.
- (2) intuitionistic (i, j)-semi-closed if $jInt(iCl(A)) \subset A$, where $i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2$.
- (3) intuitionistic (i, j)-pre-closed if $iCl(jInt(A)) \subset A$, where $i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2$.
- (4) intuitionistic (i, j)- α -closed if $iCl(jInt(iCl(A))) \subset A$, where $i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2$.
- (5) intuitionistic (i, j)- β -closed if $iInt(jCl(iInt(A))) \subset A$, where $i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2$.

Definition 2.9. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be an intuitionistic bitopological space and A a subset of X . A point $x \in X$ is said to be an intuitionistic (i, j)- θ -closure of A, denoted by $(i,j)-Cl_\theta(A)$, if $A \cap jCl(U) \neq \emptyset$, for every τ_i -intuitionistic open set U containing \dot{x} , where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$.

A subset A of X is said to be intuitionistic (i, j)- θ -closure if $A = (i, j)-Cl_\theta(A)$. A subset A of X is said to be intuitionistic (i, j)- θ -open if X/A or A^c is an intuitionistic (i, j)- θ -closed. The intuitionistic (i, j)- θ -interior of A, denoted by $(i, j)-Int_\theta(A)$, is defined as the union of all intuitionistic (i, j)- θ -open sets contained in A.

Hence $\dot{x} \in (i, j)-Int_\theta(A)$ if and only if there exists a τ_i -intuitionistic open set U containing \dot{x} such that $\dot{x} \in U \subset jCl(U) \subset A$.

Lemma 2.10. For a subset A of an intuitionistic bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) the following properties hold:

- (1) $X / (i,j)-Int_\theta(A) = (i, j)-Cl_\theta(X/A)$,
- (2) $X / (i, j)-Cl_\theta(A) = (i, j)-Int_\theta(X/A)$.

Proof: (1) suppose that $(i, j)-Cl_\theta(X/A)$ and to prove $X / (i, j)-Int_\theta(A)$. Since $(i, j)-Cl_\theta(X/A)$ is an intuitionistic (i, j)- θ -closed . Then $X / (i, j)-Cl_\theta(X/A)$ is an intuitionistic

(i, j)- θ -open , also $X / (i, j)-Cl_\theta(X/A) \subset A$. Hence $X / (i, j)-Cl_\theta(X/A) \subset (i, j)-Int_\theta(A)$.

Conversely, let $\dot{x} \in (i, j)-Int_\theta(A)$. Then there exists an intuitionistic (i, j)- θ -open set G such that $\dot{x} \in G \subset A$. Then X / G is an intuitionistic (i, j)- θ -closed and $X/A \subset X / G$. Since $\dot{x} \notin X / G, \dot{x} \notin (i, j)-Cl_\theta(X/A)$ and hence $X / (i, j)-Int_\theta(A) \subset (i, j)-Cl_\theta(X/A)$. Therefore $X / (i, j)-Int_\theta(A) = (i, j)-Cl_\theta(X/A)$.

(2) This follows from (1) immediately.

Definition 2.11. A function $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is said to be:

- (1) Intuitionistic (i, j) - semi-open if for each an intuitionistic τ_i -open set U of X, $f(U)$ is intuitionistic (i, j)-semi-open in Y.
- (2) Intuitionistic (i, j)-pre-open if for each an intuitionistic τ_i -open set U of X, $f(U)$ is intuitionistic (i, j) - pre-open in Y.
- (3) Intuitionistic (i, j)- α -open if for each an intuitionistic τ_i -open set U of X, $f(U)$ is intuitionistic (i, j)- α -open in Y.
- (4) intuitionistic (i, j)- β -open if for each an intuitionistic τ_i -open set U of X, $f(U)$ is intuitionistic (i, j)- intuitionistic β -open in Y .
- (5) weakly intuitionistic (i, j)-open if for each an intuitionistic τ_i -open set U of X, $f(U) \subset i-Int(f(jCl(U)))$.

A function $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is said to be pair wise weakly intuitionistic open if f is weakly intuitionistic (1, 2)-open and weakly intuitionistic (2,1)-open .

3. Characterizations

Lemma 3.1. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be an intuitionistic bitopological space . If U is an intuitionistic τ_i -open in X, then $(i, j)-Cl_\theta(U) = iCl(U)$.

Theorem 3.1. For a function $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, the following properties are equivalent:

- (1) f is weakly intuitionistic (i, j)-open.

(2) $f((i, j)\text{-}Int_{\theta}(A)) \subset iInt(f(A))$ for every intuitionistic subset A of X .

(3) $(i, j)\text{-}Int_{\theta}(f^{-1}(B)) \subset f^{-1}(iInt(B))$ for every intuitionistic subset B of Y .

(4) $f^{-1}(iCl(B)) \subset (i, j)\text{-}Cl_{\theta}(f^{-1}(B))$ for every intuitionistic subset B of Y .

(5) For each $\dot{x} \in X$ and each an intuitionistic \mathcal{T}_i -open set U of X containing \dot{x} , there exists an intuitionistic σ_i -open set V of Y containing $f(\dot{x})$ such that $V \subset f(jCl(U))$.

Proof. (1) \implies (2): Let A be any intuitionistic subset of X and $\dot{x} \in (i, j)\text{-}Int_{\theta}(A)$.

Then there exists an intuitionistic \mathcal{T}_i -open set U of X such that $\dot{x} \in U \subset jCl(U) \subset A$.

Hence we have $f(\dot{x}) \in f(U) \subset f(jCl(U)) \subset f(A)$. Since f is weakly intuitionistic (i, j) -open, $f(U) \subset iInt(f(jCl(U))) \subset iInt(f(A))$ and $\dot{x} \in f^{-1}(iInt(f(A)))$. Thus $(i, j)\text{-}Int_{\theta}(A) \subset f^{-1}(iInt(f(A)))$ and hence $f((i, j)\text{-}Int_{\theta}(A)) \subset iInt(f(A))$.

(2) \implies (3): Let B be any intuitionistic subset of Y . Then by (2), we have $f((i, j)\text{-}Int_{\theta}(f^{-1}(B))) \subset iInt(f(f^{-1}(B))) \subset iInt(B)$. Therefore, $(i, j)\text{-}Int_{\theta}(f^{-1}(B)) \subset f^{-1}(iInt(B))$.

(3) \implies (4): Let B be any intuitionistic subset of Y . Then we have $X / (i, j)\text{-}Cl_{\theta}(f^{-1}(B)) = (i, j)\text{-}Int_{\theta}(X / f^{-1}(B)) = (i, j)\text{-}Int_{\theta}(f^{-1}(Y / B)) \subset f^{-1}(iInt(Y / B)) = f^{-1}(Y / iCl(B)) = X / f^{-1}(iCl(B))$. Therefore, $f^{-1}(iCl(B)) \subset (i, j)\text{-}Cl_{\theta}(f^{-1}(B))$.

(4) \implies (5): Let $\dot{x} \in X$ and U be any an intuitionistic \mathcal{T}_i -open set containing \dot{x} . Set $B=Y / f(jCl(U))$. By (4), we have $f^{-1}(iCl(Y / f(jCl(U)))) \subset (i, j)\text{-}Cl_{\theta}(f^{-1}(Y / f(jCl(U))))$. Now, $f^{-1}(iCl(Y / f(jCl(U)))) = X / f^{-1}(iInt(f(jCl(U))))$. Moreover, we have $(i, j)\text{-}Cl_{\theta}(f^{-1}(Y / f(jCl(U)))) = (i, j)\text{-}Cl_{\mu}(X / f^{-1}(f(jCl(U)))) \subset (i, j)\text{-}Cl_{\theta}(X / jCl(U)) = iCl(X / jCl(U)) = X / iInt(jCl(U)) \subset X / iInt(U) = X / U$. Therefore, we obtain $U \subset f^{-1}(iInt(f(jCl(U))))$ and $f(U) \subset iInt(f(jCl(U)))$. Since $f(x) \in f(U)$, there exists an intuitionistic σ_i -open set V such that $f(x) \in V \subset f(jCl(U))$.

(5) \implies (1): Let U be any an intuitionistic \mathcal{T}_i -open set of X and $\dot{x} \in U$. By (5), there exists an intuitionistic σ_i -open set V of Y containing $f(\dot{x})$ such that $V \subset f(jCl(U))$.

Hence we have $f(x) \in V \subset iInt(f(jCl(U)))$ for each $\dot{x} \in U$. Therefore, we obtain $f(U) \subset iInt(f(jCl(U)))$. This shows that f is weakly intuitionistic (i, j) -open.

Theorem 3.2. For a function $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, the following properties are equivalent:

- (1) f is weakly intuitionistic (i, j) -open.
- (2) $f(iInt(F)) \subset iInt(f(F))$ for each an intuitionistic

\mathcal{T}_i -closed set F of X .

(3) $f(U) \subset iInt(f(jCl(U)))$ for every intuitionistic (i, j) -pre-open set U of X .

(4) $f(U) \subset iInt(f(jCl(U)))$ for every intuitionistic (i, j) - α -open set U of X .

Proof. (1) \implies (2): Assume that f is weakly intuitionistic (i, j) -open. Let F be an intuitionistic \mathcal{T}_i -closed set of X . Then $iInt(F)$ is \mathcal{T}_i -intuitionistic open and by (1) we have $f(iInt(F)) \subset iInt(f(jCl(iInt(F)))) \subset iInt(f(F))$.

(2) \implies (3): Let U be any intuitionistic (i, j) -pre-open set of X . Then by (2) we obtain $f(U) \subset f(iInt(jCl(U))) \subset iInt(f(jCl(U)))$.

(3) \implies (4): This is obvious since every intuitionistic (i, j) - α -open set is intuitionistic (i, j) -pre-open.

(4) \implies (1): Let U be any \mathcal{T}_i -intuitionistic open set of X . Then U is intuitionistic (i, j) - α -open in X and hence $f(U) \subset iInt(f(jCl(U)))$. Therefore, f is weakly intuitionistic (i, j) -open.

Theorem 3.3. For a bijective function $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, the following properties are equivalent:

- (1) f is weakly intuitionistic (i, j) -open.
- (2) $iCl(f(jInt(F))) \subset f(F)$ for every an intuitionistic \mathcal{T}_i -closed set F of X .

(3) $iCl(f(U)) \subset f(iCl(U))$ for every an intuitionistic \mathcal{T}_i -open set U of X .

Proof. (1) \implies (2): Let F be any intuitionistic \mathcal{T}_i -closed set of X . Then X / F is an intuitionistic \mathcal{T}_i -open and $Y / f(F) = f(X / F) \subset iInt(f(jCl(X / F))) = iInt(f(X / jInt(F))) = iInt(Y / f(jInt(F))) = Y / iCl(f(jInt(F)))$. This implies that $iCl(f(jInt(F))) \subset f(F)$.

(2) \implies (3): Let U be any an intuitionistic τ_i -open set of X . By (2) we have $iCl(f(U)) = iCl(f(jInt(U))) \subset iCl(f(jInt(iCl(U)))) \subset f(iCl(U))$. Therefore, $iCl(f(U)) \subset f(iCl(U))$.

(3) \implies (1): Let U be any an intuitionistic τ_i -open set of X . Then, we have $Y / iInt(f(jCl(U))) = iCl(Y / f(jCl(U))) = iCl(f(X / jCl(U))) \subset f(iCl(X / jCl(U))) = f(X / iInt(jCl(U))) \subset f(X / iInt(U)) = f(X / U) = Y / f(U)$. This implies $f(U) \subset iInt(f(jCl(U)))$.

Therefore, f is weakly intuitionistic (i, j) -open.

4. Relations with other forms of intuitionistic open functions:

Definition 4.1. A function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is said to be pair wise intuitionistic open if the induced functions $f_1 : (X, \tau_1) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_1)$ and $f_2 : (X, \tau_2) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_2)$ are an intuitionistic open.

Definition 4.2. A function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is said to be almost intuitionistic (i, j) -open if $f(U)$ is an intuitionistic σ_i -open set in Y for every intuitionistic (i, j) -regular open set U of X .

A function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is said to be almost pair wise intuitionistic open if it is almost intuitionistic $(1, 2)$ -open and almost intuitionistic $(2, 1)$ -open.

Remark 4.3. It is clear that pair wise intuitionistic openness \implies almost pair wise intuitionistic openness \implies weakly pair wise intuitionistic openness. But the converse is not true.

Example 4.4. Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $\tau_1 = \{\check{\phi}, \check{X}, A\}$, where $A = \langle x, \{a\}, \{b\} \rangle$, $\tau_2 = \{\check{\phi}, \check{X}, B\}$ where $\langle x, \{b\}, \{a\} \rangle$. Also $Y = \{a, b\}$, $\sigma_1 = \{\check{\phi}, \check{X}, A\}$ and $\sigma_2 = \{\check{\phi}, \check{X}, B\}$. Define a function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ by $f(\check{a}, \check{b}) = (\check{b}, \check{a})$. Then f is almost pair wise intuitionistic open, because f is almost intuitionistic $(1, 2)$ -open and almost intuitionistic $(2, 1)$ -open. But f does not satisfy pair wise intuitionistic openness, because $f_1 : (X, \tau_1) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_1)$ and $f_2 : (X, \tau_2) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_2)$ are not an intuitionistic open.

Example 4.5. Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $\tau_1 = \{\check{\phi}, \check{X}, A\}$, where $A = \langle x, \emptyset, \{a\} \rangle$, $\tau_2 = \{\check{\phi}, \check{X}, B, C\}$, where $\langle x, \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle$, $C = \langle x, \emptyset, \{a\} \rangle$. Also $Y = \{a, b\}$, $\sigma_1 = \{\check{\phi}, \check{X}, A\}$ and $\sigma_2 = \{\check{\phi}, \check{X}, B, C\}$.

Then a function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is weakly pair wise intuitionistic open, because f is

weakly intuitionistic $(1, 2)$ -open and weakly intuitionistic $(2, 1)$ -open. But f does not satisfy almost pair wise intuitionistic open, because f is not almost intuitionistic $(1, 2)$ -open and almost intuitionistic $(2, 1)$ -open.

Definition 4.6. An intuitionistic bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is said to be intuitionistic (i, j) -semi-regular if for each $\check{x} \in X$ and each an intuitionistic τ_i -open set U containing \check{x} , there exists an intuitionistic (i, j) -regular open set V of X such that $\check{x} \in V \subset U$. (X, τ_1, τ_2) is said to be pair wise intuitionistic semi-regular if it is intuitionistic $(1, 2)$ -semi-regular and intuitionistic $(2, 1)$ -semi-regular.

Theorem 4.7. Let an intuitionistic bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) be pair wise intuitionistic semi-regular. Then a function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is pair wise intuitionistic open if and only if it is almost pair wise intuitionistic open.

Proof. Suppose that f is almost intuitionistic (i, j) -open. Let U be any τ_i -intuitionistic open set of X . Since X is intuitionistic (i, j) -semi-regular, for each $\check{x} \in U$ there exists an intuitionistic (i, j) -regular open set $U_{\check{x}}$ such that $\check{x} \in U_{\check{x}} \subset U$. Since f is almost intuitionistic (i, j) -open, $f(U_{\check{x}})$ is intuitionistic σ_i -open in Y . Since $f(U) = \{f(U_{\check{x}}) : \check{x} \in U\}$ it follows that $f(U)$ is intuitionistic σ_i -open. Therefore, $f : (X, \tau_i) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_i)$ is intuitionistic open for $i = 1, 2$ and hence $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is pair wise intuitionistic open.

The proof of conversely it is clear.

Definition 4.8. An intuitionistic bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is said to be intuitionistic (i, j) -almost regular if for each $\check{x} \in X$ and each intuitionistic (i, j) -regular open set U containing \check{x} , there exists an intuitionistic (i, j) -regular open set V of X such that $\check{x} \in V \subset jCl(V) \subset U$. (X, τ_1, τ_2) is said to be pair wise almost intuitionistic regular if it is intuitionistic $(1, 2)$ -almost regular and intuitionistic $(2, 1)$ -almost regular.

Theorem 4.9. Let an intuitionistic bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) be pair wise almost intuitionistic regular. Then a function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is almost pair wise intuitionistic open if and only if it is weakly pair wise intuitionistic open.

Proof. Suppose that f is weakly pair wise intuitionistic open. Let U be any intuitionistic (i, j) -regular open set of X . Since X is intuitionistic (i, j) -almost regular, for each $\check{x} \in U$ there exists an intuitionistic (i, j) -regular open set $U_{\check{x}}$ such that $\check{x} \in$

$U_{\dot{x}} \subset jCl(U_{\dot{x}}) \subset U$. Since every intuitionistic (i, j) -regular open set is intuitionistic τ_i -open and f is weakly intuitionistic (i, j) -open, we obtain $f(U) = \bigcup \{f(U_{\dot{x}}) : \dot{x} \in U\} \subset \bigcup \{iInt(f(jCl(U_{\dot{x}}))) : \dot{x} \in U\} \subset \{iInt(\bigcup \{f(jCl(U_{\dot{x}})) : \dot{x} \in U\}) : \dot{x} \in U\} = \{iInt(f(jCl(U))) : \dot{x} \in U\} \subset iInt(f(U))$. Therefore, $f(U) \subset iInt(f(U))$ and hence $f(U)$ is an intuitionistic σ_i -open. Thus, f is almost intuitionistic (i, j) -open for $i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2$.

The proof of conversely it is clear.

Definition 4.10. An intuitionistic bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is said to be intuitionistic (i, j) -regular if for each $\dot{x} \in X$ and each an intuitionistic τ_i -open set U containing \dot{x} , there exists an intuitionistic τ_i -open set V such that $\dot{x} \in V \subset jCl(V) \subset U$. (X, τ_1, τ_2) is said to be pair wise intuitionistic regular if it intuitionistic $(1, 2)$ -regular and intuitionistic $(2, 1)$ -regular.

Corollary 4.11. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a pair wise intuitionistic regular space. For a function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, the following properties are equivalent:

1. f is pair wise intuitionistic open.
2. f is almost pair wise intuitionistic open.
3. f is weakly pair wise intuitionistic open.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Theorems 4.7 and 4.9 since every pair wise intuitionistic regular space is pair wise -intuitionistic semi-regular and pair wise almost -intuitionistic regular.

Definition 4.12. A function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is said to be intuitionistic strongly continuous if $f(Cl(A)) \subset f(A)$ for every intuitionistic subset A of X .

Theorem 4.13. If a function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is weakly intuitionistic (i, j) -open and strongly intuitionistic j -continuous, then f is intuitionistic i -open.

Proof. Let U be any intuitionistic τ_i -open set of X . Since f is weakly intuitionistic (i, j) -open and strongly intuitionistic j -continuous, we have $f(U) \subset iInt(f(jCl(U))) \subset iInt(f(U))$.

Therefore, $f(U) = iInt(f(U))$ and $f(U)$ is σ_i -intuitionistic open. Hence f is intuitionistic i -open.

Definition 4.14. A function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is said to have the weak intuitionistic (i, j) -interiority condition if $iInt(f(jCl(U))) \subset f(U)$ for every intuitionistic τ_i -open set U of X .

Theorem 4.15. If a function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is weakly intuitionistic (i, j) -open and satisfies the weak intuitionistic (i, j) -interiority condition, then f is intuitionistic i -open.

Proof. Let U be any intuitionistic τ_i -open set of X . Since f is weakly intuitionistic (i, j) -open and satisfies the weak intuitionistic (i, j) -interiority condition, we have $f(U) \subset iInt(f(jCl(U))) = iInt(iInt(f(jCl(U)))) \subset iInt(f(U))$. Therefore, $f(U) = iInt(f(U))$ and $f(U)$ is intuitionistic σ_i -open. Hence f is intuitionistic i -open.

5. Some properties of weakly (i, j) -intuitionistic open functions

Definition 5.1. An intuitionistic bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is said to be an intuitionistic (i, j) -hyper connected if $jCl(U) = X$ for every intuitionistic τ_i -open set U of X .

Theorem 5.2. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be intuitionistic (i, j) -hyper connected space. Then a function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is weakly intuitionistic (i, j) -open if and only if $f(X)$ is intuitionistic σ_i -open in Y .

Proof. Let f be weakly intuitionistic (i, j) -open. Since X is intuitionistic τ_i -open, $f(X) \subset iInt(f(jCl(X))) = iInt(f(X))$. Therefore, $f(X)$ is intuitionistic σ_i -open in Y , suppose that $f(X)$ is intuitionistic σ_i -open in Y . Let U be intuitionistic τ_i -open in X . Then $f(U) \subset f(X) = iInt(f(X)) = iInt(f(jCl(U)))$. Therefore, $f(U) \subset iInt(f(jCl(U)))$. This shows that f is weakly intuitionistic (i, j) -open.

Definition 5.3. A function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is said to be intuitionistic (i, j) -contra-closed if $f(F)$ is intuitionistic σ_i -open in Y for every intuitionistic τ_j -closed set F of X .

Theorem 5.4. If a function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is intuitionistic (i, j) -contra-closed, then f is weakly intuitionistic (i, j) -open.

Proof. Let U be any intuitionistic τ_i -open set of X . Then $jCl(U)$ is intuitionistic τ_j -closed in X . Hence, we have $f(U) \subset f(jCl(U)) \subset iInt(f(jCl(U)))$. Therefore, f is weakly intuitionistic (i, j) -open.

Definition 5.5. A function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is said to be intuitionistic (i, j) -contra-open if $f(U)$ is intuitionistic σ_j -closed in Y for every intuitionistic τ_i -open set U of X .

Theorem 5.6. If a function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is intuitionistic (i, j) -pre-open and intuitionistic (i, j) -contra-open, then f is intuitionistic i -open .

Proof. Let U be any intuitionistic τ_i -open set of X . Since f is intuitionistic (i, j) -pre-open, $f(U) \subset i\text{Int}(j\text{Cl}(f(U)))$. Since f is intuitionistic (i, j) -contra-open, $f(U)$ is intuitionistic σ_j -closed .Therefore, $f(U) \subset i\text{Int}(j\text{Cl}(f(U))) = i\text{Int}(f(U))$. Hence $f(U)$ is intuitionistic σ_i -open in Y . This shows that f is intuitionistic i -open.

Corollary 5.7. If a function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is intuitionistic (i, j) - semi-open and intuitionistic (i, j) - contra-open, then f is intuitionistic i -open .

Proof. Obvious.

Definition 5.8. A function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is said to be intuitionistic (i, j) - contra-continuous if $f^{-1}(F)$ is intuitionistic (i, j) -closed set in X for every intuitionistic σ_i - open set F of Y .

Lemma 5.9. If $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is a bijective and intuitionistic (i, j) - semi-open function, then $j\text{Int}(i\text{Cl}(f(F))) \subset f(F)$ for every intuitionistic τ_i - closed set F of X .

Proof. Let F be any intuitionistic τ_i - closed set of X . Then X/F is intuitionistic τ_i - open in X . Since f is intuitionistic (i, j) - semi-open, $f(X / F) \subset j\text{Cl}(i\text{Int}(f(X / F)))$. Therefore, $Y / f(F) = f(X / F) \subset j\text{Cl}(i\text{Int}(f(X / F))) = j\text{Cl}(i\text{Int}(Y / f(F))) = Y / j\text{Int}(i\text{Cl}(f(F)))$. Therefore $j\text{Int}(i\text{Cl}(f(F))) \subset f(F)$.

Theorem 5.10. If $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is an intuitionistic (i, j) - pre-open and intuitionistic (j, i) -semi-open bijection, then f is weakly intuitionistic (i, j) - open .

Proof. Let U be any intuitionistic τ_i -open set of X . Then $j\text{Cl}(U)$ is intuitionistic τ_j - closed in X . Since f is intuitionistic (j, i) -semi-open, by Lemma 5.7 $i\text{Int}(j\text{Cl}(f(j\text{Cl}(U)))) \subset f(j\text{Cl}(U))$. Since f is intuitionistic (i, j) -pre-open, $f(U) \subset i\text{Int}(j\text{Cl}(f(U)))$.

References

- [1] Bayhan, S. and Coker, D. "On Separation axioms in Intuitionistic topological spaces "(2001) pp. 621-630.
- [2] Coker , D . "A note on Intuitionistic sets and Intuitionistic points "Turkish J .Math. 20, No .3(1996) pp. 343-351.
- [3] Coker, D. "An Introduction to intuitionistic topological spaces" BUSEFAL 81, (2000) pp. 51-56.
- [4] Jelic, M., Feebly p -continuous mappings. V International Meeting on Topology inItaly (Italian

Therefore, $f(U) \subset i\text{Int}(f(j\text{Cl}(U)))$. Hence f is weakly intuitionistic (i, j) -open.

Corollary 5.11. If $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is an intuitionistic pre-open and intuitionistic semi-open bijection, then f is weakly intuitionistic open .

Proof. Obvious.

Definition 5.12. An intuitionistic bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is said to be pair wise connected if it cannot be expressed as the union of two nonempty disjoint sets U and V such that U is intuitionistic τ_i -open and V is intuitionistic τ_j -open .

Theorem 5.13. If an intuitionistic bitopological space (Y, σ_1, σ_2) is pair wise connected and $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is a pair wise weakly intuitionistic open bijection, then the an intuitionistic bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pair wise connected .

Proof. Suppose that the an intuitionistic bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is not pair wise connected. Then there exist an intuitionistic τ_i -open set U_1 and intuitionistic τ_j -open set U_2 such that $U_1 \neq \emptyset, U_2 \neq \emptyset$ and $U_1 \cap U_2 = X$. Since f is bijective, we have $f(U_1) \neq \emptyset, f(U_2) \neq \emptyset, f(U_1) \cap f(U_2) = \emptyset$ and $f(U_1) \cup f(U_2) = Y$. Since f is pair wise weakly intuitionistic open $f(U_1) \subset i\text{Int}(f(j\text{Cl}(U_1)))$ and $f(U_2) \subset j\text{Int}(f(i\text{Cl}(U_2)))$. Since U_1 and U_2 are an intuitionistic τ_j -closed and intuitionistic τ_i -closed, respectively, we have $f(U_1) \subset i\text{Int}(f(U_1))$ and $f(U_2) \subset j\text{Int}(f(U_2))$ and hence $f(U_1) = i\text{Int}(f(U_1))$ and $f(U_2) = j\text{Int}(f(U_2))$. Therefore, $f(U_1)$ is intuitionistic σ_i -open and $f(U_2)$ is intuitionistic σ_i -open . This is contrary to the hypothesis that an intuitionistic bitopological space (Y, σ_1, σ_2) is pair wise connected . Therefore, the intuitionistic bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pair wise connected.

(Lecce, 1990/ Otranto, 1990). Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl. No. 24 (1990), 387-395.

[5] Jelic, M., On some mappings of bitopological spaces. Fourth Conference on Topology (Italian) (Sorrento, 1988). Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl. No. 29 (1992), 483-494.

[6] Levine, N., Strong continuity in topological spaces. Amer. Math. Monthly 67(1960), 269.

[7] Levine, N., A decomposition of continuity in topological spaces. Amer. Math. Monthly 68 (1961), 44-46.

[8] Popa, V., On some weaken forms of continuity. Stud. Cerc. Mat. 33 (1981), 543-546. (in Romanian)
[9] Rose, A., On weak openness and almost openness. Internet J. Math. Math. Sci. 7 (1981), 35-40.

[10] Takashi Noiri¹ and Valeriu Popa., some properties of weakly open function in bitopological spaces. Novi Sad J. Math. Vol. 36, No. 1 (2006), 47-54.

بعض خواص الدوال المفتوحة الضعيفة في الفضاءات ألدسية ثنائية التبولوجي

رنا بهجت ياسين

قسم الرياضيات ، كلية التربية للبنات ، جامعة تكريت ، تكريت ، العراق

(تاريخ الاستلام: 2012 / 4 / 30 ---- تاريخ القبول: 2012 / 6 / 11)

الملخص

في عام (2006) تكاشي نويري وفاليريوي بوبا [10] قدموا ودرسوا خصائص وخواص الدوال المفتوحة الضعيفة في الفضاءات ثنائية التبولوجي. في هذا البحث عممنا هذا المفهوم الى الفضاءات ألدسية ثنائية التبولوجي وحصلنا على خصائص جديدة للدوال المفتوحة الضعيفة بين الفضاءات ثنائية التبولوجي ألدسية وعلاوة على ذلك تحرينا بعض الخواص لهذه الدوال مقارنة بالدوال ذات العلاقة.