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Abstract:
Background: Thyroid transcription factor-1 (TTF-1) is a protein that is well known to be expressed immunohistochemically in normal and neoplastic thyroid and pulmonary tissues. However; increasing studies have showed its expression in normal tissues and tumors of other organs including endometrium. These facts are important to be considered in the use of this marker in the differential diagnosis of carcinoma of unknown origin and make it important to use it as a part of a panel of immunohistochemical stains. In this setting Cytokeratin 7 and 20 (CK-7&CK-20) are important since they have different coordinate expression profile in different organs and tumors.

Objectives: This study is designed to assess expression of TTF-1, CK-7 and CK-20 in neoplastic and non-neoplastic endometrium.

Patients and Methods: Thirty six cases have been studied including 23 case of endometrial carcinoma and 13 cases of non-neoplastic endometrium including cases of irregular secretory endometrium, simple hyperplasia, complex hyperplasia, complex atypical hyperplasia, and atrophic endometrium. The study performed in the Baghdad medical city from May 2013 to December 2013.

Results: Two cases out of 23 cases of endometrial carcinoma (8.7%) showed expression of TTF-1 and none of the non-neoplastic endometrial cases showed any expression. Twenty one (91.3%) of 23 cases of endometrial carcinoma and all non-neoplastic endometrial cases showed expression for cytokeratin 7 with different scores. Neither neoplastic nor non-neoplastic endometrium showed expression for cytokeratin 20.

Conclusion: We concluded that although TTF-1 regarded as a marker for thyroid and pulmonary tissues (and tumors); other tumors “including cases of endometrial carcinomas” can showed TTF-1 expression. CK7+ve/CK20-ve profile of endometrial carcinoma is important to consider in assessment of carcinoma of unknown origin especially if combined with TTF-1.
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Introduction:

Hyperplastic and neoplastic endometrial disorders are common gynecological disorders and hormonal influences are important in their progression(1,2). Endometrial carcinoma is a common cancer of the female genital tract. The most common variant is the endometrioid type while other variants are relatively rare. Within the uterus and in distant metastatic sites, sometimes; endometrial carcinoma need to be differentiated from other carcinomas that may have similar morphology. In this regard there is an immunohistochemical panel of positively and negatively staining markers for this tumor(3). This study is aimed to highlight the expression of TTF-1 in the endometrium including endometrial carcinoma and non-neoplastic endometrium. This will be done in combination with studying coordinate expression of cytokeratin 7 and 20 as these markers are important in defining the origin of carcinoma “including endometrioid” when present in an unexpected distant sites(1,2,3,4).

Patients and Methods:

Thirty-six cases were studies including twenty three cases of endometrial carcinoma and thirteen cases of non-neoplastic and benign endometrial lesion were selected from teaching laboratories in the medical city and from a private laboratory during the period from March 2013 and January 2014. All cases of endometrial carcinoma were hysterectomy specimen with provided information about the stage and grade of the tumor and age of the patient. Non neoplastic endometrial lesions were curettage specimens and include biopsies taken for different clinical entities and diagnoses including irregular secretory endometrium, simple hyperplasia, complex hyperplasia (with or without atypia), and biopsies for assessment of ovulation. Material: We used TTF-1 primary antibody manufactured by Abcam-USA, CK-7 from Dakocyotmation- Denmark, CK-20 from Abcam-USA, and Detection Kits from both Dakocyotmation and Abcam. All the following procedures of immunohistochemical staining were taken from the provided instruction with the material used (5).
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Result estimation: Immunohistochemical positivity of TTF-1 was evaluated semiquantitatively using a technique similar to that used by Siami et al et al. (6). The average number of immunopositive cells (showed nuclear staining) within the tumor by counting 100 cells in high power field (X40) was estimated and scored using the following system: Score 0: No cell staining, Score 1: <5% of cell staining, Score 2: 5-25% of cell staining, Score 3: 26-50% of cell staining, Score 4: 51-75% of cell staining, and Score 5: >76% of cell staining. Alkushi et al (7) used the following scoring system for cytokeratin 7 staining (cytoplasmic staining): Negative: <5% of cell stained, Weak to moderate positive: 5-50% of cell stained, and Strong positive: >50% of cell stained. In our study we modulate Alkushi et al scoring system to a numerical scoring as such: Score 0 (negative): <5% of cell stained, Score 1 (weak to moderate positive): 5-50% of cell stained, and Score 2 (Strong positive): >50% of cell stained. None of the cases was stained positive for Ck-20 to adopt certain scoring system for.

Statistical analyses of all result were descriptive done by measuring rate, ratio, mean and standard error of mean. Results of immunohistochemical staining were analyzed using Microsoft Excel software statistical formulae.

Results:
Endometrial carcinoma: The patients age of endometrial carcinoma ranged from 38-73 years (mean 55.78). Twenty one out of 23 (91.3%) were of endometrioid type, one was adenosquamous and one was poorly differentiated. Four cases (17.3%) were grade 1 tumor, 12 cases (52%) were grade 2 tumors, 6 (26%) grade 3 and 1 (4.3%) grade 4. TTF-1 Expression: Regarding TTF-1 expression only two cases (8.7%) of endometrial carcinoma express this marker. One of these was of score 4 (figure 1 and 2) which was a poorly differentiated tumor, the patient was the most young one of endometrial carcinoma patient in the study and the tumor did not express Ck-7 nor Ck-20. While the other case was of score 3 expression of TTF-1, aged 60 years and express Ck-7 strongly. No significant statistical correlation was found between TTF-1 expression and the tumor grade, stage, or age (P values >0.05).

None of the non-neoplastic cases expressed TTF-1. One case which was a case of simple hyperplasia showed cytoplasmic staining which is not regarded as a positive staining for this marker.

Cytokeratin 7 and 20 expressions: For cytokeratin 7 twenty-one case (91.3%) were positive in form of diffuse cytoplasmic staining. Of them, 18 (78.2%) showed strong (score 2) expression (figure 3) and 3 (13.1%) showed weak (score 1) expression (figure 4). Two cases (8.7%) were negative for cytokeratin 7, one was of grade 4 tumor that positively stained for TTF-1, while the other was grade 1 tumor and did not expressed any of the three markers. In adenosquamous carcinoma, the tumor showed strong expression in adenocarcinoma element while squamous element did not express the marker.
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When cases of endometrial carcinoma divided into two age groups below and above 50 years, a significant statistical correlation was found between ck-7 expression score and age group (P value<0.005) (table 1) while no correlation was found between ck-7 expression and grade and stage of the tumor (P value >0.05).

Table 1: Expression of CK-7 in endometrial carcinoma in relation to two group age distribution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Score 0 and 1</td>
<td>Score 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>5 (21.7%)</td>
<td>1 (4.34%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17 (73.91%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>5 (21.7%)</td>
<td>18 (78.26%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P value <0.05

Figure 3: Score 2 (strong) expression of Ck-7 in a case of endometrial carcinoma (10X)

Figure 4: Score 1 (weak) expression of Ck-7 in a case of endometrial carcinoma (10X)

All the non-neoplastic cases (100%) express ck-7, 3 cases (23%) were of score 1 while 10 cases (77%) showed score 2 expression.

None of the studied cases whether neoplastic or non-neoplastic expressed ck-20 despite repeated positive control staining.

Table 2 showed the panel of co-ordinate expression of CK-7/CK-20 for endometrial carcinoma.

Table 2: Co-ordinate CK-7/CK-20 expression in endometrial carcinoma:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Markers</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CK7+ve/CK20-ve</td>
<td>21/23</td>
<td>91.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK7-ve/CK20-ve</td>
<td>2/23</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK7-ve/CK20+ve</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK7+ve/CK20+ve</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The expression of the three markers according to age groups is explained in table 3 while the relative expression in endometrial carcinoma and non-neoplastic endometrial cases is shown in table 4.

Table 3: Expression of CK7, CK20 and TTF-1 in endometrial carcinoma according to age groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>TTF-1 +ve</th>
<th>CK-7 +ve</th>
<th>CK-20 +ve</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31-40 y</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 (4.2%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7 (30.4%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-60</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1 (4.2%)</td>
<td>8 (34.78%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61-70</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5 (21.73%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;70</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (4.2%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>21 (91.30%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: relative expression of TTF-1, CK-7 and CK20 in endometrial carcinoma and non-neoplastic endometrium.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>Endometrial carcinoma</th>
<th>Benign endometrium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TTF-1</td>
<td>2/23 (8.7%)</td>
<td>0/13 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK-7</td>
<td>21/23 (91.3%)</td>
<td>13/13 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK-20</td>
<td>0/23 (0%)</td>
<td>0/13 (0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Discussion:
Regarding endometrial carcinoma, this study nearly agreed with other Iraqi studies in the age incidence (8,9). Talal L. F and Sabaa M. (8) determined age mean was 58.5 years while Saed et al and Al-Nuaimi(9,10) mean was 56.4. In this study it was 55.78. Regarding TTF-1 staining in endometrial carcinoma in this study, we did not agreed with many studies that showed negative staining in 100% of cases of endometrial carcinoma (11,12). While few other studies showed higher percentages of stained cases of endometrial carcinoma than what have been found in this study (13). Peggy et al(13) showed staining in 64% of endometrial carcinoma and Siami et al(6) showed expression in 19% of cases. While in this study the percentage was 8.7% and the two cases that expressed TTF-1 were of different stages and grade. In non-neoplastic endometrium this study did not agreed with Peggy et al (13) who found nuclear staining in 52-55% of different benign endometrial lesion. While in this study the only stained case of benign endometrium showed cytoplasmic staining which is regarded as negative staining for this marker according to most authors (11) and the only lesion that have been studied well for cytoplasmic TTF-1 staining is the hepatocellular carcinomas(14) and in normal hepatocytes. Niu et al (15) have identified nuclear positivity in 54% of non-neoplastic endometrial biopsies concluding that TTF-1 might have a role in development of normal endometrial and fallopian tube epithelia. According to their finding Peggy et al (13) made a correlation between TTF-1 expression and decreased risk of progression to endometrial carcinoma upon follow up and they concluded that TTF-1 might have a tumor suppressor activity in endometrial tissue. In the same context Nawa et al(16) and Gao et al (17) have identified TTF-1 expression as a marker of decreased incidence of carcinoma development and it may be an independent prognostic factor in endometrial carcinoma. The latter conclusions cannot be met in this study as all non-neoplastic endometrial biopsies were negative for TTF-1 and the only two TTF-1 positive cases of EC were of different stages and grade. This study agreed with many other studies that TTF-1 is not exclusively a marker for thyroid and pulmonary tumor and it can be expressed by a wide range of tumors including endometrial carcinoma (18,19). This could be of value in assessing metastatic carcinoma of unknown origin in female patient especially when present in lung and the interpretations should be made with expression of other panel of markers and the most important in this setting are cytokeratin 7 and cytokeratin 20(20,21). This study showed CK-7 expression in 91.3% of cases of endometrial carcinoma. Dennis et al (22) found staining in 60% of cases, Peiguo et al (23) in 100% of cases while Wang et al (24) found staining in 80% of cases. This study showed a correlation between the patient( age and CK-7 score that most of cases(17/23) above age of 50 years were of score 2 (strong expression) (P value <0.05) This had not been shown by other studies. This may be useful in interpreting the staining results as in case of metastasis. Only two CK-7 negative endometrial carcinoma cases were noted. One showed score 4 positive staining for TTF-1, it was grade 4 tumor and stage 4 disease. While the other case was grade 3 tumors and was negative for all three studied markers. In non-neoplastic endometrium this study showed CK-7 expression in 100% of cases of endometrial biopsies in agreement with many other studies (25) regardless the pathological process and they were of different scores. In cases that showed score 1 staining (low expression) the more tortuous proliferating glands, mostly showed secretory activity located near the surface epithelium showed more intense staining than the small regular glands that may represent stratum basalis. This may be useful in assessing the function of endometrium a fact that should be assessed in a large group study of different physiological states and pathological conditions. Nisolle et (26) al had noticed a findings that may important in this regard. They found cyclical changes in the expression of cytokeratin and vimentin in euoptic endometrium. Endometrial tissue expression of cytokeratin 7 thus has a potential value in identifying ectopic endometrium in case of endometriosis. However Song IO et al (27) have noticed lower intensity of CK-7 expression in ectopic endometrium than in euoptic endometrium. In agreement with most of related studies, neither neoplastic nor non-neoplastic endometrium showed expression for CK-20 (13, 28, 29), while Wang et al (24) showed positivity in 12% of cases of endometrial carcinoma. Zemer et al (30) also noted negative staining for CK-20 in both benign and malignant endometrium, but by real time polymerase chain reaction (RTPCR) they extracted CK-20 mRNA from 17 out of 18 cases of endometrial carcinoma but none of cases of benign endometrium concluding that ck-20 may be a potential biomarker for detecting endometrial carcinoma. The coordinate expression of Ck-7/Ck-20 of most of studied endometrial carcinoma cases were Ck-7+ /Ck-20 -ve. This in agreement with most of other studies (24). The latter findings make CK-7/Ck-20 expression useful in differentiating endometrial carcinoma from certain malignancies including colorectal carcinoma but of limited value differentiation between gynecological malignancies or from breast carcinoma. Many studies have investigated the expression of these three markers (TTF-1, Ck-7 and Ck-20) in different carcinomas to determine its origin. In this study 86.95% of endometrial carcinoma were: Ck-7+/ve/Ck-20 -ve/TTF-1-ve. This expression panel has been noted in other carcinoma such as breast and ovary but of value in differentiating EC from colorectal and few other carcinomas especially if extension of a tumor to the uterus is suspected. 100% of non-neoplastic cases were: Ck-7+ve/Ck-20 -ve/TTF-1-ve.
Conclusions:
Although TTF-1 or thyroid considered as a marker that define a pulmonary or thyroid origin of carcinoma, it can be expressed in other tumors including 8.7% of endometrial carcinoma in this study. Most of endometrial carcinomas were ck7+ve/ck20-ve being useful in differentiating them from many other non-gynecological malignancies. Ck-7 might be useful in defining ectopic endometrial tissue in difficult cases to diagnose endometriosis. Ck-7 may be expressed in different intensities in different endometrial phases. Ck-20 expression is useful to exclude endometrial origin of carcinoma and to exclude presence of ectopic endometrial tissue as none of neoplastic and non-neoplastic endometrial biopsies showed any expression for this marker.
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